

## Original Research Article

# Prevalence of needle stick injuries among health care workers of various hospitals: a cross sectional study in an urban district of North India

Shweta Rajpal<sup>1\*</sup>, Sunil Kumar Garg<sup>2</sup>, Tanveer Bano<sup>2</sup>, Ganesh Singh<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Community Medicine, Era's Lucknow Medical College and Hospital, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Community Medicine, LLRM Medical College, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Received:** 13 January 2021

**Revised:** 10 February 2021

**Accepted:** 11 February 2021

### \*Correspondence:

Dr. Shweta Rajpal,

E-mail: [dr.shweta.rajpal@gmail.com](mailto:dr.shweta.rajpal@gmail.com)

**Copyright:** © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Needle stick injuries (NSI) are one of the dreaded but preventable occupational hazard posed to health care worker in various clinical settings. The causes of NSI includes injuries caused by use of hypodermic needles, blood collection needles, needles in intravenous delivery systems, needles in diagnostic aspiration procedures and needle in interventional or surgical procedures. In developing countries, needle stick injuries prevalence is also related to lack of standard operating protocol in various institution.

**Methods:** A cross sectional observational study was carried out in 384 paramedical, technical, auxiliary and sanitary staff of three government and three private hospitals of Meerut from November 2015 to October 2016.

**Results:** In our study, Overall prevalence of NSI was 77/384 (20.1%). Among sub-groups, needle stick injury was 26.6% and 31.3% in nurses, 37.5% and 16.7% in technicians, 15% and 12.5% in wardboys/aaya and 15.6% and 9.4% in sweepers of public and private hospitals respectively.

**Conclusions:** Standard operating protocol is the need of the hour at every medical institution and hospitals for NSI. Regular training regarding NSI, promoting early reporting and availability of immediate Post exposure prophylaxis should be ensured.

**Keywords:** Hospital, Healthcare workers, Needle injury

## INTRODUCTION

Needle stick injuries (NSI) are one of the dreaded but preventable occupational hazard posed to health care worker in various clinical settings. As per a study by WHO, proportion of health care workers exposed to blood borne pathogens like HCV, HBV and HIV are 2.6%, 5.9% and 0.5% respectively.<sup>1</sup> In the developing world the incidence of NSI is higher and under-reported.<sup>2,3</sup> Precluding the use of post exposure prophylaxis and adding the risk of increased health care burden and impacting unimpeded supply of health

services. Health care institutions must not rule out the low reporting rates of NSI and equate them low incidence rates.<sup>4</sup> The causes of NSI includes injuries caused by use of hypodermic needles, blood collection needles, needles in intravenous delivery systems, needles in diagnostic aspiration procedures and needle in interventional or surgical procedures.<sup>5</sup> In developing countries, needle stick injuries prevalence is also related to lack of standard operating protocol in various institutions.<sup>6</sup>

We undertook a cross-sectional study to estimate the prevalence of needle stick injuries among health care

workers in various hospital in an urban district (Meerut) of North India.

## METHODS

After approval from Institutional ethical committee, A cross sectional observational study was carried out in 384 paramedical, technical, auxiliary and sanitary staff of three government and three private hospitals of Meerut from November 2015 to October 2016. Out of 384, 192(50%) staff was covered randomly from public hospitals and 192 (50%) from private hospitals.

Study participants included nurses, technicians, ward boys/aaya and sweepers. On the basis of number and availability of staff in government hospitals, out of 192 personnel, 64 nurses, 24 technicians, 40 ward boys/aaya and 64 Sweepers were included in the study from public hospitals for comparison. An equal numbers of participants from each of strata were taken from private hospitals. Information was collected on predesigned and pretested semi structured questionnaire. Inclusion criteria

comprised of those who were consenting for the study and were working in medical field/ hospital for more than 3 months. Exclusion criteria consisted of participants who were working in the hospital for less than three months and Participants who were not willing to participate and those who were not available at the time of study. Data was analyzed using SPSS software (IBM).

## RESULTS

### *Prevalence of needle stick injuries*

Overall prevalence of NSI in our study was 77/384 (20.1%). Among sub-groups, needle stick injury was 26.6% and 31.3% in nurses, 37.5% and 16.7% in technicians, 15% and 12.5% in wardboys/aaya and 15.6% and 9.4% in sweepers of public and private hospitals respectively. Overall incidence of needle stick injury in Public and Private hospitals was found to be 21.9% and 18.2% respectively and this difference in incidence of needle stick injury in public and private hospitals was not found to be statistically significant ( $p>0.05$ ).

**Table 1: Prevalence of needle stick injury in paramedical and auxiliary staff.**

Needle stick injury	Nurse		Technician		Wardboy/aaya		Sweeper		Total	
	Public	Private	Public	Private	Public	Private	Public	Private	Public	Private
	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
<b>Present</b>	17 (26.6)	20 (31.3)	9 (37.5)	4 (16.7)	6 (15.0)	5 (12.5)	10 (15.6)	6 (9.4)	42 (21.9)	35 (18.2)

**Table 2: Distribution of study population according to reporting personnel for injury.**

Reporting personnel	Nurse		Technician		Wardboy/aaya		Sweeper		Total	
	Public	Private	Public	Private	Public	Private	Public	Private	Public	Private
	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
<b>Nurse</b>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (66.7)	1 (20.0)	2 (20.0)	0 (0.0)	6 (14.4)	1 (2.9)
<b>Matron</b>	4 (23.5)	4 (20.0)	1 (11.1)	1 (25.0)	2 (33.3)	1 (20.0)	2 (20.0)	5 (83.3)	9 (21.4)	11 (31.4)
<b>Infection control officer</b>	2 (11.8)	5 (25.0)	1 (11.1)	1 (25.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (20.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (7.1)	7 (20.0)
<b>None</b>	11 (64.7)	11 (55.0)	7 (77.8)	2 (50.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (40.0)	6 (60.0)	1 (16.7)	24 (57.1)	16 (45.7)
<b>Total</b>	17 (100.0)	20 (100.0)	9 (100.0)	4 (100.0)	6 (100.0)	5 (100.0)	10 (100.0)	6 (100.0)	42 (100.0)	35 (100.0)

### *Study population and reporting personnel for injury*

In Public hospitals majority of staff did not report the injury ie nurses (64.7%), technicians (77.8%) and sweepers (60.0%). Only 11.8% nurses and 11.1% technicians reported to infection control officer. On the other hand in Private hospitals 25.0% nurses, 25.0% technicians and 20.0% ward boys/aaya reported their injury to infection control officer while 55.0% nurses,

50.0% technicians, 40.0% wardboys/aaya and 16.7% sweepers did not report to anyone [Table 2].

### *Causes of needle stick injuries*

In public hospital most common cause was poor disposal (61.9%) followed by individual carelessness/accident. Whereas in private hospital poor disposal was the cause in 48.6% cases and individual carelessness in 45.7%

while 9.5% staff in public and 5.7% in private hospitals did not remember the cause of injury (Table 3).

**Table 3: Distribution table of causes of most recent needle prick.**

Cause	Public	Private	Total
	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
Poor disposal	26 (61.9)	17 (48.6)	43 (55.8)
Individual carelessness/ Accident	12 (28.6)	16 (45.7)	28 (36.4)
Not remember	4 (9.5)	2 (5.7)	6 (7.8)
Total	42 (100.0)	35 (100.0)	77 (100.0)

## DISCUSSION

Our results show the prevalence of NSI was 20.1% among health care workers. Other studies from India have reported NSIs among healthcare workers was between 57% and 73%.<sup>7,8</sup> International studies from Iran and Saudi Arabia have reported incidence of 63.3% and 74% respectively. This suggests lower prevalence of NSI in our study. In our study nurses (28.9%) and technicians (27.1%) comprised the majority of health care workers affected by Needle stick injuries owing to their exposure risk to needles and sharps. In a study, devices causing NSIs were syringe needles, followed by ampoule, intravenous canula, and suture needle.<sup>9</sup> Other studies have reported most common device causing NSIs included the hollow bore needles, followed by suturing needle.<sup>7,10,11</sup>

In our every subset of health care workers have done underreporting of needle stick injuries with about 81% of health care workers not reporting their injuries and results are similar to another study from India showing around 85% of the health workers did not report NSIs.<sup>7</sup> One of the study from Iran showed around 82% of all NSI were unreported.<sup>12</sup> Higher reporting rates (40%) were reported in a study from Malaysia.<sup>13</sup>

In our study poor disposal practice of BMW (56%) was the commonest caused of needle stick injuries as compared to accidental (36%) causes of NSI. Our study is one of the few studies to include every sub set of paramedical and auxiliary healthcare workers for prevalence of NSI. The study was limited by its sample size, non availability of data regarding Post exposure prophylaxis and PPE usage.

## CONCLUSION

The prevalence of NSI among health care workers in our study re-affirm the need for preventing NSI. The results of our study show the NSI are very common, under-reported, entirely preventable and health care workers need to have regular training for disposal of BMW and Careful handling techniques for needles and sharps. Standard operating protocol is the need of the hour at

every medical institution and hospitals for NSI. Regular training regarding NSI, promoting early reporting and availability of immediate Post exposure prophylaxis should be ensured.

*Funding: No funding sources*

*Conflict of interest: None declared*

*Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee*

## REFERENCES

1. Ustun PA, Rapiti E, Hutin Y. Sharps injuries: Global burden of disease from sharps injuries to health-care workers. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2003. (WHO Environmental Burden of Disease Series, No. 3) Available at [https://statsghana.gov.gh/gssmain/storage/img/marq ueeupdater/Census2010\\_Summary\\_report\\_of\\_final\\_results.pdf](https://statsghana.gov.gh/gssmain/storage/img/marq ueeupdater/Census2010_Summary_report_of_final_results.pdf). Accessed on 20 November 2020.
2. Goel V, Kumar D, Lingaiah R, Singh S. Occurrence of needlestick and injuries among health-care workers of a Tertiary Care Teaching Hospital in north India. J Lab Physicians. 2017;9:20-5.
3. Au E, Gossage JA, Bailey SR. The reporting of needle stick injuries sustained in theatre by surgeons: Are we under-reporting? J Hosp Infect. 2008;70:66-70.
4. Elder A, Paterson C. Sharps injuries in UK health care: A review of injury rates, viral transmission and potential efficacy of safety devices. Occup Med. 2006;56:566-74.
5. Norsayani MY, Hassim NI. Study on incidence of needle stick injury and factors associated with this problem among medical students. J Occup Health. 2003;45:172-8.
6. Moses SC, Pearson RD, Perry J, Jagger J. Risks to health care workers in developing countries. New England J Med. 2001;345:538-41.
7. Radha R, Khan A. Epidemiology of needle stick injuries among the healthcare workers of a rural tertiary care hospital a cross-sectional Study. Nat J Community Med. 2012;3:589-94.
8. Kermode M, Jolley D, Langkham B, Thomas MS, Crofts N. Occupational exposure to blood and risk of bloodborne virus infection among health care workers in rural north Indian health care settings. Am J Infect Control. 2005;33:34-41.
9. Sriram S. Study of needle stick injuries among healthcare providers: evidence from a teaching hospital in India. J Family Med Prim Care. 2019;8:599-603.
10. International Health Care Workers Safety Center. Exposure prevention information network (EPI net) Data reports; Charlottesville: University of Virginia; 1999:97.
11. Salelkar S, Motghare DD, Kulkarni MS, Vaz FS. Study of needle stick injuries among health care workers at a tertiary care hospital. Indian J Public Health. 2010;54:18-20.

12. Askarian M, Malekmakan L. The prevalence of needlestick injuries in medical, dental, nursing and midwifery students at the university teaching hospitals of Shiraz, Iran. *Indian J Med Sci*. 2006;60:227-32.
13. Lee LK. Implications of the prevalence of needle stick injuries in a general hospital in Malaysia and its risk in clinical practice. *Environ Health Prev Med*. 2005;10:33-41.

**Cite this article as:** Rajpal S, Garg SK, Bano T, Singh G. Prevalence of needle stick injuries among health care workers of various hospitals: a cross sectional study in an urban district of North India. *Int J Community Med Public Health* 2021;8:1976-9.