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**ABSTRACT**

**Background:** With about 425 million patients globally and 72.9 million patients in India, diabetes mellitus (DM) is one of the global health emergency of 21st century. Perioperative hyperglycaemia is reported in 20-40% of patients undergoing general surgery. A substantial body of literature demonstrates a clear association between perioperative hyperglycaemia and adverse clinical outcomes. This study aims to find out the frequency of preoperative hyperglycaemia and factors influencing it among patients undergoing surgery at a tertiary health care hospital of Eastern India.

**Methods:** This Institution based, cross-sectional, observational study was conducted among study subjects who were operated at IQ City Medical College and Multispecialty Hospital, Durgapur, India during January-February 2019. Relevant medical records were reviewed to collect data regarding clinic-social data. Estimation of fasting plasma glucose (FPG) has been done as per World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines. Hyperglycaemia was defined and classified as per American Diabetes Association (ADA). Anthropometric measurements were taken as per standard WHO protocols.

**Results:** A total 158 study subjects participated in study. The mean age and mean FPG of the study subjects was 42.63±12.95 years and 103.3±17.37 mg/dl respectively. As per the ADA criteria, 58.9% had normal FPG, 24.0% had impaired fasting glucose (IFG) and 17.1% had diabetes. Out of total 27 T2DM patients, 22 (13.9%) were known cases of T2DM and 5 (3.2%) were undiagnosed. The frequency of preoperative hyperglycaemia i.e. sums of IFG and diabetes was found to be 41.1%. Increasing age, male gender and overweight and obesity significantly influenced the occurrence of preoperative hyperglycaemia.

**Conclusions:** The prevalence of preoperative hyperglycaemia among patients undergoing surgery is higher than the prevalence of hyperglycaemia among non surgical patients. Routine HbA1C should be done in all surgical patients to differentiate between chronic undiagnosed hyperglycaemia and stress hyperglycaemia.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a chronic metabolic disorder resulting from either insulin resistance and/or relative or absolute insulin deficiency.¹ With about 425 million patients globally and 72.9 million patients in India, DM is one of the global health emergency of 21st century.² Diabetes mellitus is associated with high morbidity, mortality and increased health care cost to the diabetes patients than non diabetes people.³ ⁵ Even in the absence...
of pre-existing DM, hyperglycaemia itself is associated with increased morbidity and mortality among hospital inpatient, both in medical and surgical populations.5-8 Perioperative hyperglycaemia is reported in 20-40% of patients undergoing general surgery.9-11 A substantial body of literature demonstrates a clear association between perioperative hyperglycaemia and adverse clinical outcomes.9,12,13 The risk for post-operative complications and increased mortality relates to both long-term Glycemic control and to the severity of hyperglycaemia on admission and during the hospital stay.9 Patients with diabetes are more likely to undergo surgery than are those without diabetes.14-15 Surgery in diabetic patients is associated with longer hospital stay, higher health care resource utilization, and greater perioperative mortality than in non diabetic subjects.16 Evidence from observational studies suggests that in surgical patients, with and without diabetes, improvement in Glycemic control positively affects morbidity and mortality.17,18 Identification of both diagnosed & non-diagnosed hyperglycaemic will be crucial in achieving uneventful perioperative and post operative outcomes in surgical patients. This study aims to find out the frequency of preoperative hyperglycaemia and factors influencing it among patients undergoing surgery at a tertiary health care hospital of Eastern India.

**METHODS**

An Institution based, Observational; Cross-Sectional study was conducted among patients who were operated at IQ City Medical College & Multispecialty Hospital from January-February 2019. A total of 158 study subjects participated in the study.

**Ethical clearance**

Study was ethically approved by Institutional Ethics Committee, IQ City Medical College and Multispecialty Hospital.

**Study setting**

General Surgical Operation Theatre of IQ City Medical College & Multispecialty Hospital, Durgapur, India.

**Study type:** Institution based, observational.

**Study design:** Cross-sectional.

**Study period:** January-February 2019.

**Study duration:** 2 months.

**Study population**

Adult patients undergoing elective surgical procedures at IQ City Medical College and Multispecialty Hospital, Durgapur, India.

**Inclusion criteria**

≥18 years, surgical procedure requiring general, regional or local anaesthesia.

**Exclusion criteria**

Patients on steroids, chronic liver failure, CKD stage 3B onwards (eGFR <45).

**Sample size:** 158

**Sampling technique:** Non probability, consecutive

**Study tool**

- Pre designed, pre tested, semi structured schedule
- Relevant medical records.

**Operational definitions**

**Fasting plasma sugar classification**

- Normal plasma glucose/ non diabetic: Fasting Plasma Glucose (FPG) <100 mg/dl
- Pre diabetes/ impaired fasting glucose: FPG 100-125 mg/dl.
- Diabetes: FPG≥126.
- Hyperglycaemia: Fasting plasma glucose either in the IFG and/or diabetes range.

**BMI classification**

- Overweight: BMI 25.00-29.99
- Obese: BMI ≥30

**Outcome variables**

- Frequency of pre operative hyperglycaemia among study subjects
- Factors associated with pre operative hyperglycaemia

**Study technique**

Written informed consent was taken from all study participants. Relevant medical records were reviewed to collect data regarding clinic-social data and past medical records of study subjects. Venous blood sample for fasting blood sugar was collected before establishing intravenous line and induction of anaesthesia. Estimation of fasting plasma glucose (FPG) has been done as per World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines.19 Hyperglycemia was defined and classified as per American Diabetes Association (ADA).20,21 Anthropometric measurements were taken as per standard WHO protocols.22
Statistical analysis

Data were codified and analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences for windows (SPSS, version 20.0). Frequency of hyperglycaemia and other clinical-socio variables were calculated. Pie chart and simple bar diagrams were used to show frequency of hyperglycaemia and classification of hyperglycaemia respectively. Chi-square test was used to show association between categorical variables. All statistical tests were 2-tailed and a p<0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

A total 158 study subjects participated in study. The mean age and mean FPG of the study subjects was 42.63±12.95 Years and 103.3±17.37 mg/dl respectively. 70.2% of the study subjects were in age group of 31-60 years followed by 20.3% and 9.5% in the age group 18-30 years and ≥61 years respectively (Table 1). The study population had little female preponderance as 53.2% of them were female (Table 1). About 3/5th of the study subjects were Hindu and 2/5th were Muslim (Table 1). 39.2% of them had education between class VI-X followed by 31.0%, 21.5% who had education > class X and up to class V respectively. 8.2% of them were illiterate (Table 1). As per WHO classification of Body Mass Index (BMI) 65.2%, 30.4% and 4.4% of study subjects were normal, overweight and obesity respectively. 4.4% of study population was on levothyroxin replacement therapy for hypothyroidism. 13.9% of the study population was diagnosed case of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) (Table 1). As per American Diabetes Association (ADA), 58.9% had normal FPG, 24.0% had impaired fasting glucose (IFG) and 17.1% had diabetes (Table 1 and Figure 1).

Out of total 27 T2DM patients, 22 (13.9%) were known cases of T2DM and 5 (3.2%) was diagnosed first time during our study (Table 1). The frequency of hyperglycaemia i.e. sums of IFG and Diabetes was found to be 41.1% (Table 1 and Figure 2). Increasing age, male gender, overweight and obesity significantly influenced the occurrence of hyperglycaemia (Table 2). A non significant preponderance of hyperglycaemia was found among Muslims. Although statistically non significant and except for a slight more preponderance of hyperglycaemia among those who had education up to class V, better education was associated with less cases of fasting hyperglycaemia (Table 2). 57.1% of study subjects who were hypothyroid had fasting hyperglycaemia as compared to only 40.4% of those who had normal thyroid function (Table 2).

Table 1: Clinico-social characteristics of study subjects (n=158).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinico-social characteristics</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age group (in years)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-≤30</td>
<td>32 (20.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-60</td>
<td>111 (70.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥61</td>
<td>15 (9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>74 (46.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>84 (53.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindu</td>
<td>127 (80.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>31 (19.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate</td>
<td>13 (8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to class V</td>
<td>34 (21.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class VI-X</td>
<td>62 (39.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;Class X</td>
<td>49 (31.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of T2DM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>22 (13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>136 (86.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (Kg/m²)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (18.5-24.99)</td>
<td>103 (65.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight (25.00-29.99)</td>
<td>48 (30.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese (≥30.00)</td>
<td>7 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothyroidism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>7 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>151 (95.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classification of fasting plasma glucose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (&lt;100mg/dl)</td>
<td>93 (58.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFG* (100-125mg/dl)</td>
<td>38 (24.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes (FPG≥126mg/dl)</td>
<td>27 (17.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of diabetes as per the P/H/O** diabetes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known T2DM</td>
<td>22 (13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newly detected T2DM</td>
<td>5 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of hyperglycaemia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal FPG</td>
<td>93 (58.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired FPG/diabetes</td>
<td>65 (41.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Frequency of Hyperglycaemia (IFG+Diabetes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal FPG</td>
<td>41.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperglycaemia (impaired FPG+Diabetes)</td>
<td>58.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Frequency of hyperglycaemia (n=158).

DISCUSSION

In this study we found that about 1/4th of the study participants had pre diabetes and about 1/6th of the study population had diabetes using ADA criteria. In this study, prevalence of previously diagnosed and undiagnosed diabetes was 13.9% and 3.2% respectively. A little higher prevalence of 19.0% was reported among surgical patients by Kotagal et al.\textsuperscript{13} Epidemiological research indicates that about 40.0% of surgical patients have undiagnosed diabetes.\textsuperscript{23} The prevalence of pre operative hyperglycaemia is higher than the prevalence of hyperglycaemia among non surgical patients. A study by Barik et al from rural West Bengal reported almost similar 3.34% prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes and a lower 2.95% prevalence of diabetes.\textsuperscript{24} A South Indian study reported a 10.8% prevalence of diabetes among rural population.\textsuperscript{25} The National Urban Diabetes Survey reported a prevalence of 12.1% and 14.0% for diabetes and pre diabetes respectively.\textsuperscript{26} The INDIAB study reported almost similar 13.6% prevalence of diabetes among Chandigarh residents.\textsuperscript{27} High prevalence of pre diabetes in our study may be due to the stress and anxiety caused by the fear of surgery which unmasked the undiagnosed hyperglycaemia. It may also be due to the release of stress hormones which could have blunted the action of endogenous insulin. Another reason for high prevalence of hyperglycaemia may be due to the fact that diabetes patients are more prone to undergo operations than their non diabetic counterparts. About 3/5\textsuperscript{th} of the study subjects who were ≥61 years were found to have hyperglycaemia as compared to about 2/5\textsuperscript{th} and 1/5\textsuperscript{th} of study subjects who were in the age group of 31-60 years and 18-30 years respectively. Increasing age as a risk factor for diabetes and pre diabetes was also reported by many other studies.\textsuperscript{28,29} The present study results indicate a significant male preponderance of diabetes and pre diabetes. Male gender as a risk factor for diabetes and pre diabetes is also reported by Anjana et al and Meshram et al.\textsuperscript{25,30} However, few other studies reported no role of gender in the development of diabetes.\textsuperscript{31,35,36}

A non significant numerical preponderance of hyperglycaemia was observed among Muslims. It may be due to the relatively small number of Muslim study subjects as compared to Hindus. 71.5% of obese study subjects had hyperglycaemia as compared to 52.1% and 34.0% hyperglycaemia among overweight and normal BMI study subjects respectively. Increasing BMI was found to be a significant risk factor for hyperglycaemia among surgical patients. The finding of this study is in agreement with various other researches who also reported increasing BMI as a risk factor for developing hyperglycaemia.\textsuperscript{24,27,30,32} Indians have a lower BMI as compared to their western counterparts and also the risk of hyperglycaemia increases at a lower BMI than the overweight/obese cut-off of their western counterparts.\textsuperscript{33} Although statistically non significant, the frequency of hyperglycaemia was numerically more among hypothyroid study subjects. The relationship between hypothyroidism and hyperglycaemia is complex and both regulate each other’s metabolism. Diabetes mellitus influences thyroid function either by acting at hypothalamus to control TSH release or at the peripheral tissue level to regulate conversion of T4 to T3.\textsuperscript{34} On the other hand hypothyroidism is linked to metabolic syndrome via various mechanisms. Hypothyroidism is associated with insulin resistance and decrease glucose uptake into muscle and adipose tissues.\textsuperscript{35,36} Insulin resistance has been observed even in euthyroid people having low-normal free thyroxin level.\textsuperscript{37,38} Down regulation of the hepatic glucose transporter GLUT2 and impaired translocation of GLUT4 receptor on the plasma membrane has been found to be associated with hypothyroidism.\textsuperscript{39,40}

CONCLUSION

The prevalence of hyperglycaemia among patients undergoing surgery is higher than the prevalence of hyperglycaemia among non surgical patients. Increasing age and male gender are non modifiable risk factors for pre operative hyperglycaemia. Overweight and obesity are modifiable risk factors for hyperglycaemia. Routine HbA1C should be done in all surgical patients to differentiate between chronic undiagnosed hyperglycaemia and stress hyperglycaemia.
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