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ABSTRACT

Background: Medical education proves to be a tough and stressful environment during which these young physicians-in-training gain large amounts of knowledge and skills. Previous studies regarding dietary habits, body mass index (BMI) and perceived stress failed to include Compulsory Residential Rotatory Interns (CRRI). This study encompassing medical undergraduate students of all years including CRRI aims to identify dietary patterns and significant associations between dietary habits, Perceived Stress and BMI.

Methods: Cross-sectional study conducted with sample size of 150 consisting of undergraduate medical students varying from the first year to CRRI at SRM Medical College, Hospital and Research Centre, Tamil Nadu. Dietary habits noted with an information schedule. BMI calculated using Quetelet’s index. Cohen’s PSS-10 questionnaire provided to quantify Perceived Stress. Associations tested using Chi-square test and Correlation.

Results: Prevalence of obesity -7% and overweight -26.7% with males having higher mean BMI. Majority at 52% perceived ‘moderate’ stress with males having higher mean PSS score. Awareness of balanced diet was 94.7% with 32% practicing balanced diet. Diet frequency was regular in 62% and 49.3% was skipping meals. 92.7% consumed junk food often and 13.3% partook in binge eating. 8% participated in crash diets and significant association found between this dietary factor and BMI. Significant correlation and positive linear relationship found between Perceived Stress and BMI.

Conclusions: Higher levels of perceived stress among students including CRRI leads to overall higher values of BMI indicates the need for stricter healthier dietary habits and psychological health services to relieve stress.
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INTRODUCTION

Medical undergraduate students including CRRI (compulsory residential rotatory intern) are subject to high levels of stress due to various factors such as academic, health related and psychosocial stressors as perceived by the students.¹³ Under various stressful conditions, these students develop unhealthy dietary habits and patterns leading to an overall poor lifestyle.⁴ Some unhealthy practices of eating habits include consumption of under nutritious food like junk food, skipping meals, irregularity in meal timings.⁵ Although the behaviour of the student is subject to change as they are considered to be temporary, it usually tends to persist in adult life post student status.⁶ This could be extremely detrimental to the health of the student. Non communicable diseases such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, myocardial infarction are on the increase.
because of the cumulative effect of poor dietary habits, stress and lifestyle throughout the life of the individual. These diseases could be easily avoided by changing dietary habits and lifestyle in the early formative years thus preventing economic and social burden especially since these physicians in training are the future care givers.

There appears to be a lack of inclusion of CRRIs in previous studies pertaining to the dietary habits and application to daily practice among medical college students. Similarly, studies regarding the perceived stress on undergraduate medical students again fail to include CRRIs. Studies demonstrating the association of dietary patterns to BMI have been conducted but it is lacking in its association with perceived stress. Hence this study was undertaken to attempt to study the dietary patterns, BMI (body mass index) and Perceived Stress in addition to finding any significant correlation between BMI and Perceived Stress among medical undergraduate students inclusive of CRRIs.

Objectives

- To study the dietary habits, BMI and Perceived Stress among undergraduate medical students including CRRIs (18 to 23 years).
- To identify any significant association between the dietary habits and BMI, dietary habits and Perceived Stress, and significant correlation between Perceived Stress and Body Mass Index.

METHODS

Study design

This is a descriptive cross-sectional study that will be carried out in the Department of Community Medicine, SRM Medical College, Hospital and Research Centre, Kattankulathur, Tamil Nadu. The study was carried out over the period of five months from September 2015 to January 2016.

Participants

The study population is comprised of a sample size of 150 subjects. The study population consists of SRM undergraduate medical students varying from the first year to CRRI (18 to 23 years). The subjects were chosen at random without any bias. Informed consent was obtained from the study population.

Questionnaire

The students were provided with an information schedule with their demographic information namely; their sex (male/female), age and which academic year of MBBS they are currently in. The schedule also consisted of information pertaining to the dietary habits namely; diet type (vegetarian/non vegetarian), awareness regarding balanced diet(yes/no/don’t know), practice of balanced diet(yes/no/don’t know), consumption of junk food (often/rarely), diet frequency (regular/irregular), practice of skipping meals (nil/atleast 2-3 times a week), binge eating(yes/no) and practicing crash diet (yes/never).

To quantify the perceived stress they were also provided with a 10 item questionnaire of the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-10) developed by Cohen et al which has proved to be reliable in a sample of college students. It has become one of the more popular means of calculating perceived stress in a non invasive format for psychosomatic health research. Cortisol which is a biological indicator of stress was shown to be associated with higher PSS score. Upon completion of PSS-10, the perceived stress score was calculated and noted. The answers to the 10 item questionnaire were graded on a 5 point Likert Scale ranging from never (0), almost never (1), sometimes (2), fairly often (3) and very often (4). The positively framed questions which are question number 4, 5, 7 and 8 were scored in reverse meaning the score of never was (4), sometimes was (3), fairly often was (2) and very often was (1). The scores were then totaled and ranging from 0 to 40 with higher scores indicating higher perceived stress. In accordance with a study on perceived stress performed in Bangalore by Thangaraj et al the levels of perceived stress was arbitrarily divided into; mild perceived stress 0-13, moderate perceived stress 14-26 and high perceived stress 27-40.

Method of collection of data

After completing both the questionnaires, the student’s height and weight were measured by the investigator. Weight was measured using a standard spring balance weighing machine in kilogram. Height was measured in standing position by stadiometer to the closest 0.1cm. BMI was then calculated using Quetelet’s index and classified based on WHO classification (underweight: <18.50, normal: 18.50-24.99, overweight: ≥25.00 and obese: ≥30.00).

Statistical analysis

The data was compiled and analyzed using IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) statistics. The association was determined by employing Chi Square test where P value less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. The association between BMI and Stress was determined by Correlation using Pearson’s coefficient.

RESULTS

A total of 150 subjects were included in the final analysis. Among the total 150 subjects, the distribution according to the academic year of medical education is as follows; First year students was 14%, Second year students was 21.3%, Third year students was 14.7%,
Fourth year students was 24.7% and CRRIs was 25.3%. The mean age of the study population was 20.84 with standard deviation of 1.78. The percentage of the female students was 43.3% and the male students was 56.7% and the distribution of male and female students in each academic year is displayed as shown in Table 1.

**Table 1: Distribution of male and female students in each academic year.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRRI</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First year</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth year</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second year</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third year</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Distribution of study population in BMI class according to academic year.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI Class</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Obese</th>
<th>Overweight</th>
<th>Underweight</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRRI</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First year</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth year</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second year</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third year</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: Distribution of study population in the PSS score classes according to academic year.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PSS</th>
<th>High</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRRI</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First year</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth year</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second year</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third year</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4: Testing significance between dietary habits and BMI using Chi square test.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dietary habits</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Significance (p value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Overweight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetarian</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Vegetarian</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of balanced diet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice of balanced diet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of junk food</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Often</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rarely</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued.
Table 5: Tests of significance between dietary habits and perceived stress scale score using Chi square test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dietary habits</th>
<th>PSS score</th>
<th>Significance (p value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetarian</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-vegetarian</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of balanced diet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice of balanced diet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption of junk food</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Often</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rarely</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skipping of meals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes atleast 2-3 times/week</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partaking in binge eating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes atleast 1-2 times/week</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in crash diets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The frequencies of the dietary habits were found to be:

- **Awareness of balanced diet**: Majority at 94.7% has awareness of balanced diet with only 4% not having awareness and the remaining 1.3% answered with ‘don’t know’.
- **Practice of balanced diet**: Majority at 66.7% did not practice balanced diet with 32% following a balanced diet and the remaining 1.3% answered with ‘don’t know’.
- **Diet frequency**: Majority was ‘Regular’ at 62% with the remaining 38% was ‘Irregular’.
- **Skipping of meals**: Majority didn’t skip meals at 50.7% closely followed by the remaining 49.3% who skipped meals at least 2-3 times/week.
- **Diet type**: Majority consumed a Non-Vegetarian diet at 66% and the remaining 34% consumed a Vegetarian diet.
- **Consumption of junk food**: An overwhelming majority at 92.7% consumed junk food ‘Often’ while a 7.3% ‘Rarely’ consumed junk food.
Partaking in binge eating: Majority at 86.7% never partook in binge eating while 13.3% did at least 1-2 times/week.

Participation in crash diets: Majority at 92% never participated in crash diets with the remaining 8% having participated in crash dieting.

The mean BMI of the total study population is 24.22 with standard deviation of 3.86. The mean BMI in the male population was 24.32 with standard deviation of 3.93 and mean BMI in female population was 24.09 with standard deviation of 3.79. The percentage of the study population that was Normal 62%, Overweight 26.7%, Obese 7.3% and Underweight 4%. In the male population, the prevalence of BMI class Normal was 62.4%, Overweight 28.2%, Obese 7.1% and Underweight 2.3%. In the female population, the prevalence of BMI class Normal was 61.5%, Overweight 24.6%, Obese 7.7% and Underweight 6.2%. The distribution of study population in each BMI class in the respective academic year is as shown in Table 2.

The mean perceived stress scale (PSS) score of the total study population was 20.08 with standard deviation of 7.72. In the male population, the mean perceived stress score was 20.07 with standard deviation of 7.67. The percentage of study population with perceived stress scale (PSS) score in high category is 20.7%, moderate is 52% and mild is 27.3%. In male population, the prevalence of perceived stress in the three arbitrary classes is as follows; high – 22.4%, Moderate – 49.4% and Mild - 28.2%. In the female population, the prevalence of Perceived stress in the three arbitrary classes is as follows; High – 18.4%. Moderate – 55.4% and Mild – 26.2%. The distribution of study population in each PSS class according to the academic year is as shown in Table 3.

The association between the dietary habits and body mass index was tested by running Chi Square test with the results as displayed in Table 4. The only significant association was found to be between ‘participation in crash diet’ and body mass index with a p<0.001. The remaining dietary factors were not found to have significant association with body mass index. The association between dietary habits and perceived stress was tested by running Chi Square test with the results displayed in Table 5. There was found to be no significant association between the dietary factors and perceived stress with all p values >0.001.

The association between perceived stress and body mass index was calculated by Correlation using Pearson’s coefficient. In the total study population, the correlation is significant at r=0.510 and p value at 0.000 which is <0.001 for a two-tailed test. Hence concluding that statistically the significance is a linear relationship (p<0.001) and the direction of the relationship is positive as indicated in the scatter dot graph (Figure 1). In male study population, the correlation using Pearson’s coefficient is significant at r=0.536 and p value at 0.000.
which is <0.001 for a two-tailed test. Hence concluding
that statistically the significance is a linear relationship
(p<0.001) and the direction of the relationship is positive
as indicated in the scatter dot graph (Figure 1). In female
study population, the correlation using Pearson’s
coefficient is significant at r=0.475 and p value 0.000
which is <0.001 for a two-tailed test. Hence concluding
that statistically the significance is a linear relationship
(p<0.001) and the direction of the relationship is positive
as indicated in the scatter dot graph (Figure 1).

**DISCUSSION**

Among the total 150 subjects, the distribution according
to the academic year of medical education is as follows;
First year students was 14%. Second year students was
21.3%. Third year students was 14.7%. Fourth year
students was 24.7% and CRRIs was 25.3%. The mean
age of the study population was 20.84 with standard
deviation of 1.78. In the total study population of 150 the
number of female subjects was 65 (43.3%) and number of
male subjects was 85 (56.7%). The mean age of the study
population was 20.84 with standard deviation of 1.78.

The overall prevalence of obesity is 7.3%, prevalence
of overweight is 26.7%, prevalence of underweight is 4%
and prevalence of normal is 62%. In the male population,
the prevalence of BMI class Normal was 62.4%. 
Overweight was 28.2%, Obese was 7.1% and 
Underweight was 2.3%. In the female population,
the prevalence of BMI class Normal was 61.5%, Overweight
24.6%, Obese 7.7% and Underweight 6.2%. It appears
that in this study the prevalence of Obesity and 
Overweight appears to be greater when compared to
Gupta et al where the overall prevalence of obesity was
3.4% and overweight was 17.5% and Chhabra et al where
the prevalence of obesity was 2% and overweight was
11.7% but the prevalence of Underweight appears to be
lesser when compared to Kumar et al where the
prevalence of underweight was 20.1%. The overall mean
BMI is 24.22 with standard deviation of 3.86. The
mean BMI in the male population was 24.32 with
standard deviation of 3.93 and mean BMI in female
population was 24.09 with standard deviation of 3.79.
The mean BMI in males was higher than the mean BMI
of females. The overall mean was found to be higher than
the findings by Kumar et al and the finding by Sakamaki
et al (where the mean was 20.6 with standard deviation
of 2.2).

The overall prevalence of Perceived Stress Scale (PSS)
Score in the three arbitrary classes were as follows; mild
perceived stress (0-13 score) is 27.3%, moderate
perceived stress (14-26 score) is 52% and high perceived
stress (27-40 score) is 20.7%. In male population, the
prevalence of perceived stress in the three arbitrary
classes is as follows; High – 22.4%, Moderate – 49.4% and
Mild – 26.2%. The mean perceived stress score of the
total study population was 20.08 with standard deviation
of 7.72. In the male population, the mean perceived stress
scale score was 20.08 with standard deviation of 7.80. In
the female population the mean perceived stress scale
score was 20.07 with standard deviation of 7.67. The
mean perceived stress scale score appears to be lesser
than with the findings of Swaminathan et al where the
mean score was 21.09 though in this study the study
population though this study included only first year
medical students. The mean perceived stress score is in
accordance with the findings of Al-Dubai et al where the
mean perceived stress scale score was 20.4 in medical
residents in Malaysia. A higher mean PSS score of
30.84 among first and second year students was reported
by Shah et al in Pakistan. It was also reported that
female students had a higher mean PSS score than the
male students by Shah et al whereas here we find that
male students have a higher mean PSS score.

The awareness of balanced diet among the study
population was found to be 94.7% with only 32%
practicing balanced diet which is similar to the findings
of Saranya et al with a reported awareness of 97% and
less than 50% practice of balanced diet. 3% of the study
population was vegetarian and the remaining 66% was
non-vegetarian. The diet frequency was found to be
regular in 62% of the study population with the
remaining 38% with irregular frequency. The students
who skipped meals at least 2-3 times/week was 49.3% and
those who didn’t was 50.7% similar to the studies by
Kumar et al. The consumption of junk food ‘often’ was
92.7% which is considerably higher than the 63%
reported by Silliman et al, the 73% by Kumar et al and
the 25.6% by Kutty et al. Partaking in binge eating at
least 1-2 times/week was 13.3% and participation in
crash diets was 8%.

There was found to be no significant association
(p>0.001) using Chi square test among all the dietary
habits and BMI except participation in crash diet where
the p<0.001 hence establishing a significant association.
Contrary to the finding of significant association between
skipping of meals and BMI by Kumar et al there was no
significant association found in this study. Additionally,
there was found to be no significant association
(p>0.001) using Chi square test among all the dietary
habits and Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) score.

In overall study population, the correlation between
perceived stress and body mass index calculated by
correlation using Pearson’s coefficient was found to be
significant at p<0.001 and r=0.510. Hence concluding
that statistically the significance is a linear relationship
and the direction of the relationship is positive as
indicated in the scatter dot graph (Figure 1) meaning that
increased Perceived Stress has an effect on Body Mass
Index. In the male study population, a significant positive
correlation was found between Perceived Stress and BMI
at p<0.001 and r=0.536 in accordance with Gupta et al
where positive correlation was observed (with $p<0.01$ and $r=0.362$). In the female study population, a significant positive correlation was found between Perceived Stress and BMI at $p<0.001$ and $r=0.475$ contrary to Gupta et al where no significant correlation was observed.

**CONCLUSION**

This cross sectional study encompassed all the medical undergraduate students including CRRIs to give a broader and inclusive insight. This study has shown that despite the students having awareness about balanced diet the implementation of it has much scope for improvement with reduction in practicing unhealthy dietary habits that include frequent consumption of junk food and skipping meals. The majority of the students classified into the ‘normal’ BMI category with overall prevalence of obesity at 7% and overweight at 26.7% with male students having a higher mean BMI. The majority of the students at 52% perceived ‘moderate’ levels of stress with male students having a higher mean PSS score than female students. In view of the significant correlation and positive linear relationship between Perceived Stress and Body Mass Index, it can be concluded that higher levels of perceived stress among students including CRRIs lead to overall higher values of Body Mass Index. It is therefore, of utmost importance that stricter and nutritious dietary habits be formed by these young physicians-in-training as they are in their early formative years. In addition, implementing other physical and psychological health services can be of aid to optimize their productivity so that they may serve the society in many years to come.
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