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ABSTRACT

Background: According to the United Nations, India will become the most populated country by 2050. This will lead to further strain in social and economic life. Family planning plays a major role in bridging this rapid population growth. But unmet needs for family planning prevent women from availing this benefit. Aims of the study were to determine the prevalence of unmet needs for family planning and its associated factors among ever married women in selected villages of Anekal taluk, Karnataka.

Methods: This was a cross sectional study conducted during a period of two months among ever married women in selected villages of Anekal. A structured interview schedule consisting of questions about unmet needs for family planning and its determinants was administered to 133 ever married women.

Results: Of the 133 study participants 127 (95.5%) were aware of at least one contraceptive method. The prevalence of unmet needs for family planning was 11.3%. Younger age (18-24 years), <5 years active years of married life, women having a single live child and who were a sole decision maker had higher unmet needs for family planning. Among the women who had unmet needs for family planning, the major reason reported was family and cultural problems.

Conclusions: The unmet need for family planning was found to be 11.3% which is more than the state value of 8.8% (rural Karnataka NFHS 4). So there is a need to create increased awareness among the women in the study area regarding the importance of contraceptive measures in the family and the society.
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INTRODUCTION

Family planning defined by World Health Organization (WHO) is as follows- a way of thinking and living that is adopted voluntarily, upon the basis of knowledge, attitudes and responsible decisions by individuals and couples, in order to promote the health and welfare of the family group and thus contribute effectively to the social development of a country. Family planning can be defined as the term which refers to use of modern contraceptives or natural techniques for either limiting or spacing pregnancies. Pills, male and female sterilization, intrauterine device (IUDs), injectables, implants, male and female condoms, diaphragm and emergency
contraception are modern methods of contraception. Similarly, traditional methods include periodic abstinence, withdrawal and “folk” methods such as herbs.\textsuperscript{2} It is required for individuals and couples to anticipate and attain their desired number of children and also for the spacing and timing of their births. This has direct impact on women’s health and well-being as well as on the outcome of each pregnancy.\textsuperscript{3}

**Benefits of family planning**

Family planning services can bring a wide range of benefit to women, their families and the society as a whole. This allows spacing of pregnancies and can delay pregnancies in high risk women, thus, reducing maternal deaths, can reduce infant mortality by preventing closely spaced and ill timed pregnancies which are termed as the contributor for infant mortality. It can also help in reducing unsafe abortion which accounts for 67,000 deaths annually and can also reduce adolescent pregnancies and slows population growth as well. Thus, family planning is essential in reducing poverty and achieving United Nations’ Millennium Development Goal.\textsuperscript{4}

It also helps in empowerment of people (viz. having smaller families allows parents to invest more in each child and also increases the duration of schooling); prevention of transmission of HIV/AIDS (viz. consistent use of family planning measure minimizes the risk of unintended pregnancies among women living with HIV, resulting in fewer infected babies; it also aids in preventing acquisition of other sexually transmitted infections and vice-versa.\textsuperscript{5,6}

While real progress has been made in improving access to family planning globally, the unmet needs for family planning—women who are currently married, sexually active, not pregnant and do not want children but are not using any method of contraception are considered to have an unmet need for family planning continue to grow. In Africa, 53% of married women of reproductive age have unmet needs for modern contraception i.e. modern methods for family planning. In Asia and the Latin America and the Caribbean- regions with high contraceptive prevalence, the unmet needs for family planning are 21% and 22% respectively.\textsuperscript{6}

There are various reasons responsible for unmet needs for family planning. According to World Health Organization (WHO), the reasons are: \textsuperscript{6} limited choice of family planning methods, limited access to contraception mainly among young people, poor people or unmarried people, fear or experience of having side-effects, cultural or religious opposition, poor quality of available family planning services, gender based barriers.

Figure 1: Shows the origin of unmet needs for family planning.
Figure 1 shows the origin of unmet needs for family planning. Unmet needs can be of two types (Figure 1)- unmet need for spacing and unmet need for limiting. The sum of the unmet need for limiting and the unmet need for spacing is the total unmet need for family planning. The total demand for family planning is the sum of unmet need and met need. Excluded from the unmet need categories are pregnant and amenorrhoeic women who became pregnant while using a method (these women are in need of a method of contraception).  

Unmet need for family planning

\[
\text{Unmet need for family planning} = \frac{\text{Women of reproductive age (15–49) who are married or in a union and who have an unmet need for family planning}}{\text{Total number of women of reproductive age (15–49) who are married or in a union}} \times 100
\]

Aims and objectives

- To determine the unmet needs for family planning among married women in aged 18-49 years in select villages in Anekal taluk, Karnataka.
- To study the various factors associated with unmet needs for family planning among these women.

METHODS

This was a cross sectional study done during the period of two months (August – September 2016). Institutional Ethics Review Board consented for the study. The study population includes married women among reproductive age group (18-49 yrs) from villages of Anekal taluk which was selected purposively. Women who were widows, separated, divorced pregnant and postpartum amenorrhoeic women were excluded. According to District Level Household Survey (DLHS)  \(^7\) Karnataka 2007-2008, the unmet needs for family planning is 15.8%. The sample size was calculated using the formula \(Z^2pq/d^2\) where, \(Z=1.96\), \(p=0.158\), \(q=1-0.158=0.842\) \(d=\)absolute precision=7%. The sample size is 104 subjects. Anticipating a non-response rate of 20%, the total sample size was 125. Informed consent is taken from each subject before the start of the study. The interview schedule was divided into socio-demographic details of the subjects and questions regarding unmet needs for family planning and its determinants. The data was entered in Microsoft Excel and analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS 16). Socio-demographic details and frequencies of each were done by descriptive analysis. Association between socio-demographic details and outcome variables were done using chi-square test.

RESULTS

In this study we interviewed 133 females who fulfilled eligibility criteria. The mean age of the population was 30.8±7.74 (standard deviation) with a minimum age of 18 and maximum of 48 years. More than half 79 (59.4%) of the women belonged to nuclear family and most of them 61 (45.9%) had high school education. In the study population 23 (17.3%) were salaried and 86 (64.7%) were homemakers. Majority 122 (91.7%) belonged to Hindu religion.

In the study population mean age of marriage was 17.51±2.90 years with a minimum age of marriage of 13 and maximum of 26 respectively. More than half 93 (69.9%) of the study population married at an age less than 18 years. More than half 74 (55.64%) of them have 10 or more years of active married life, with a minimum of 1 and maximum of 32 years respectively. Women who had at least two live children were 96 (72.18%) and 51.1% had female child as the last child. Health worker in the area contacted only 64 (48.1%) women on regards of family planning.

In the 133 women studied 127 (95.49%) had awareness about at least one method of contraception, among them103 (81.1%) used some method of contraception. In 103 women who used contraceptive methods 84 (81.6%) were tubectomized and 11 (10.7%) used other methods like pills, condom and Cu-T before undergoing tubectomy. Information regarding contraception was reported to be gained through doctors (37%), family members (23.7%) and health workers (22.9%) respectively.

In the spouses of 127 women who were aware of contraception, 108 (85%) discussed with husband about the issue, 100 (78.7%) of the spouses helped in choosing contraceptive methods and 15 (11.8%) had opposition against any contraceptive methods.

Among the 33 subjects who were not using contraceptives (Table 1), 18 (56.3%) were eager to conceive and the rest 15 (43.7%) did not want a child, sexually active but not using any method of contraception i.e. had unmet needs for family planning.

### Table 1: Met needs for family planning (n=133).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women who were currently using contraceptives (met need for family planning)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100(75.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>33(24.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Limiting – 97 (97%) |
| Spacing – 3 (3%) |

Figure 2: Pie chart showing unmet needs for family planning (n=133).

Table 2: Reported reasons for unmet needs for family planning (n=15).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>No ( % )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provider related-facility/staff</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client related - health concerns, fertility related</td>
<td>5 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraceptive related - side effects</td>
<td>1 (6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment related - family, cultural, religious</td>
<td>9 (60)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Associations of unmet needs for family planning (n=133).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Unmet needs (%)</th>
<th>Met needs (%)</th>
<th>Chi-square value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age group ( years )</td>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>9 (31)</td>
<td>20 (69)</td>
<td>13.01</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>5 (8.3)</td>
<td>55 (91.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥35</td>
<td>1 (2.3)</td>
<td>43 (97.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active married life in years</td>
<td>Up to 5</td>
<td>8 (30.8)</td>
<td>18 (69.2)</td>
<td>12.11</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>4 (12.1)</td>
<td>29 (87.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>3 (4.1)</td>
<td>71 (95.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discussion with husband</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9 (36)</td>
<td>16 (64)</td>
<td>18.804</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6 (5.6)</td>
<td>102 (94.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fisher’s exact test, * - significant p value.

Table 4: Multivariate logistic regression factors associated with unmet needs for family planning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
<th>95% CI for odds ratio</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age group ( years )</td>
<td>18-24</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>0.38 186.46</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>0.25 36.65</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>- 1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active married life in years</td>
<td>Up to 5</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.14 8.05</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.2 10.21</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>- 1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discussion with husband</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>1.87 23.36</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>- 1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05 significant.

DISCUSSION

The present study calculated the unmet needs for family planning as 11.3 % which is more compared to the present unmet needs for Karnataka according to National Family Health Survey (NFHS 4) i.e., 10.4% and more compared to that of rural Karnataka 8.8%. In a study done in Udupi district, Karnataka the unmet needs was 41% and in a study done in urban slums of Davangere, Karnataka the unmet needs was 16 %. In our study we didn’t find any significant association with unmet needs for family planning and occupation, education, religion, number of children, type of family, contact by health worker, age at marriage and sex of last child.

Multivariate analysis (Table 3 and Table 4) was done of factors associated with unmet needs for family planning. It was found that women who didn’t discuss about contraceptive methods have 6 times chance of unmet needs for family planning compared to those who discussed.

In our study we didn’t find any significant association with unmet needs for family planning and occupation, education, religion, number of children, type of family, contact by health worker, age at marriage and sex of last child.
age group more unmet needs for family planning was present. Also in our study we found that unmet needs were more among the females who was married for less than 5 years. This can be attributed to the fact that the young couples do not have sufficient knowledge of various contraceptive methods available or they have fear of the side effects of the contraceptive methods and about the availability of family planning services. Also in our study unmet needs were more among women who were not in the habit of discussing about family planning with husband. This is similar to a study done in Gwalior and Trivandrum India were unmet needs was more among women who were a sole decision maker about family planning.

In our study we found that the most 9 (60%) common reason for unmet needs were family and cultural reasons (Table 2). These findings were not similar to other studies where the most common reasons were fear of side effects, lack of awareness and fertility reasons. This can be attributed to the fact that most of the study subjects had high school education.

CONCLUSION

The present study concluded that the percentage of unmet need for family planning is more in the young sexually active women below the age of 24 years residing in rural Karnataka and those who had less years of active married life. Family planning measures should be specifically directed toward this group of women if India has to make any progress in controlling its population. There is an increased need to scale up health education among adolescent population about family planning methods and to meet user perspectives about methods. The study also concludes that increased awareness had to be created about spacing methods and vasectomy. Also increase awareness programme should conduct among partner men as they still persist to be the decision maker in the families. The limitation of this study was that information from the male partners was not collected and a qualitative view would have added upon a detailed view.
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