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ABSTRACT

Background: Road traffic accident (RTA) is an emerging epidemic of current century perpetrated by a complex interaction of human, vehicle and roadways/environmental factors. The current study was conducted to find out the various determinants of outcomes of RTAs in a district of West Bengal, India.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted over one year by face-to-face interviewing 384 RTA in-patients of departments of General Surgery and Orthopedics of a rural tertiary level hospital of West Bengal, India selected via scheduled sampling. Information pertaining to demographic and correlates of RTA were collected. Follow-up telephonic interview was conducted after one month for ascertaining the final outcome. There were 16 non-respondents in the 2nd phase who were excluded during final compilation rendering the sample size to 368. With SPSS version 22.0, descriptive and inferential statistical analyses were done.

Results: 13.2% and 21.0% of study participants had death and disability respectively. On multinomial logistic regression assuming complete cure as reference outcome, both death and disability were revealed to have significant (<0.05) association to non-usage of protective devices, time of incidence (6AM to 6PM), poor road condition, sustaining multiple injuries and lapsing ‘golden hour’ for treatment.

Conclusions: Stringent enforcement of legislations regarding various road safety measures, improving ply-worthiness of roads and establishment of trauma care centre at the district are essential to induce road “safe systems”.
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INTRODUCTION

The World Health Organization records indicate RTAs are the prime cause of death among those aged 15-29 years.1 About 1.2 Million people, each year, die on roads worldwide of whom fifty per cent being vulnerable road users.2

Increasing trend of RTAs take an enormous toll on individuals and communities at global, regional as well as at country level, emanating from surge in (a) roadways, (b) vehicular traffic and (c) population.

In backdrop of a district town, where the wherewithal’s of transportation is mostly rundown roadways disparaged by increasing vehicular traffic load, resulting in an accelerating trend of RTAs, the current study was conducted to assess the pattern of various predictor correlates of the outcome.
METHODS

Study design and setting

A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted over one year from 1st July, 2015 to 30th June, 2016 in the in-patient departments of General Surgery and Orthopaedics of a tertiary care level hospital of rural setting in the state of West Bengal, India.

Selection and description of study participants

The study was conducted among conscious and/or oriented in-patients who sustained any RTA injury and/or having any accompanying person at the time of interview. As per literature, 48.8% RTA victims had moderate to severe trauma index score (TIS). So, the sample size was computed using:

\[(Z_{a})^2 \times p \times (100-p) / L^2 = (1.96)^2 \times 48.8 \times 51.2 / 5 \times 5 = 384.\]

[Where \(Z_{a}\)=standard normal deviate at 95% confidence, \(p\)=prevalence, \((100-p)\)= complement to prevalence, \(L\)=allowable error]. Factoring the 16 follow-up non-respondents; final sample size was 368. Data collection was done following scheduled sampling on assuming random pairing of the days for each week.

Study tools

Data collection was done by pre-designed, pre-tested questionnaire having three parts namely, a) part I: socio-demographics including human-vehicular-environmental factors, b) part II: care seeking and consequences of RTAs and c) part III: type and severity of injury based on clinical attributes.

Questionnaire containing both the open and close-ended questions in Bengali vernacular, was subjected to extant language validation protocols, with two forward and two backward translations in parallel by two language experts such that the meaning and contents of the items remained unaltered. Content validity was tested with thirteen subject matter experts from Department of Community Medicine of the Institution and content validity ratio (CVR) >0.54 was considered as per the number of subject matter experts. Pre-testing of the questionnaire was conducted in another District Hospital, (about 30KM from study area) among 30 road traffic accident in-patients over a period of one month.

Data collection

The study participants were interviewed face-to-face by principal investigator while visiting the respective in-patient departments for socio-demographics, presence of precipitating factors besides human and environmental attributes and care seeking. Clinical examination and corroboration with bedside ticket were done for assessing pattern of injuries in details. All the 384 patients were attempted to be contacted for final outcome after one month. Among them, 16 were found not to turn up in telephonic conversation; hence the number of validated proforma stood at 368.

Ethics

The study was conducted after obtaining ethical clearance from Institutional Ethics Committee and written permission from Head of the Departments of General Surgery and Orthopaedics.

Informed consent was taken from study participants as written or by LTI, as applicable, and by guardian’s assent in case of minors.

Statistics

Data were codified and entered in Microsoft Excel spread sheet and were checked for consistency. Outcome was categorized as death, disability (being temporary or permanent) and level of “positive to better health” part of the RTA-spectrum as complete cure, left hospital against medical advice (LAMA) or absconded. Analysis was done with the help of software SPSS 22.0 version, Epi Info™ version 7 and Microsoft Excel for descriptive statistics like, mean, SD, median and inferential statistics as multinominal logistic regression, chi square tests etc. and \(p\) value <0.05 was considered significant at 95% of confidence interval.

RESULTS

In the current study 75.2% of the study participants were male. For males, median age was 30 years and range was 3-81 years; while for female patients, median age was 33 years and the age range was 4-73 years. Death and disability was significantly higher among males over females and those with the mean age of 47.46±17.32 years. More than 2/3\(^{rd}\), i.e. 70.3% were from urban background, and 25.0% belonged to general caste. Majority (63.0%) of the participants did have mean years of schooling as 6.5±1.05 years (mean±SD) whereas 56.3% belonged to SES class IV and V (according to B.G. Prasad SES scale, modified in April, 2016). On the determinants of outcome prediction, significant difference was evident on educational status and SES. About half of the RTAs were witnessed in winter season (47.0%). More than 50.0% of the accidents took place at weekends and 46.19% at the peak working hours, being 6 a.m. to 6 p.m. In the current study, 45.4% of the accidents took place over the national and state highways and 52.9% of the roads were reportedly not ply-worthy. Two-wheelers, being mechanized or non-mechanized were involved in 65.0% of the cases whereas four-wheelers were involved in 26.0% of the cases. In 78.0% of accidents, high speed (≥50 km/hour) and front collisions in 40.5% of cases were prime precipitating factors.
Among the human factors, grave outcome resulted in significantly higher proportion among those who did not have protective devices, namely as full-coverage helmets and seat belts for mechanized two-wheelers and four-wheeler riders respectively as also for those who admittedly got distracted by talking or texting over mobile phone. Drunk-driving precipitated higher proportion of death and disability followed by inadequate rest and continuous driving (≥8 hours) by professional drivers. Nature of infirmity arising out of accident contributed significantly towards outcome whereas type of inflicted injury, being multiple over single as cut, fracture, amputation etc., receipt of first aid, receiving primary treatment at tertiary level, reaching health facility within ‘golden hour’ i.e. 1 hour of incidence, also determined the outcome (though not significantly).

Among the total study population, death and disability resulted in 13.2% and 21.0% RTAs respectively. Multinomial logistic regression revealed, (setting complete cure as reference outcome), significant association (p<0.05) between occurrence of death with (a) non-usage of protective devices (AOR 5.845, 95% C.I. 1.18-6.41), (b) time of occurrence as 6 a.m.-6 p.m. (AOR 1.61, 95% C.I. 1.00-2.68), (c) poor road condition (AOR 4.76, 95% C.I. 3.66-6.29), (d) high vehicular speed (AOR 1.98, 95% C.I. 1.54-2.75) and (e) drunkenness (AOR 2.32, 95% C.I. 1.75-5.03). Significant increment in disability was observed in reference to complete cure by factors like, (i) absence of protective devices (AOR 1.22, 95% C.I. 1.05-5.03), (ii) accidents between 6 AM-6 PM. (AOR 6.25, 95% C.I. 2.82-7.98) and (iii) ill-maintained roads (AOR 2.61, 95% C.I. 1.64-4.93) (Table 1).

Regarding injuries and infirmities and care seeking, multinomial logistic regression (setting complete cure as reference) reflected significant association (p<0.05) between probability of death with (a) multiple injuries (AOR 1.55, 95% C.I. 1.05-6.00), (b) receipt of first aid (AOR 2.03, 95% C.I. 1.09-7.06) and (c) ≥1 hour time interval between accident and reaching health facility (AOR 2.35, 95% C.I. 1.32-4.11) whereas likelihood of disability was significantly (p<0.05) increased by (i) pattern of injuries (AOR 3.00, 95% C.I. 1.23-6.19) and (ii) lapsing ‘golden hour’ to reach hospital (AOR 1.69, 95% C.I. 1.36-8.56) (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome of accident</th>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp (B)</th>
<th>95% C.I. Lower</th>
<th>95% C.I. Upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>Non-usage of protective devices</td>
<td>15.47</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>6.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time between 6 a.m.-6 p.m.</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor road condition</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>6.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High speed</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drunkenness</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>5.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability</td>
<td>Non-usage of protective devices</td>
<td>14.61</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>5.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time between 6 AM-6 PM</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>6.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor road condition</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>4.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High speed</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>7.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drunkenness</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>5.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome of RTA</th>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
<th>95% C.I. Lower</th>
<th>95% C.I. Upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>Multiple injuries</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Receipt of first aid</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>7.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥1 hour to reach health facility</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability</td>
<td>Multiple injuries</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>6.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Receipt of first aid</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥1 hour to reach health facility</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>8.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

**Socio-demographics**

The finding of the current study regarding male preponderance and majority of the victims being in productive age group are in conformity with studies by Jha et al, Singh and Kumar from a district hospital.\(^3\)\(^5\) In contrast to the present study Singh et al found majority of the RTA victims to hail from rural background.\(^6\)

Findings regarding higher incidence of RTAs among lower educational and socio-economic status in the current study were similar to the findings by Pathak and Chowdhury et al.\(^7\)\(^8\)
**Environmental attributes**

Analogous to the present study, Bhuayan and Ahmed revealed majority of RTAs in Assam in winter months though Swarnkar observed majority (58.9%) in summer.\(^9,^{10}\) Regarding the days of week, the findings were similar to that by Pathak.\(^7\) However, Mishra et al observed more accidents on weekdays which was also found by Ghosh in Delhi.\(^11,^{12}\) The busiest hours (6 AM to 6 PM) was the time of occurrence for majority RTAs in present study which was congruous to the findings by Shah from Ahmadabad and Singh from North-west India.\(^6,^{13}\)

Analogous observations regarding poor road condition were brought to the surface by Mishra et al.\(^11\) Type and speed of the vehicle involved in the study were in conformity with Pathak, Sharma et al from Gujarat and Trivedi et al.\(^7,^{14,15}\) Head-on collision as predictor for high impact outcome was in close agreement with Singh et al.\(^16\)

**Precipitating factors**

Significant association (p<0.05) concerning non-usage of protective devices in current study was consistent with Tripathy, Singh and Pathak.\(^17,^{16,7}\) The commonest explanation for not using the protective devices was negligence due to overconfidence on driving abilities. Distraction and driver fatigue to predict grave outcome were corroborated by Singh, Shah and Bayen et al.\(^6,^{13,18}\) In this study, drunk-driving emerged as important predictor involving both the risk of road crash as well as the severity of injuries sustained by both the pedestrians and drivers besides vehicular occupants; which was in concordance with Patil, Tripathy and Mishra from Western Nepal.\(^9,^{17,11}\) Hypo-vigilance by drivers stemming from fatigue, especially for long distance drivers was corroborated by Mishra and Bayen et al.\(^14,18\)

**Pattern of injuries and nature of infirmities**

Nature of injury and pattern of infirmity of the victims in the current study were similar to observations by Jha and Gunjan et al from Central India.\(^3,^{20}\)

**The ‘golden hour’ philosophy and RTAs**

In respect to care seeking within Dr. Cowley’s ‘golden hour’ to predict outcome the present study findings were corroborated by Ansari and Lahiri.\(^21,^{22}\)

**Outcome and determining factors**

RTA outcome in the present study was similar to Dandona though lower death rate was reported from Punjab and Assam.\(^23,^{24,9}\)

Regarding predictors and precipitators in human-environmental and vehicular aspects, the current study findings had similarities with studies by Pathak, Mishra, Tripathy and Mahajan.\(^7,^{11,17,25}\)

Regarding injury and care seeking behavior the findings of the study were in concordance to studies by Kiran, Sharma and Patil.\(^24,^{14,19}\)

The analytical approach adopted in the present study, in expressing the spectrum of RTA outcome separately in terms of death and disability as one extreme, while assigning the facet of complete cure as reference, is unique in the sense that usage of such multinomial logistic regression methodology was not found in any other studies in contemporary scientific literature despite extensive search.

**Strength of the study**

As the participants were contacted after one month, final outcome could be ensured. Multinomial logistic regression could explore exquisitely the weightage of grave outcomes in respect to the pattern and determinants of RTAs.

**CONCLUSION**

RTAs inflict sizable mortality and disability to its victims, as observed in this study, especially amongst those requiring hospital admissions. Various factors, be human-environmental like non-usage of protective devices, distraction, drunkenness, non- ply worthiness of the roads or be vehicular, and various injury and infirmity related factors besides care seeking/lapsing golden-hour surfaced as significant predictors of the outcome. Multinomial logistic regression, with setting complete cure as reference, emerged as a powerful analytical tool in revealing predictors & correlates. It flowed from the AORs that the predictors and correlates of RTAs were mostly preventable thus bolstering the concepts of “road-safety” and “golden-hour”. Hence, road safety education, stringency in legislations regarding usage of protective devices, prohibition of sale and consumption of alcohol on roads, up-keeping and regular maintenance of roads and vehicles are the imperative needs of the hour for the sake of saving thousands of lives and making them disability-free in future.

**Limitations**

The data were collected from in-patients only. Resultantly, a huge number of victims at both extremes of the spectrum of injuries–either being too minor without requiring admission and discharged on first-aid or day-care; or with injuries beyond the scope of this hospital and referred instantly to higher center, could not be covered.

**Future research**

Comprehensive elicitation of all relevant attributes of accident cases besides development of district-wise
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