# **Research Article**

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph20160629

# Profile of breast cancer patients attending a tertiary care centre: a cross-sectional study

# Amgiasvasanth A. M.\*, Pushpa S. Patil

Department of Community Medicine, SDM College of Medical Sciences and Hospital, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

**Received:** 03 January 2016 **Revised:** 04 January 2016 **Accepted:** 04 February 2016

\*Correspondence: Dr. Amgiasvasanth A M,

E-mail: amgiasvasanth@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Cancer is the leading cause of death in developed countries and the second leading cause of death in developing countries. In India around 555,000 people died of cancer in the year 2010. In India the average age of the high risk group in India is 43-46 years unlike in the west where women aged 53-57 years are more prone to breast cancer. The objectives of the study were to study the socio-demographic profile of Breast cancer patients attending tertiary care centre and to study the type, site and at what stage Breast cancers are being reported.

Methods: Hospital based Cross-Sectional Study, Carried out over a period of one year from November 2013 to October 2014. After obtaining the written informed consent from the patients, they will be enrolled in the study. A detailed pre-designed & pre-tested proforma is used to collect information on socio-demographic profile. All diagnosed Breast cancer patients are included in the study.

Results: Total of the 81 Breast cancer patients, 97.5% were female and 2.5% Male. And 58.01% were in the age group of 40-60yrs, 43.2% were graduates by education, 49.4% were housewives. 86.4% belonged to upper and upper middle class (class I and class II of BG Prasad's), 8.6% shows positive family history, 67.9% were presents as Lump in the breast. 39.5% were more than one month duration of symptoms. 72.8% were left sided breast cancer. 90.1% were infiltrative ductal cancer. 33.3% were in cancer stage II.

Conclusions: The age for breast carcinoma was more in fifth and sixth decade of life in our Patients. Lump was the most dominant clinical presentation where majority of the patients were in cancer stage II.

**Keywords:** Breast cancer, Ductal carcinoma, ANOVA test

## INTRODUCTION

Cancer is the leading cause of death in developed countries and the second leading cause of death in developing countries.<sup>1</sup> In India around 555,000 people died of cancer in the year 2010.2 In India the average age of the high risk group in India is 43-46 years unlike in the west where women aged 53-57 years are more prone to breast cancer.3

Breast cancer is a global disease. Though the majority of underlying causes and other features are usually uniform around the world, every region has its own uniqueness for that cancer.4

The breast is made up of glands called lobules that can make milk and thin tubes called ducts that carry the milk from the lobules to the nipple. Breast tissue also contains fat and connective tissue, lymph nodes, and blood vessels.5

The most common type of breast cancer is ductal carcinoma, which begins in the cells of the ducts. Breast cancer can also begin in the cells of the lobules and in other tissues in the breast.<sup>6</sup> Invasive breast cancer is breast cancer that has spread from where it began in the ducts or lobules to surrounding tissue.<sup>7</sup>

In the U.S., breast cancer is the second most common cancer in women after skin cancer. It can occur in both men and women, but it is very rare in men. Each year there are about 2,300 new cases of breast cancer in men and about 230,000 new cases in women.

Age shift (More young ladies affected). An increasing numbers of patients are in the 25 to 40 years of age, rising numbers of cases of breast cancer in India, breast cancer accounts for 25% to 32% of all female cancers in all major cities.<sup>9</sup>

The 5-year relative survival rate is lower among women diagnosed with breast cancer before age 40 (85%) compared to women diagnosed at 40 years of age or older (90%). This may be due to tumors diagnosed at younger ages being more aggressive and/or less responsive to treatment. <sup>10</sup>

Late presentation (This directly decreases long term survival of the patient) the overall 5 year survival for breast cancer has increased from 75% in 1970's to almost 89% presently.<sup>11</sup>

Lack of awareness and screening (screening is the single most important factor responsible for better survival of patients in the west). The most important reason being lack of awareness about breast cancer and screening of the same; more than 50% patients of breast cancer present in stages 3 and 4, and outcome is not as good as earlier stages. <sup>12</sup>

Aggressive cancers in young (generally, the younger the age below menopause, the more aggressive the cancer). <sup>13</sup>

## **METHODS**

Hospital based cross-sectional study, carried out over a period of one year from November 2013 to October 2014. A total of 81 breast cancer patients admitted in different surgical and medical wards of a tertiary care centre in Dharwad, were taken up for study.

The relevant data was collected related to sociodemographic profile, and associated risk factors with a pretested and validated structured format. Information regarding socio-demographic details, like age, sex, religion, marital status, socio-economic status, education, occupation, residence and associated risk factors like family history, were recorded. Socio-economic status was evaluated by using the modified BG Prasad classification. Institutional Ethical clearance was obtained from the research and ethical committee for data collection. Data was entered in MS Excel and analyzed by using percentage and proportion.

#### **RESULTS**

Total of the 81 Breast cancer patients, 97.5% were female and 2.5% Male. And large proportion of the patients 58.01% were found to be in the age group of 40-60yrs The youngest patient was 20 and the oldest was 75 years old, 43.2% were graduate by education, 49.4% were housewives, 82.7% were married. 86.4% belonged to upper and upper middle class (class I and class II of BG Prasad's) (Table 1).

Table 1: Socio-demographic profile of Breast cancer patients.

		Frequency	%
	20 - 30	4	4.9
Age	30 - 40	17	21.0
	40 – 50	22	27.2
	50 - 60	25	30.9
	>60	13	16.0
	Total	81	100.0
G.	Male	2	2.5
Sex	Female	79	97.5
	Total	81	100
	Illiterate	19	23.5
	Primary school	7	8.6
	High school	13	16.0
Education	Graduate	35	43.2
Education	Post- graduate	7	8.6
	Total	81	100.0
	Un-employed	7	8.6
	Agri-culturist	14	17.3
Occupation	Labourer	6	7.4
	House wife	40	49.4
	Others	14	17.3
	Total	81	100.0
Socio	Class I	21	25.9
economic	Class II	49	60.5
classificatio	Class III	4	4.9
n (according	Class IV	6	7.4
to BG	Class V	1	1.2
Prasad)	Total	81	100.0
	Hindu	67	82.7
Religion	Muslim	7	8.6
Kengion	Others	7	8.6
	Total	81	100.0
	Married	67	82.7
	Unmarried	14	17.3
Marital	Divorced / Widow	0	0
	Total	81	100.0

Table 2: ANOVA to compare age of diagnosis of breast cancer with stage of presentation of breast cancer.

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Age	Between groups	230.757	3	76.919	0.508	0.678
	Within groups	11656.798	77	151.387		
	Total	11887.556	80			

ANOVA test applied to compare Age of diagnosis of breast cancer with stage of presentation does not show any significant association between the two. (p=0.742,df=3,77,F=0.417)

Table 3: Type, site and stages Breast cancers.

Lump size on clinical examination <ul> <li>1 - 2 cm             <li>30             <li>37.0               Examination             1 - 2 cm             30             37.0               2 - 5 cm             20             24.7               &gt;5 - 5             20             24.7               Total             81             100.0               Bight             20             24.7               Right             20             24.7               BL             2             2.5               BL             2             2.5               I month             28             34.6               I month to 1 year             32             39.5               2 1 year             21             25.9               2 1 year             21             25.9               Total             81             100.0               Family history             7             8.6               Absent             74             91.4               Total             81             100.0               Total             81             100.0               Inflitative Du</li></li></li></ul>			Frequency	%
Lump size on clinical examination         2-5cm         20         24.7           5         20         24.7           Total         81         100.0           Left         59         72.8           Right         20         24.7           BL         2         2.5           Total         81         100.0           Duration of symptoms         < 1month		<1cm		13.6
examination         25 month         20 month         24.7 month           Side of breast affected         Left 59 month         72.8 month           Bight 20 month         20 month         24.7 month           BiL 2 2 2.5 month         2.5 month         2.5 month           Duration of symptoms         Imonth to 1 year 32 39.5 month of 1 month to 1 year 32 39.5 month of 1 month to 1 year 32 39.5 month of 1 month of 1 year 32 39.5 month of 1 month of 1 year 32 39.5 month of 1 month of 1 year 32 39.5 month of 1 month of 1 year 32 39.5 month of 1 month of 1 year 32 39.5 month of 1 month of 1 month of 1 year 32 39.5 month of 1 month of 1 month of 1 year 32 39.5 month of 1 month of		1-2cm	30	37.0
Side of breast affected   Fotal   Side of breast affected   Eeft   Side of breast affected   S		2-5cm	20	24.7
Side of breast affected         Left         59         72.8           Right         20         24.7           BL         2         2.5           Total         81         100.0           Duration of symptoms         4 Imonth         28         34.6           Imonth to I year         32         39.5           > lyear         21         25.9           Total         81         100.0           Family history         7         8.6           Absent         74         91.4           Total         81         100.0           Absent         74         91.4           Total         81         100.0           Total         81         100.0           Trucut         7         8.6           Excision         13         16.0           Total         81         100.0           Histological variant         Infiltrative Ductal cancer         73         90.1           Mixed         3         3.7           Mixed         3         3.7           Mixed         3         3.7           Management of patients         Surgery         67         82.7     <	examination	>5	20	24.7
Side of breast affected       Right B/L       20       24.7         B/L       2       2.5         Total       81       100.0         Duration of symptoms       < Imonth		Total	81	100.0
Side of breast affected         B/L         2         2.5           Total         81         100.0           Duration of symptoms         Imonth         28         34.6           Imonth to 1 year         32         39.5           > 1 year         21         25.9           Total         81         100.0           Family history         7         8.6           Absent         74         91.4           Total         81         100.0           Pathological investigation         FNAC         61         75.3           Trucut         7         8.6           Total         81         100.0           Total         81         100.0           Infiltrative Ductal cancer         73         90.1           Lobular         2         2.5           Insitu malignancy         3         3.7           Mixed         3         3.7           Management of patients         Surgery         67         82.7           Management of patients         MRM         47         70.2           Type of surgery         Others         20         29.8           Total         67		Left	59	72.8
Side of breast affected   File   Total   Ref	G. 1 G. 1 G. 1	Right	20	24.7
Duration of symptoms       < 1 month to 1 year	Side of breast affected		2	2.5
Duration of symptoms         Imonth to 1 year         32         39.5           > 1 year         21         25.9           Total         81         100.0           Family history         7         8.6           Absent         74         91.4           Total         81         100.0           Pathological investigation         FNAC         61         75.3           Trucut         7         8.6           Excision         13         16.0           Total         81         100.0           Insiturative Ductal cancer         73         90.1           Lobular         2         2.5           Insitu malignancy         3         3.7           Mixed         3         3.7           Management of patients         Conservative         14         17.3           Total         81         100.0           Total         67         100.0		Total	81	100.0
Name	- · · · · ·	< 1month	28	34.6
Total   81   100.0	Duration of symptoms	1month to 1 year	32	39.5
Family history         Positive family history         7         8.6           Absent         74         91.4           Total         81         100.0           Pathological investigation         FNAC         61         75.3           Trucut         7         8.6           Excision         13         16.0           Total         81         100.0           Infiltrative Ductal cancer         73         90.1           Lobular         2         2.5           Insitu malignancy         3         3.7           Mixed         3         3.7           Total         81         100.0           Management of patients         Surgery         67         82.7           Management of patients         Conservative         14         17.3           Type of surgery         MRM         47         70.2           Type of surgery         MRM         47         70.2           Type of surgery         Stage II         67         100.0           Stage II         Stage II         Stage II         33.3           Stage St T2N1M0, T3N1M0, T3N2M0         14         17.3           Stage St Tany NM1, Any T N3M0		> 1year	21	25.9
Absent 74 91.4  Total 81 100.0  Pathological investigation  Pathological investigation  Pathological investigation  FNAC 61 75.3  Trucut 7 8.6  Excision 13 16.0  Total 81 100.0  Infiltrative Ductal cancer 73 90.1  Lobular 2 2.5  Insitu malignancy 3 3.7  Mixed 3 3.7  Total 81 100.0  Surgery 67 82.7  Management of patients  Conservative 14 17.3  Total 81 100.0  MRM 47 70.2  Type of surgery  Others 20 29.8  Total 67 100.0  Stage I TONOMO 21 25.9  Stage I Toside 11 Stage 2A: TON1M0, T1N1M0, T2N0M0 27 33.3  Stage 2B: T2N1M0, T3N0M0  Stage 3A: T2N2M0, T3N1M0, T3N2M0 14 17.3  Stage 3B: T4 any NM0, Any T N3M0  Stage VI: Any T any N M1 19 23.5			81	100.0
Absent         74         91.4           Total         81         100.0           Pathological investigation         FNAC         61         75.3           Trucut         7         8.6           Excision         13         16.0           Total         81         100.0           Infiltrative Ductal cancer         73         90.1           Lobular         2         2.5           Insitu malignancy         3         3.7           Mixed         3         3.7           Total         81         100.0           Management of patients         Conservative         67         82.7           Management of patients         Conservative         14         17.3           Type of surgery         MRM         47         70.2           Type of surgery         Others         20         29.8           Total         67         100.0           Stage II         Stage II         Stage II           Stage 2A: T0N1M0, T1N1M0, T2N0M0         27         33.3           Stage 3B: T2N1M0, T3N0M0         27         33.3           Stage 3B: T4 any NM0, Any T N3M0         14         17.3           Stage 3	Family history	Positive family history	7	8.6
Pathological investigation       FNAC       61       75.3         Trucut       7       8.6         Excision       13       16.0         Total       81       100.0         Infiltrative Ductal cancer       73       90.1         Lobular       2       2.5         Insitu malignancy       3       3.7         Mixed       3       3.7         Total       81       100.0         Management of patients       Surgery       67       82.7         Conservative       14       17.3         Total       81       100.0         MRM       47       70.2         Others       20       29.8         Total       67       100.0         Stage I       TotN0M0       21       25.9         Stage III       Stage 2A: T0N1M0, T1N1M0, T2N0M0       27       33.3         Stage 3B: T2N1M0, T3N0M0       27       33.3         Stage 3B: T4 any NM0, Any T N3M0       14       17.3         Stage 3B: T4 any NM0, Any T N3M0       14       17.3         Stage VI: Any T any N M1       19       23.5		Absent	74	91.4
Pathological investigation         Trucut         7         8.6           Excision         13         16.0           Total         81         100.0           Histological variant         Infiltrative Ductal cancer         73         90.1           Lobular         2         2.5           Insitu malignancy         3         3.7           Mixed         3         3.7           Total         81         100.0           Surgery         67         82.7           Total         81         100.0           MRM         47         70.2           Type of surgery         Others         20         29.8           Total         67         100.0           Stage I         Total         67         100.0           Stage II         Stage ITONOMO         21         25.9           Stage Sa: T2N1M0, T3N0M0         27         33.3           Stage Sa: T2N2M0, T3N1M0, T3N2M0         14         17.3           Stage 3B: T4 any NM0, Any T N3M0         14         17.3           Stage VI: Any T any N M1         19         23.5		Total	81	100.0
Pathological investigation   13   16.0     Total		FNAC	61	75.3
Excision   13   16.0     Total   81   100.0     Infiltrative Ductal cancer   73   90.1     Lobular   2   2.5     Insitu malignancy   3   3.7     Mixed   3   3.7     Total   81   100.0     Surgery   67   82.7     Management of patients   20   29.8     Total   81   100.0     MRM   47   70.2     Type of surgery   00thers   20   29.8     Total   67   100.0     Stage I TONOMO   21   25.9     Stage II   Stage 2A: TON1M0, T1N1M0, T2N0M0   27   33.3     Stage 3B: T4 any NM0, Any T N3M0   14   17.3     Stage 3B: T4 any NM0, Any T N3M0   14   17.3     Stage VI: Any T any N M1   19   23.5	Dethalasiaslimmetiastias	Trucut	7	8.6
Histological variant   Lobular   2   2.5     Insitu malignancy   3   3.7     Mixed   3   3.7     Total   81   100.0     Surgery   67   82.7     Management of patients   Conservative   14   17.3     Total   81   100.0     Type of surgery   67   82.7     MRM   47   70.2     Type of surgery   Others   20   29.8     Total   67   100.0     Stage I TONOMO   21   25.9     Stage IS T2N1MO, T1N1MO, T2N0MO   27   33.3     Stage 2B: T2N1MO, T3N0MO   27   33.3     Stage 3B: T4 any NMO, Any T N3MO   14   17.3     Stage 3B: T4 any NMO, Any T N3MO   50   50     Stage VI: Any T any N M1   19   23.5	Pathological investigation	Excision	13	16.0
Lobular       2       2.5         Insitu malignancy       3       3.7         Mixed       3       3.7         Total       81       100.0         Management of patients       Surgery       67       82.7         Management of patients       Conservative       14       17.3         Total       81       100.0         MRM       47       70.2         Others       20       29.8         Total       67       100.0         Stage I       Stage II       Stage II         Stage 2A: T0N1M0, T1N1M0, T2N0M0       27       33.3         Stage 2B: T2N1M0, T3N0M0       27       33.3         Stage 3B: T2N1M0, T3N0M0       14       17.3         Stage 3B: T4 any NM0, Any T N3M0       14       17.3         Stage VI: Any T any N M1       19       23.5		Total	81	100.0
Insitu malignancy   3   3.7     Mixed   3   3.7     Total   81   100.0     Surgery   67   82.7     Management of patients   Conservative   14   17.3     Total   81   100.0     Type of surgery   MRM   47   70.2     Type of surgery   Others   20   29.8     Total   67   100.0     Stage I Total   67   100.0     Stage II Stage 2A: T0N1M0, T1N1M0, T2N0M0   27   25.9     Stage 3B: T2N1M0, T3N0M0   27   33.3     Stage 3B: T2N1M0, T3N1M0, T3N2M0   14   17.3     Stage 3B: T4 any NM0, Any T N3M0   14   17.3     Stage VI: Any T any N M1   19   23.5	TT: . 1	Infiltrative Ductal cancer	73	90.1
Mixed     3     3.7       Total     81     100.0       Surgery     67     82.7       Management of patients     Conservative     14     17.3       Total     81     100.0       MRM     47     70.2       Others     20     29.8       Total     67     100.0       Stage I     T0N0M0     21     25.9       Stage II     Stage 2A: T0N1M0, T1N1M0, T2N0M0     27     33.3       Stage 2B: T2N1M0, T3N0M0     27     33.3       Stage 3B: T2N1M0, T3N1M0, T3N2M0     14     17.3       Stage 3B: T4 any NM0, Any T N3M0     14     17.3       Stage VI: Any T any N M1     19     23.5	Histological variant	Lobular		2.5
Total   81   100.0		Insitu malignancy		3.7
Surgery       67       82.7         Conservative       14       17.3         Total       81       100.0         MRM       47       70.2         Others       20       29.8         Total       67       100.0         Stage I TONOMO       21       25.9         Stage II       Stage 2A: T0N1M0, T1N1M0, T2N0M0       27       33.3         Stage 2B: T2N1M0, T3N0M0       27       33.3         Stage 3B: T2N1M0, T3N1M0, T3N2M0       14       17.3         Stage 3B: T4 any NM0, Any T N3M0       14       17.3         Stage VI: Any T any N M1       19       23.5		Mixed		
Management of patients         Conservative         14         17.3           Total         81         100.0           MRM         47         70.2           Others         20         29.8           Total         67         100.0           Stage I         T0N0M0         21         25.9           Stage II         Stage 2A: T0N1M0, T1N1M0, T2N0M0         27         33.3           Stage 2B: T2N1M0, T3N0M0         27         33.3           Stage 3B: T2N1M0, T3N0M0         14         17.3           Stage 3B: T4 any NM0, Any T N3M0         14         17.3           Stage VI: Any T any N M1         19         23.5		Total	81	100.0
Total 81 100.0  MRM 47 70.2  Type of surgery Others 20 29.8  Total 67 100.0  Stage I T0N0M0 21 25.9  Stage II  Stage 2A: T0N1M0, T1N1M0, T2N0M0 27 33.3  Stage 2B: T2N1M0, T3N0M0  Stage 3A: T2N2M0, T3N1M0, T3N2M0 14 17.3  Stage 3B: T4 any NM0, Any T N3M0  Stage VI: Any T any N M1 19 23.5			67	82.7
MRM       47       70.2         Others       20       29.8         Total       67       100.0         Stage I       T0N0M0       21       25.9         Stage II       Stage 2A: T0N1M0, T1N1M0, T2N0M0       27       33.3         Stage 2B: T2N1M0, T3N0M0       27       33.3         Stage 3B: T2N1M0, T3N0M0       14       17.3         Stage 3B: T4 any NM0, Any T N3M0       14       17.3         Stage VI: Any T any N M1       19       23.5	Management of patients	Conservative	14	17.3
Type of surgery         Others         20         29.8           Total         67         100.0           Stage I T0N0M0         21         25.9           Stage II         Stage 2A: T0N1M0, T1N1M0, T2N0M0         27         33.3           Stage 2B: T2N1M0, T3N0M0         27         33.3           Stage 3B: T2N1M0, T3N0M0         14         17.3           Stage 3B: T4 any NM0, Any T N3M0         14         17.3           Stage VI: Any T any N M1         19         23.5		Total		100.0
Total 67 100.0  Stage I T0N0M0 21 25.9  Stage II  Stage 2A: T0N1M0, T1N1M0, T2N0M0 27 33.3  Stage 2B: T2N1M0, T3N0M0  Stage III  Stage 3A: T2N2M0, T3N1M0, T3N2M0 14 17.3  Stage 3B: T4 any NM0, Any T N3M0  Stage VI: Any T any N M1 19 23.5		MRM		
Stage I T0N0M0       21       25.9         Stage II         Stage 2B: T2N1M0, T3N0M0       27       33.3         Stage 2B: T2N1M0, T3N0M0         Stage III         Stage 3A: T2N2M0, T3N1M0, T3N2M0       14       17.3         Stage 3B: T4 any NM0, Any T N3M0         Stage VI: Any T any N M1       19       23.5	Type of surgery	Others	20	29.8
Stage II         Stage 2A: T0N1M0, T1N1M0, T2N0M0 27 33.3         Stage 2B: T2N1M0, T3N0M0         Stage III         Stage 3A: T2N2M0, T3N1M0, T3N2M0 14 17.3         Stage 3B: T4 any NM0, Any T N3M0         Stage VI: Any T any N M1 19 23.5			67	
Stage 2A: T0N1M0, T1N1M0, T2N0M0 27 33.3         Stage 2B: T2N1M0, T3N0M0         Stage III         Stage 3A: T2N2M0, T3N1M0, T3N2M0 14 17.3         Stage 3B: T4 any NM0, Any T N3M0         Stage VI: Any T any N M1 19 23.5	Stage of cancer		21	25.9
Stage 3A: T2N2M0, T3N1M0, T3N2M0       14       17.3         Stage 3B: T4 any NM0, Any T N3M0       19       23.5		Stage 2A: T0N1M0, T1N1M0, T2N0M0 Stage 2B: T2N1M0, T3N0M0	27	33.3
		Stage 3A: T2N2M0, T3N1M0, T3N2M0 Stage 3B: T4 any NM0, Any T N3M0		
Total 81 100.0		Stage VI: Any T any N M1	19	23.5
		Total	81	100.0

ANOVA test applied to compare Age of diagnosis of breast cancer with stage of presentation does not show any significant association between the two. (p=0.742, df=3, 77, F=0.417) (Table 2). In almost all patients presenting complaint was breast lump. Only few patients presented with nipple discharge and 37% of patients were found to have 1-2 cm size breast lump (Table 3).

On clinical examination, size of breast lump varied from 1-10cms most of them were at the size of 1-2cm, patients who presented with a lump in the breast; 59 (72.8%) patients had a lump in the Left breast and 20 (24.7%) cases had it in the Right breast. Two cases (2.5%) had lumps in both breasts (Table 3). Among diagnostic pathological examination 75.3% cases were diagnosed by FNAC. 8.6% were diagnosed by Trucut biopsy. Rest 16.0% underwent lumpectomy/Excision and others methods. 73 (90.1%) patients had Infiltrative ductal carcinoma, 2 (2.5%) had invasive lobular carcinoma, 3(3.7) patient had mixed ductal and lobular pattern (Table 3).

Of 67 patients who underwent surgical modality of treatment, 47 underwent modified radical mastectomy and others undergone different modalities of surgery. Pathological tumor, node, and metastasis (TNM) staging showed most common group was stage II (33.3%).

#### **DISCUSSION**

In India, the strategies for prevention of breast cancer are required as breast cancer incidence is increasing among women in many regions. The average age of the patient presentation is between 40 and 60 years in present study. The peak age of breast cancer is 60-70 years in western countries and 40-50 years in Asian countries. <sup>14</sup> In present study, nearly 53.1% were below 50 years of age, while 31.69% cases observed in the study by Nigam et al. <sup>15</sup>

Majority of patients 82.7% are married in present study, similar finding was seen in study done by Kaur N et al. <sup>16</sup> In present study 49.4% were housewives a study done by Kaur N et al. shows that 85% were housewives. <sup>16</sup>

Present study 8.6% of patients shows positive family history, similar study done by Bodh k et al showed 5.1%.<sup>17</sup> Lump in the breast was the chief presenting complaint in a majority of the patients (74.1%), as reported in study done by Sandhu DS et al.<sup>18</sup>

In our study 73 (90.1%) patients had Infiltrative ductal carcinoma, 2 (2.5%) had invasive lobular carcinoma, 3 (3.7) patient had mixed ductal and lobular pattern. A study done by Vidhyasagar et al shows that 54 (90%) patients had Invasive ductal carcinoma, 4 (6.67%) had invasive lobular carcinoma. Only 1 patient had ductal carcinoma insitu. <sup>19</sup> In our study out of 67 patients who underwent surgical modality of treatment 47 patients underwent classical MRM similar find 80.6% was seen in study by Sandhu, et al. <sup>18</sup>

In present study majority of them are presenting in stage 2 of cancer 33.3% a study done by hashim et al. shows stage 3.20

### **CONCLUSION**

The mean age of presentation for breast carcinoma is a decade earlier in our patients compared to patients from the west. The incidence of breast cancer in India is increasing and basic education and awareness of the women's health, self-breast examination, and clinical breast examination may help increasing awareness and help to identify breast cancer at early stage in developing countries.

#### Recommendations

Majority of patients were reporting in stage II Cancer so health education regarding early diagnosis and treatment are recommended. Clinicians should elicit family histories and counsel about health-related behaviour's and breast cancer risk

#### Limitation

This study is hospital based study so cannot generalize to population. Few risk factors like menopausal status, duration of breast feed and Hormonal status of patients were not evaluated so further detail study is recommended.

Funding: No funding sources Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee

#### **REFERENCES**

- Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin. 2011;61(2):69-90.
- 2. Srinivasan S, Somasundaram K. One Size does not Fit All—The Future of Cancer Therapy. J Indian Inst Sci. 2012;92(3):339-46.
- 3. Bangal VB, Shinde KK, Gavhane SP, Singh RK. Breast carcinoma in women-A rising threat. Int J Biomed Adv Res. 2013;4(2):73-6.
- 4. Breast Cancer India [Internet]. 2015. Available from: http://www.breastcancerindia.net/index.html
- Information NC for B, Pike USNL of M 8600 R, MD B, USA 20894. Female Breast Cancer -National Library of Medicine. PubMed Health. 2015. Available from: http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/pubmedhealth/PMHT0024121/
- Breast cancer types: What your type means Mayo Clinic. 2015. Available from: http:// www.mayoclinic.org/ diseases-conditions/ breastcancer/in-depth/breast-cancer/art-20045654
- 7. Breast Cancer. National Cancer Institute. 2015. Available from: http://www.cancer.gov/types/breast

- 8. CDC Breast Cancer Statistics. 2015. Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/cancer/breast/statistics/
- 9. Breast Cancer India. 2015. Available from: http://www.breastcancerindia.net/index.html
- Al-Naggar RA. Principles and Practice of Cancer Prevention and Control. 2013. Available from: http://esciencecentral.org/ebooks/practice-of-cancerprevention/digital/breast-cancer.digital /869A262B5CB0AA0D32D8D27E39821086/PCPC Breast% 20cancer.pdf
- Cancer Awareness Medics India. 2015. Available from: http:// www.medicsindia.org/ health/cancerawareness/
- Moses S, Shukla S, Mansoori IK. Keywords: Stage at presentation, carcinoma breast. Retrosp Prospect Study Stage Present Carcinoma breast Tert Health Care Cent Indore. 2015;960. Available from: http://www.jebmh.com/latest-articles.php?at\_id= 960.
- Statistics of Breast Cancer in India: Trends in India.
   Available from: http://www.breastcancerindia.net/statistics/trends.html
- 14. Leong SPL, Shen ZZ, Liu TJ, Agarwal G, Tajima T, Paik NS. Is Breast Cancer the Same Disease in Asian and Western Countries? World J Surg. 2010;34(10):2308-24.
- 15. Nigam J, Sood N, Yadav P. A retrospective study of clinico-pathological spectrum of carcinoma breast in a West Delhi, India. South Asian J Cancer. 2014;3(3):179.
- Kaur N, Attam A, Saha S, Bhargava SK. Breast Cancer Risk Factor Profile in Indian Women.

- [Internet]. JIMSA. 2015. Available from: http://medind.nic.in/jav/t11/i4/javt11i4p163.pdf
- 17. Bodh K, Kumari N, Rangi S, Saini SK, Ghoshal S, Thakur M. Awareness and Prevalence of Risk Factors of Breast Cancer and Cervix Cancer Among Women More than 35 Years of Age Residing in Low Socio Income Colony. Int J Oncol Nurs. 2015.;1(1). Available from: http://nursing.journalspub.info/index.php/IJON/article/view/12
- 18. Sandhu D, Sandhu S, Karwasra R, Marwah S. Profile of breast cancer patients at a tertiary care hospital in north India. Indian J Cancer. 2010;47(1):16.
- Sharma VM, Akruwala SD, Dave RI. Presentation and Management of Breast Cancer Patients in A Newly Started Medical College Hospital. 2015. Available from: http://saspublisher.com/wpcontent/uploads/2013/10/SJAMS15522-526.pdf
- Hashmi SJ, Gaikwad AV. Study of Factors affecting stage of diagnosis of breast cancer in patients presenting in a tertiary care hospital. 2015. Available from: http://iosrjournals.org/iosrjdms/papers/Vol14-issue5/Version-7/B014570407.pdf

**Cite this article as:** Amgiasvasanth AM, Patil PS. Profile of breast cancer patients attending a tertiary care centre: a cross-sectional study. Int J Community Med Public Health 2016;3:663-7.