

Original Research Article

How is silent ‘non-alcoholic fatty liver disease’ epidemic perceived in India? A community based cross sectional study

Anurag Lavekar¹, Pradeep Tarikere Satyanarayana^{2*}, Anagha Lavekar³

¹Department of Gastroenterology, ³Department of Obstetrician and Gynecology, Triveni Hospital, Nanded, Maharashtra, India

²Department of Community Medicine, S.D.U.A.H.E.R., S.D.U.M.C., Kolar, Karnataka, India

Received: 10 October 2019

Accepted: 15 November 2019

*Correspondence:

Dr. Pradeep Tarikere Satyanarayana,
E-mail: dr.pradeep.ts@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: One of the diseases assuming fast importance is non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) which is a clinical syndrome characterized by predominant macrovesicular steatosis of the liver which is an umbrella term for a range of liver conditions affecting people who drink little or no alcohol. Only mild to moderate elevation of serum transaminases which could be only laboratory abnormality found in NAFLD. So with this background, the study was started with the objectives of assessing the perceptions of general population regarding NAFLD and factors influencing perceptions of general population regarding NAFLD.

Methods: It was a community based cross sectional study carried out for a period of 12 months from January 2018 to December 2018 among in Nanded, Maharashtra. Around 422 households were involved after simple random sampling. To assess perceptions a pretested semi-structured questionnaire was used which had 27 questions. All data collected was entered in Microsoft excel and analysed using SPSS v22.

Results: Out of 422 participants, 147 (34.8%) were in the age group of 31-40 years, 333 (78.9%) were male participants, 179 (42.5%) were graduates, 284 (67.3%) belonged to joint family, 140 (33.2%) belonged to Modified BG Prasad classification 2018. 181 (42.9%) had satisfactory perception regarding NAFLD. Females, participants aged more than 40 years, nuclear family participants had better scores.

Conclusions: The present study showed majority of the participants had little awareness of NAFLD regardless of their age, gender, or educational status. Awareness of NAFLD must be promoted for prevention, early detection, and treatment especially counseling by primary care physicians preponderant influence in preventive strategy for NAFLD breaking the chain of disease progression.

Keywords: NAFLD, Perceptions, Knowledge

INTRODUCTION

One of the diseases assuming fast importance because of being a possible precursor of cirrhosis of liver, hepatocellular carcinoma, liver failure, hypertension with insulin resistance or increasing the risk of type 2 diabetes is non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) which is a clinical syndrome characterized by predominant macrovesicular steatosis of the liver.¹⁻³

NAFLD is an umbrella term for a range of liver conditions affecting people who drink little or no alcohol. NAFLD is a growing health issue around the world.⁴ Among Asian Indians, its well established that insulin resistance and the metabolic syndrome are widely prevalent which are the precursors for NAFLD but data supporting this is scarce.⁵⁻⁷ Among western countries the prevalence of NAFLD in general population is 20–30%.⁸ The highest rates are reported from South America and the Middle East, followed by Asia, the USA and

Europe.⁹ The NAFLD is defined as the presence of hepatic steatosis, with no evidence of hepatocellular injury in the form of ballooning of the hepatocytes or fibrosis determined by either imaging or histology, in the absence of secondary causes of hepatic fat accumulation.¹⁰ Over the past three decades, changing lifestyles, urbanization, variation in dietary habits have set proper stage for the obesity and NAFLD epidemic in Asia with India having a startling prevalence of 30%.¹¹ Study done by Mohan et al among urban South Indians showed the prevalence of NAFLD as 32%.¹² The diagnosis of NAFLD requires a combination of invasive and non-invasive tests showing mild to moderately elevated serum levels of aspartate amino transferase and alanine aminotransferase or both being the most common findings.¹³ At times it is only mild to moderate elevation of serum transaminases which could be only laboratory abnormality found in NAFLD but as the condition progresses to cirrhosis only then other abnormalities like increased alkaline phosphatase, g-glutamyl transferase, prolonged prothrombin time, hypoalbuminemia, and hyper-bilirubinemia may be found contributed by ultrasonography of the liver which may demonstrate increased echogenicity of liver parenchyma and cirrhosis. Study done by Lavekar et al using ultrasonography as a diagnostic tool showed a prevalence 28.1%.¹⁴

So with this background, the study was started with the objectives of assessing the perceptions of general population regarding NAFLD and factors influencing perceptions of general population regarding NAFLD.

METHODS

The present study was a community based cross sectional study carried out for a period of 12 months from January 2018 to December 2018 among in Nanded, Maharashtra.

Around 422 households were involved after simple random sampling. As there were no such studies carried out regarding the perceptions regarding NAFLD among general public, sample size was calculated assuming 50% do not know about NAFLD, and error of 5% which came around 400. Participants aging more than 18 years were included and those with history of NAFLD or any family members having NAFLD were excluded from the study. Regarding sociodemographic profile pretested semi-structured questionnaire was used. To assess perceptions a pretested semi-structured questionnaire was used which had 27 questions. Each question would get one mark on right answer and an arbitrary of 15 was used as cutoff. Participants scoring more than 15 were considered having satisfactory perceptions and those less than 15 not satisfactory. Data was collected by interview technique. All data collected was entered in Microsoft excel and analysed using SPSS v22 (IBM corp). The results were expressed in the terms of percentages and frequencies, the association was tested using chi-square test and statistically significant difference between various groups was tested using student t test and ANOVA. Statistically significant was defined when p value was less than 0.05.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows that out of 422 participants, 147 (34.8%) were in the age group of 31-40 years followed by 107 (25.4%) who belonged to 41-50 years, Around 333 (78.9%) were male participants, 179 (42.5%) were graduates, 284 (67.3%) belonged to joint family. Out of 422 participants, 140 (33.2%) belonged to Modified BG Prasad classification 2018.¹⁵ Around 74 (17.5%) had history of hypertension, around 20 (4.7%) had history of dyslipidemia and around 199 (47.2%) had BMI more than 25 classified using WHO Asian classification.¹⁶

Table 1: Distribution of study participants according to socio-demographic profile.

Profile	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Age in years	20-30	20.1
	31-40	34.8
	41-50	25.4
	51-60	16.6
	61 and above	3.1
Gender	Male	78.9
	Female	21.1
Educational status	Illiterate	10.9
	Primary	5.9
	High	20.6
	Graduate	42.4
	Postgraduate	20.1
Type of family	Nuclear	32.7
	Joint	67.3
Modified BG Prasad 2018	I	33.2
	II	30.1
	III	19.0
	IV	11.1
	V	6.6

Continued.

Profile		Frequency	Percentage (%)
History of hypertension	Yes	74	17.5
	No	348	82.5
History of dyslipidemia	Yes	20	4.7
	No	402	95.3
BMI	Less than 18.5	17	4.0
	18.5-22.9	137	32.5
	23-24.9	69	16.4
	More than 25	199	47.2

Table 2: Perceptions regarding NAFLD among study participants.

Perception	Yes N (%)	No N (%)
Are you familiar with the term NAFLD?	63 (14.9)	359 (85.1)
Can NAFLD be encountered in children?	92 (21.8)	330 (78.2)
Can NAFLD occur in diabetics?	137 (32.5)	285 (67.5)
Are you willing to get your sugar levels checked in regards to NAFLD?	166 (39.3)	256 (60.7)
Have you checked your blood sugar levels in regards to NAFLD?	178 (42.2)	244 (57.8)
Is NAFLD associated with dyslipidemia?	153 (36.3)	269 (63.7)
Are you willing to get your blood cholesterol levels checked in regards to NAFLD?	239 (56.6)	183 (43.4)
Have you checked your blood cholesterol levels in regards to NAFLD?	93 (22)	329 (78)
Is NAFLD associated with hypertension?	87 (20.6)	335 (79.4)
Are you willing to get your BP levels checked in regards to NAFLD?	262 (62.1)	160 (37.9)
Have you checked your BP in regards to NAFLD?	189 (44.8)	233 (55.2)
Is NAFLD associated with obesity?	171 (40.5)	251 (59.5)
Are you willing to lose your weight in regards to NAFLD?	301 (71.3)	121 (28.7)
Have you tried losing your weight in regards to NAFLD?	169 (40)	253 (60)
Can NAFLD be seen in thin and lean individuals?	85 (20.1)	337 (79.9)
Can NAFLD progress to cirrhosis of liver?	139 (32.9)	283 (67.1)
Are blood tests (LFT HBsAg HCV) required for diagnosis of NAFLD?	231 (54.7)	191 (45.3)
Are you willing to get LFT HBsAg HCV checked in regards to NAFLD?	247 (58.5)	175 (41.5)
Have you checked your LFT HBsAg HCV in regards to NAFLD?	68 (16.1)	354 (83.9)
Can radio imaging give clue in diagnosing NAFLD?	152 (36)	270 (64)
Are you willing to get radio-diagnosis in regards to NAFLD?	214 (50.7)	208 (49.3)
Have you undergone any radio-diagnosis procedure regards to NAFLD?	28 (6.6)	394 (93.4)
Do you feel NAFLD can be treated by dietary modifications and exercise?	337 (79.9)	85 (20.1)
Are you willing to adopt dietary modifications and exercise in regards to NAFLD?	283 (67.1)	139 (32.9)
Have you adopted any dietary modifications with regards to NAFLD?	288 (68.2)	134 (31.8)
What is the mode of treatment for NAFLD?	247 (58.5)	175 (41.5)
Do you feel that fatty liver is preventable?	216 (51.2)	206 (48.8)

Table 3: Perception of study participants regarding NAFLD (n=422).

Perception	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Satisfactory	181	42.9
Not satisfactory	241	57.1

Table 2 shows the perceptions regarding NAFLD among study participants. Among 422 participants, 181 (42.9%) had satisfactory perception regarding NAFLD (Table 3).

Table 4 shows that 50.9% of study participants who belonged to age group of 41-50 years had satisfactory perception and this association between age and perception was statistically significant. 67.4% of study participants who were females had better perception

however the association was not statistically significant. 53.6% of study participants who belonged to Nuclear family had good perception which was statistically significant. 74.3% of those having hypertension had satisfactory perception regarding NAFLD which was statistically significant. 85.5% of those who had history of dyslipidemia had satisfactory perceptions and this association was statistically significant. 56.5% of those who were postgraduates had satisfactory perception and this association was statistically significant.

Table 4: Association between demographic profile and perceptions regarding NAFLD.

Variable	Perception		Total	P value
	Satisfactory	Not satisfactory		
Age in years	20-30	24 (28.2)	61 (71.8)	0.017
	31-40	61 (41.5)	86 (58.5)	
	41-50	55 (50.9)	53 (49.1)	
	51-60	34 (50.0)	34 (50.0)	
	61 and above	7 (50.0)	7 (50.0)	
	Total	181 (42.9)	241 (57.1)	
BMI (kg/m ²)	less than 18.5	6 (35.3)	11 (64.7)	0.51
	18.5-22.9	59 (43.1)	78 (56.9)	
	23-24.9	25 (36.2)	44 (63.8)	
	More than 25	91 (45.7)	108 (54.3)	
	Total	181 (42.9)	241 (57.1)	
Gender	Male	121 (36.3)	212 (63.7)	0.001
	Female	60 (67.4)	29(32.6)	
	Total	181 (42.9)	241 (57.1)	
Type of family	Nuclear	74 (53.6)	64 (46.4)	0.001
	Joint	107 (37.7)	177 (62.3)	
	Total	181 (42.9)	241 (57.1)	
H/o hypertension?	Yes	55 (74.3)	19 (25.7)	0.001
	No	126 (36.2)	222 (63.8)	
	Total	181 (42.9)	241 (57.1)	
H/o dyslipidemic?	Yes	17 (85.0)	3 (15.0)	001
	No	164 (40.8)	238 (59.2)	
	Total	181 (42.9)	241 (57.1)	
Education status	Illiterate	11 (23.9)	35 (76.1)	0.001
	Primary	3 (12.0)	22 (88.0)	
	High school	31 (35.6)	56 (64.4)	
	Graduates	88 (49.2)	91 (50.8)	
	Postgraduates	48 (56.5)	37 (43.5)	
	Total	181 (42.9%)	241 (57.1)	

Table 5: Comparison of scores between various groups.

Variables		Number	Mean±SD	P value
Gender	Male	333	10.2±6.4	0.001 [#]
	Female	89	14.4±5.6	
Age (years)	<40	232	10.2±6.2	0.001 [#]
	>40	190	12.1±6.6	
Educational status	Illiterate	46	7.5±6.5	0.001 [*]
	Primary	25	6.2±5.6	
	High	87	9.9±6.2	
	Graduate	179	12.5±6	
	Postgraduate	85	11.1±6.4	
Type of family	Nuclear	138	12.3±6.3	0.007 [#]
	Joint	284	10.5±6.4	
Socioeconomic status (modified BG Prasad classification 2018)	I	140	13.7±5.9	0.001 [*]
	II	127	10.9±6.4	
	III	80	7.4±5.0	
	IV	47	10.1±6.6	
	V	28	10.7±7.3	
History of hypertension	Yes	74	16.6±5.5	0.001 [#]
	No	348	9.9±6.0	

Continued.

Variables		Number	Mean±SD	P value
History of dyslipidemia	Yes	20	18.4±4.8	0.001 [#]
	No	402	10.7±6.3	
BMI (kg/m ²)	<18.5	17	9.1±6.7	0.59*
	18.5-22.9	137	11.0±6.3	
	23-24.9	69	10.3±6.0	
	>25	199	11.1±6.4	

*ANOVA, # Independent T test.

Females had better scores compared with males, participants aged more than 40 years had better scores compared with aged less than 40 years, participants of Nuclear family had better scores compared with that of Joint family, participants with history of hypertension and dyslipidemia had higher scores and this difference was statistically significant. Graduate participants and class I participants according to modified BG Prasad classification 2018 had better scores and this difference was statistically significant (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

The present study being a cross sectional study carried among urban study participants for a period of one year where participants were interviewed based on household visits using a pretested semi-structured questionnaire showed 147 (34.8%) were in the age group of 31-40 years, 333 (78.9%) were male participants, 179 (42.5%) were graduates, 284 (67.3%) belonged to joint family, 140 (33.2%) belonged to Modified BG Prasad classification 2018. Around 74 (17.5%) had history of Hypertension, around 20 (4.7%) had history of dyslipidemia and around 199 (47.2%) had BMI more than 25 classified using WHO Asian classification. Among 422 participants, 181 (42.9%) had satisfactory perception regarding NAFLD. Study done by Ghevariya et al in USA to assess the awareness of NAFLD and its risk factors in the general population with the survey questionnaire which had components like awareness of fatty liver, predisposing factors of NAFLD, awareness of cirrhosis, conditions that advance to cirrhosis, awareness of prevention, diagnostic methods and treatment of NAFLD and education of physicians to their patients about NAFLD showed extremely poor awareness regarding NAFLD.¹⁷ Study done by Leung et al using a structured questionnaire that consisted of 16 multiple choice questions assessing the respondents' understanding, perception and concerns about NAFLD as well as questions exploring their general socio-demographics revealed an inadequate knowledge of NAFLD among the general population in Hong Kong.¹⁸ Study done by Weiland et al to assess awareness of NAFLD as a disease entity among individuals with and without metabolic risk factors in an outpatient clinical setting showed substantial lack of recognition or awareness of NAFLD among patients, even among high metabolic risk patients.¹⁹ Study done by Zhang et al showed low awareness of NAFLD among office employees in Beijing.²⁰ NAFLD being considered iceberg

disease it's important to implement tools for public education in creating the behaviour change communication to bring out awareness among general public. Behaviour change communication is vital for increasing the enactment of particular behaviours known to promote health and growth and mass media can be a tipping point.^{21,22} Strengths of study being household interview technique among study participants and limitations were few like a relatively small sample size and smaller cohort. A multi-centric study would be better in generalizability of the data.

CONCLUSION

The present study showed around 57% had poor knowledge regarding NAFLD. The perceptions of the general population toward NAFLD are low. Majority of the participants had little awareness of NAFLD regardless of their age, gender, or educational status. Awareness of NAFLD must be promoted for prevention, early detection, and treatment especially counselling by primary care physicians preponderant influence in preventive strategy for NAFLD breaking the chain of disease progression. Strategies to improve awareness of NAFLD and avoid risk factors among people should be a priority for individual person.

Funding: No funding sources

Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee

REFERENCES

1. Singh SP, Nayak S, Swain M, Rout N, Mallik RN, Agrawal O, et al. Prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in coastal eastern India: a preliminary ultrasonographic survey. *Tropical Gastroenterology: official J Digest Dis Foundation*. 2004;25(2):76-9.
2. Singh S, Kuftinec GN, Sarkar S. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in South Asians: a review of the literature. *J ClinTransl Hepatol*. 2017;5(1):76.
3. Byrne CD, Targher G. NAFLD: a multisystem disease. *J Hepatol*. 2015;62(1):47-64.
4. Zhu JZ, Dai YN, Wang YM, Zhou QY, Yu CH, Li YM. Prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and economy. *Digestive diseases and sciences*. 2015;60(11):3194-202.
5. Luthra A, Nigam P, Misra A. Metabolic correlation and management strategies of non-alcoholic fatty

- liver disease: An Asian Indian perspective. *Diabetes Metab Syndr: Clin Res Rev*. 2007;1(4):279-85.
6. Praveenraj P, Gomes RM, Kumar S, Karthikeyan P, Shankar A, Parthasarathi R, et al. Prevalence and predictors of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in morbidly obese south Indian patients undergoing bariatric surgery. *Obesity Surg*. 2015;25(11):2078-87.
7. Duseja A, Das A, Das R, Dhiman RK, Chawla Y, Bhansali A, et al. The clinicopathological profile of Indian patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is different from that in the West. *Digest Dis Sci*. 2007;52(9):2368-74.
8. Bellentani S, Scaglioni F, Marino M, Bedogni G. Epidemiology of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Digest Dis*. 2010;28(1):155-61.
9. Younossi Z, Anstee QM, Marietti M, Hardy T, Henry L, Eslam M, et al. Global burden of NAFLD and NASH: trends, predictions, risk factors and prevention. *Nature Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2018;15(1):11.
10. Duseja A, Chalasani N. Epidemiology and risk factors of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). *Hepatol Int*. 2013;7(2):755-64.
11. Majumdar A, Misra P, Sharma S, Kant S, Krishnan A, Pandav CS. Prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in an adult population in a rural community of Haryana, India. *Indian J Public health*. 2016;60(1):26.
12. Mohan V, Farooq S, Deepa M, Ravikumar R, Pitchumoni C. Prevalence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in urban south Indians in relation to different grades of glucose intolerance and metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes Res Clin Prac*. 2009;84(1):84-91.
13. Juneja A. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)—the hepatic component of metabolic syndrome. *JAPI*. 2009;57:201.
14. Anurag L, Aniket S, Shalik J, Amarja L, Dhananjay R, Sachin J. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease prevalence and associated risk factors—A study from rural sector of Maharashtra. *Trop Gastroenterol*. 2015;36(1):25-30.
15. Pandey VK, Aggarwal P, Kakkar R. Modified BG Prasads Socio-economic Classification-2018: The need of an update in the present scenario. *Indian J Community Health*. 2018;30(1):82-4.
16. Lim JU, Lee JH, Kim JS, Hwang YI, Kim TH, Lim SY, et al. Comparison of World Health Organization and Asia-Pacific body mass index classifications in COPD patients. *Int J Chronic Obstruc Pulmonary Dis*. 2017;12:2465.
17. Ghevariya V, Sandar N, Patel K, Ghevariya N, Shah R, Aron J, et al. Knowing what's out there: awareness of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Frontiers Med*. 2014;1:4.
18. Leung CM, Lai LS, Wong WH, Chan KH, Luk YW, Lai JY, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: An expanding problem with low levels of awareness in Hong Kong. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2009;24(11):1786-90.
19. Wieland AC, Mettler P, McDermott MT, Crane LA, Cicutto LC, Bambha KM. Low awareness of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease among patients at high metabolic risk. *J Clinical Gastroenterol*. 2015;49(1):6-10.
20. Zhang W, Chao S, Chen S, Rao H, Huang R, Wei L, et al. Awareness and Knowledge of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Among Office Employees in Beijing, China. *Digest Dis Sci*. 2019;64(3):708-17.
21. Briscoe C, Aboud F. Behaviour change communication targeting four health behaviours in developing countries: a review of change techniques. *Soc Sci Med*. 2012;75(4):612-21.
22. Wakefield MA, Loken B, Hornik RC. Use of mass media campaigns to change health behaviour. *Lancet*. 2010;376(9748):1261-71.

Cite this article as: Lavekar A, Satyanarayana PT, Lavekar A. How is silent 'non-alcoholic fatty liver disease' epidemic perceived in India? A community based cross sectional study. *Int J Community Med Public Health* 2019;6:5256-61.