Original Research Article

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph20164727

Default rate and its awareness in management of tuberculosis in Chittoor district population, Andhra Pradesh, India

Chandrasekahr Bineni¹*, Anand Krishna Gorantla², Ashok Kumar Reddy K.³

Received: 05 September 2016 Revised: 10 October 2016 Accepted: 15 November 2016

*Correspondence:

Dr. Chandrasekahr Bineni, E-mail: fims.faculty@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: Default rate is the most essential feature of the DOTS strategy itself and also especially relevant in view of increased prevalence of drug resistance tuberculosis. The aim of the present study was conducted to give awareness on default rate in management of tuberculosis.

Methods: A total of 229 patients with smear positive tuberculosis cases of both category-I and category-II from DOTS centre in tuberculosis, S.V.R.R. Government General Hospital, Tirupati, A.P, India were enrolled and registered for the present study. Consent was taken from the patients to give awareness on default rate role in management of Tuberculosis in Chittoor district population.

Results: Higher default rate is found among those employed 12.4% compared to those unemployed 4.3% and the difference is also found to be statistically significant. The defaulter rate has been found to be higher in those with 3 + sputum grading 12.4% followed by 1 + grading 7.9%.

Conclusions: Default rate in the present study is high which points out that even with DOTS therapy, there can be significant default rate. So it is recommended that DOTS providers are periodically supervised and explained on the need to ensure full compliance and minimize the rate.

Keywords: Default rate, DOTS, Tuberculosis

INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis remains one of the world's deadliest diseases. Revised National Tuberculosis Control Programme (RNTCP) is the largest and the fastest expanding programme in the world. In 2009 alone 1.53 million patients were stated on treatment. Efforts need to be made to create awareness in the society about tuberculosis. Traditional risk factors for noncompliance

like socio-demographic factors, timing, travel, cost of investigation and long waiting period were not major hurdles for treatment adherence. The toxicity of drugs was the major reason for defaulting for treatment.² Default case is conventionally considered as the case who did not take treatment for duration of consecutively 2 months or more. Reasons for initial default were unwillingness, symptoms being mild, personal reasons and dissatisfaction. There is an urgent need to improve

¹Department of Community Medicine, Fathima Institute of Medical Sciences, Kadapa -516003, Andhra Pradesh, India ²Department of Community Medicine, Maharaja Institute of Medical Sciences, Vijayanagaram-535217, Andhra Pradesh, India

³Department of Community Medicine, Sri Venkateswara Medical College, Tirupati-517507, Andhra Pradesh, India

the patient's perception of treatment and strengthen the health systems' capabilities to reduce initial default.³ The present study was conducted to give awareness on default rate and its importance in management of tuberculosis in Chittoor district population.

METHODS

In the present study a total of 229 patients attending to the clinic of DOTS centre Tuberculosis Unit, S. V. R. R. Government General Hospital, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India were registered and enrolled to study the default rate role and its awareness in management of Tuberculosis in Chittor district population. All the smear positive Tuberculosis cases of both category-I and category-II registered were enrolled as study subjects. The study was explained and permission obtained from the DTCO, DMHO, MO-TC, HOD of Pulmonary medicine and concerned DOTS providers of study area after the clearance from Ethical committee. Consent was taken from all the patients and followed up till the completion of their treatment in the present study.

RESULTS

The defaulter rate has been slightly higher in 25 -50 years' age group 11.6% compared to other age groups. However the differences are not statistically significant. Higher proportion of default rate was found in males 10.1% compared to that in females 5.9% but the difference is however not statistically significant (Table-1).

Table 1: Age group and gender by default rate.

Variable	Default rate (%)	Statistical significance	
Age group (years)			
< Less than 25	1 / 37 (2.7)	*χ2=0.06;	
25-50	14 / 121 (11.6)	P=0.80; NS	
50 & above	6 / 71 (8.5)		
Gender			
Male	18 / 178 (10.1)	Fisher's P Value	
Private	3 / 51 (5.9)	= 0.26; NS	

The defaulter rate has been found to be higher in category I (9.6%) compared to that of category II (9.0%) but the difference is however not found to be statistically significant (Table 2).

Table 2: DOTS category by default rate.

DOTS category	Default rate (%)	Statistical significance
Category I	16 / 177 (9.0)	Fisher's P Value
Category II	5 / 52 (9.6)	= 0.54; NS

The defaulter rate was found to be higher in Christians 15.4% compared to that in Hindus 8.1% and Muslims

11.8% but however there is no statistically significant difference among them. Higher defaulter rates is found with regard to scheduled tribes 14.8% and least in other castes 5.0% but the differences are not statistically significant (Table 3).

Table 3: Religion and social category by default rate.

Variable	Default rate (%)	Statistical significance	
Religion			
Hindu	15 / 186 (8.1)	*2_1 45.	
Muslim	2 / 17 (11.8)	*χ2=1.45; P=0.23; NS	
Christian	4 / 26 (15.4)	P=0.25; NS	
Social status			
Scheduled caste	7 / 56 (12.5)		
Scheduled tribe	4 / 27 (14.8)	*2-2.72.	
Backward caste	8 / 106 (7.5)	*χ2=2.72; P=0.26; NS	
Other caste	2 / 40 (5.0)	F=0.20, NS	

The defaulter rate is found to be comparatively higher in those belonging to lower level of socio-economic status 12.5% and nil in upper socio-economic status. It can be seen that the defaulter rate increased with decrease in the level of socio-economic status. However the differences are not statistically significant (Table 4).

Table 4: Socio-economic status by default rate.

Socio-economic status	Default rate (%)	Statistical significance
Upper	0 / 2 (0.0)	
Upper middle	3 / 56 (5.4)	*2_1 01.
Lower middle	5 / 61 (8.2)	- *χ2=1.81; - P=0.41; NS
Upper lower	10 /86 (11.6)	1 =0.41, NS
Lower	3 / 24 (12.5)	

Higher default rate is found among those employed 12.4% compared to those unemployed 4.3% and the difference is also found to be statistically significant. Higher defaulter rate was found in illiterates 12.7% and secondary level of education 11.4% compared to other levels of educational status. However the differences are not found to be statistically significant. The defaulter rate was found to be higher among divorced group compared to other groups but the difference is however not statistically significant (Table 5).

The defaulter rate was found to be comparatively better in those coming from third generation family 11.1% than other types of families but the differences are not statistically significant. Those living in urban slum areas had higher defaulter rate 10.8% compared to other groups but the difference is not statistically significant. Those living alone had higher defaulter rate than those living along with family but the difference is not statistically significant. Defaulter rate has been found to be higher in those with overcrowding in the house 9.1% but the difference is not statistically significant (Table 6).

Table 5: Employment, education and marital status by defaulter rate.

Variable	Default rate (%)	Statistical significance	
Employment			
Employed	17 / 137 (12.4)	χ2=4.29;	
Unemployed	4 / 92 (4.3)	P=0.038; S	
Educational status			
Illiterate	13 / 102 (12.7)		
Primary	1 / 20 (5.0)		
Secondary	4 / 35 (11.4)	*γ2=1.67;	
Higher secondary	2 / 43 (4.7)	P=0.19; NS	
Degree & above	1 / 29 (3.4)	1 =0.17, 145	
Marital status			
Currently married	14 / 136 (10.3)	*χ2=0.08; P=0.77; NS	

Table 6: Type of family, residential status, staying with family and overcrowding by default rate.

Variable	Default rate (%)	Statistical significance		
Type of family				
Nuclear	14 / 165 (8.5)	* χ 2=0.33;		
Joint	5 / 46 (10.9)	P=0.56; NS		
Third generation	2 / 18 (11.1)			
Residential status	Residential status			
Rural	13 / 140 (9.3)	*χ2=0.01;		
Urban slum	4 / 37 (10.8)	P=0.93; NS		
Urban non-slum	4 / 52 (7.7)			
Staying with family				
Yes	19 / 209 (9.1)	Fisher's P Value =		
No (living singly)	2 / 20 (10.0)	0.57; NS		
Overcrowding (N=227)				
Yes	14 / 154 (9.1)	χ2=0.05;		
No	6 / 73 (8.2)	P=0.83; NS		

The defaulter rate has been found to be higher in current smokers 13.7% compared to non-smokers 7.9%. Similarly, higher level of defaulter rate was found in current alcoholics 13.6% than non-alcoholics 7.6%. A slightly lower level of defaulter rate was found in those chewing tobacco 7.1% compared to those who are not 9.5%. However the differences with regard to smoking, chewing tobacco and alcohol intake are however not found to be statistically significant (Table 7).

The defaulter rate has been found to be similar with regard to HIV status as well as previous tuberculosis. With regard to past history of previous tuberculosis treatment, it was found that the defaulter rate is higher among those who had taken treatment for more than 1 month 14.3% compared to other groups. However, there is no statistically significant difference with regard to all the above variables (Table 8).

Table 7: Current smoking, tobacco chewing and alcohol intake by default rate.

Variable	Default rate (%)	Statistical significance		
Current s	moking			
Yes	7 / 51 (13.7)	Fisher's P Value =		
No	14 / 178 (7.9)	0.16; NS		
Current c	Current chewing of tobacco			
Yes	2 / 28 (7.1)	Fisher's P Value =		
No	19 / 201 (9.5)	0.51; NS		
Current alcohol intake				
Yes	8/ 59 (13.6)	χ2=1.83;		
No	13 / 170 (7.6)	P=0.17; NS		

Table 8: HIV status, previous tuberculosis and history of treatment for tuberculosis by default rate.

Variable	Default rate (%)	Statistical significance		
HIV status				
Positive	1 / 21 (4.8)	Fisher's P Value		
Negative	20 / 208 (9.6)	= 0.40; NS		
Previous tuberculosis				
Yes	1 / 13 (7.7)	Fisher's P Value		
No	20 / 216 (9.3)	= 0.61; NS		
History of previous tuberculosis treatment				
Never taken	20 / 217 (9.2)	Fight and a D Malan		
< 1 month	0 / 5 (0.0)	Fisher's P Value = 0.49; NS		
> 1 month	1 / 7 (14.3)	- U.47, INS		

The defaulter rate has been found to be higher in those with 3 + sputum grading 12.4% followed by 1 + grading 7.9% but the differences are not found to be statistically significant (Table 9) in the present study.

Table 9: Sputum grading at the beginning of the treatment by defaulter rate.

Sputum	Defaulter		
grading	Yes (%)	No (%)	Total (%)
Scanty	1 (6.7)	14 (93.3)	15 (100.0)
One +	6 (7.9)	70 (92.1)	76 (100.0)
Two +	2 (4.9)	39 (95.1)	41 (100.0)
Three +	12 (12.4)	85 (87.6)	97 (100.0)
Total	21 (9.2)	208 (90.8)	229 (100.0)

DISCUSSION

In the current study, out of a total of 229 patients, 9 patients defaulted during intensive phase and 12 patients defaulted during maintenance phase of treatment. Thus a total of 21 patients had defaulted during the study giving the defaulter rate of 9.2%. A similar rate of 6.1% was fund in Karnataka study.⁴ A slightly higher defaulter rate 15.1% was found in Agra study with highest prevalence among the 16-30 years age group.⁵ Similarly a higher rate

16.8%, intensive phase – 6.9% and continuation phase – 9.8%) was reported in a study in Kanpur.⁶ A very high defaulter rate was reported in Ghana 55.7%. A lower prevalence was found in a study in Gujarat 6.9%, a study in Mumbai 6.8% and New Delhi study 1.4%. 8-10 The defaulter rate has been found to be higher in divorced group 20.0%, those with duration of symptoms 6 weeks 17.6%, treatment at patient's house 16.7%, Christians 15.4%, history of previous treatment for tuberculosis for more than 1 month 14.3%, current smokers 13.7%, current alcoholics 13.6%, illiterates 12.4%, lower socioeconomic status 12.5%, employed 12.4%, 25-50 years age 11.6%, third generation family 11.1%, urban slum 10.8% and males 10.1%. However differences were not significant with any of the factors mentioned above. Similar prevalence was observed with other factors like tobacco chewing and HIV status. In a study in Tiruvallur, the factors influencing default were found to be male sex, age more than 45 years, illiteracy, smoking and alcohol intake. 11 A study in Brazil has found factors like peer education, alcoholism, TB-HIV co morbidity to be factors influencing default during treatment.12 The most common reasons for default were found to be relief from symptoms 76.2%, lack of awareness 66.7%, side effects 66.7% and sense of well being 57.1%. The study in Ghana has found the reasons for default as distance 73.1%, finance 67.9%, stigma 60.3% etc. In the Agra study, the common reasons for default were found to be side effects of drugs 43.2%, lack of time 13.5%, no relief 10.8%, lack of awareness 9.9% and out of station 8.1%. In the Chattisgarh study, the common reasons found were felt better 34.5%, side effects 20.3%, out of station 15.5%, no time 6.4% and long distance 1.7%. In the Kanpur study, the reasons were found to be feeling good 89.5%, loss of faith in treatment 45.0%, side effects 31.6% and out of station 35.1%.6 Further, it was found that the defaulter rate has been found to be higher in those with 3 + sputum grading 12.4% followed by 1 + grading 7.9% but the differences are not found to be statistically significant in the present study.

CONCLUSION

DOTS providers should be periodically supervised and explained on the need to ensure full compliance and minimize the failure and default rates. Specific actions are to be taken to contact the defaulters to find out the reasons and to motivate them for completion of treatment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors acknowledge Faculty of Department of Community Medicine, SV Medical College, Tirupathi for their valuable suggestions during this work.

Funding: No funding sources Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the

Institutional Ethics Committee

REFERENCES

- TB India 2010 RNTCP Status report, Central TB Division. Directorate General of Health Services, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi.
- Balasubramanian R. Directly Observed Treatment Short course. Tuberculosis cure for all. ICMR bulletin. 2001;31(3):1-7.
- 3. Gopi PG, Chandrasekaran V, Subramani R, Narayanan PR. Failure to initiate treatment for tuberculosis patients diagnosed in a community survey and at health facilities under a dots programme in a district of South India. Indian J Tuberc. 2005;52:153-6.
- Chennaveerappa PK, Siddharam SM, Halesha BR, Vittal BG, Jayashree N. Treatment outcome of tuberculosis patients registered at dots centre in a teaching hospital, south India. Int J Biol Med Res. 2011;2(2):487-9.
- Mittal C, Gupta SC. Non compliance to DOTS: How it can be decreased. Indian J Community Med. 2011;3(1):27-30.
- Verma AK, Mishra M, Singh A, Chaudhri S, Pandey S. Outcome of cases under Revised National Tuberculosis Control Programme at designated microscopy centre of a tertiary level hospital and medical college at Kanpur, U.P. J Clin Sci Res. 2013;2:126-31.
- Boateng SAB, Kodama T, Tachibana T, Hyoi N. Factors contributing to tuberculosis (TB) defaulter rate in New Juaben municipality in the eastern region of Ghana. J Natl Ins public Health. 2010;59(3):291-7.
- 8. Pandit N, Choudhary SK. A study of treatment compliance in directly observed therapy for tuberculosis. Indian J Community Med. 2006; 31(4): 241-3.
- 9. Gill N, Velhal GD, Mahajan H, Sharma B. Treatment of tuberculosis in sero-positive patients in a tertiary care hospital and ART centre in Mumbai, India. Int J Med Health Sci. 2013;2(3):322-30.
- 10. Acharya AS, Khandekar J, Jha C. Dots centre at a tertiary care teaching hospital in northern India: successes and challenges for the future. IJMS. 2012;3(1):21-5.
- Gopi PG, Vasantha M, Muniyandi M, Balasubramanian R, Narayanan PR. Risk factors for non-adherence to directly observed treatment (DOT) in a rural tuberculosis unit, south India. Indian J Tuberc. 2007;54:66-70.
- 12. Garrido Mds, Penna ML, Perez-Porcuna TM, Souza ABd, Marreiro Lds, Albuquerque BC, et al. Factors associated with tuberculosis treatment default in an endemic area of the Brazilian Amazon: Acase control study. PLoS ONE .2012;7(6):1-6.
- 13. Sinha T, Tiwari S. DOTS compliance by tuberculosis patients in district Raipur (Chhattisgarh). Online J Health Allied Sc. 2010;9(3):12.

Cite this article as: Bineni C, Gorantla AK, Reddy KAK. Default rate and its awareness in management of tuberculosis in Chittoor district population, Andhra Pradesh, India. Int J Community Med Public Health 2017;4:146-9.