

Original Research Article

Prevalence of open air defecation and awareness and practices of sanitary latrine usage in a rural village of Raipur district

Prem S. Panda*, Aditi Chandrakar, Gopal P. Soni

Department of Community Medicine, Pt. J.N.M. Medical College, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Received: 21 June 2017

Accepted: 19 July 2017

*Correspondence:

Dr. Prem S. Panda,

E-mail: drpspanda@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: The practice of open defecation is rampant in India and our country is home to the world's largest population of people who defecate in the open. Insanitary disposal of human excreta, along with unsafe drinking water and poor hygiene conditions, is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in low-income countries. The study was conducted with objective to find sanitary latrine usage, prevalence of open air defecation and awareness related to diseases caused by open air defecation in the rural population of a village in Raipur district.

Methods: A total of 155 households were selected for the study using simple random sampling technique by lottery method. One member from each household, preferably the head of the family (if not available, any elder person in the family), was interviewed personally. A structured questionnaire was used to collect information regarding the background characteristics, awareness and practices about sanitary latrine usage.

Results: Majority of the study participants 109 (70.3%) had sanitary latrines in their houses. The prevalence of open air defecation in the study area was found to be 23.2%. Around 2/3rd (65.2%) of study subjects were not aware about spread of disease due to open air defecation.

Conclusions: Awareness regarding spread of diseases due to open air defecation is poor. Effective political commitment and administrative support will be the key points of success of the Swachha Bharat Abhiyaan program.

Keywords: Open air defecation, Sanitary latrine, Awareness, Rural

INTRODUCTION

The practice of open defecation is rampant in India and it is home to the world's largest population of people who defecate in the open and excrete close to 65,000 tones of faeces into the environment each day. Around 564 million people, which are nearly half of the population in India, defecate in the open. India accounts for 90% of the people in South Asia and 59% of the 1.1 billion people in the world who practise open defecation.¹

Insanitary disposal of human excreta, along with unsafe drinking water and poor hygiene conditions, is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in low-income countries.² Much of this disease burden consists of

diarrheal disease, a leading cause of death in young children. In addition, inadequate sanitation is implicated in schistosomiasis, helminth infections, enteric fevers and trachoma.³

The government launched the Swachh Bharat Mission (SBM) in 2nd October 2014, which promises 110 million toilets built in the next five years in an effort to make India an "open defecation free country." In an added bonus, the waste collected would be converted to fertilizer and other forms of energy. Lauded as a "sacred mission" that would coincide with the 150th anniversary of Mahatma Gandhi's birth, this mission has gained approval from almost all sections of the government and society.⁴

The study was conducted with an objective to find sanitary latrine usage, prevalence of open air defecation and awareness related to diseases caused by open air defecation in the rural population of Khorpa village in Raipur district.

METHODS

The present study was a community based cross sectional study which was conducted among the rural population of Khorpa village of Raipur district from February 2017 to June 2017.

A total of 155 households were selected for the study using simple random sampling technique. One member from each household, preferably the head of the family was interviewed personally. A structured questionnaire was used to collect information regarding the background characteristics, awareness and practices about sanitary latrine usage.

The subjects who were staying in the village since last one year were included in the study. Refugees, nomads, brick kiln workers and other temporary residents were excluded from the study. The purpose of the study was explained to the participants and informed consent was obtained.

Data entry was done using Microsoft excel and analysis was done using the PSPP software. Descriptive statistics were calculated for background variables, prevalence of sanitary latrine usage and open air defecation. Association between factors responsible for open air defecation was found by using chi square test. P value also calculated to find out significance level.

RESULTS

Out of total 155 participants, 143 (92.3%) were male and 12 (7.7%) were female. The mean age of study participants was 46.3 ± 11.2 years. Mostly belonged to Hindu (98.7%), and rest were others (1.3%). Among the study participants, 31.6% were illiterate and rests were literate. Almost two-third study subjects were employed (65.2%). Among interviewed subjects 86.5% belonged to nuclear family and 13.5% belonged to joint family. Out of total participants 6.5% belonged to upper class, 36.1% middle class and 57.4% lower class.

Majority of the study participants 109 (70.3%) had sanitary latrines in their houses and all their family members were using it. Public tap (92.7%) was the most common source of water supply for the toilets followed by well water. The usage of community toilets was 6.5%. Among the total 46 participants who had not sanitary latrine in their houses, 36 participants practiced open air defecation and rest used community toilet. The prevalence of open air defecation in the study area was found to be 23.2% (Table 1).

Table 1: Distribution of study participants according to place of defecation.

| Place of defecation | No. of respondents | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Sanitary latrine at own house | 109 | 70.3 |
| Community toilet | 10 | 6.5 |
| Open air defecation | 36 | 23.2 |
| Total | 155 | 100 |

Lack of money, awareness, space and interest may be the contributing factors for non-construction of sanitary latrine at their houses (Table 2).

Table 2: Distribution of participants according to reason for non-construction of sanitary latrine at own house.

| Reasons | No. of respondents | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Lack of money | 10 | 21.7 |
| Lack of space | 05 | 10.9 |
| Lack of interest | 27 | 58.7 |
| Staying in rented house | 04 | 8.7 |
| Total | 46 | 100 |

Around 2/3rd (65.2%) of study subjects were not aware about spread of disease due to open air defecation (Table 3).

Table 3: Distribution of study participants according to awareness about open air defecation.

| Awareness | No. of respondents | Percentage (%) |
|--|--------------------|----------------|
| Awareness about consequences of open air defecation | | |
| Unaware | 101 | 65.2 |
| Aware | 54 | 34.8 |
| Total | 155 | 100 |
| Awareness about diseases transmitted due to open air defecation | | |
| Diarrhea | 40 | 74.1 |
| Dysentery | 06 | 11.1 |
| Typhoid | 02 | 3.7 |
| Worm infestation | 06 | 11.1 |
| Total | 54 | 100 |
| Awareness about mode of spread of diseases due to open air defecation | | |
| Flies | 42 | 77.8 |
| Contaminated water | 06 | 11.1 |
| Contaminated food | 02 | 3.7 |
| Unclean hands | 04 | 7.4 |
| Total | 54 | 100 |

Table 4: Association between open air defecation and socio-demographic variables.

| Socio-demographic Variables | Open air defecation practiced (%) | Open air defecation not practiced (%) |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Sex ($\chi^2=13.765$, *$p=0.0002$) | | |
| Male | 28 | 115 |
| Female | 08 | 04 |
| Total | 36 | 119 |
| Religion ($\chi^2=0.613$, $p=0.4337$) | | |
| Hindu | 36 | 117 |
| Christian | 0 | 02 |
| Total | 36 | 119 |
| Educational status ($\chi^2=72.525$, *$p=0.0000$) | | |
| Illiterate | 30 | 13 |
| Primary School | 03 | 72 |
| Middle School | 03 | 34 |
| High school/Post High school/Graduate/Post Graduate | 00 | 00 |
| Total | 36 | 119 |
| Occupational status ($\chi^2=36.325$, *$p=0.0000$) | | |
| Unemployed | 28 | 26 |
| Unskilled | 07 | 29 |
| Semiskilled | 01 | 52 |
| Skilled/Semiprofessional/Professional | 00 | 12 |
| Total | 36 | 119 |
| Socio-economic Status ($\chi^2=7.949$, *$p=0.0048$) | | |
| Upper | 00 | 10 |
| Middle | 08 | 48 |
| Lower | 28 | 61 |
| Total | 36 | 119 |
| Family Type ($\chi^2=0.389$, $p=0.5327$) | | |
| Nuclear | 30 | 104 |
| Joint | 06 | 15 |
| Total | 36 | 119 |

* $p<0.05$ = significant

DISCUSSION

The cross-sectional study was conducted in the rural village of Raipur district, Chhattisgarh to estimate the prevalence of open air defecation and to assess the association between open air defecation and social factors.

In spite of presence of community latrine, 23.2% of the population opted for open air defecation in the present study. Result was found to be comparable with the study conducted by Anuradha et al in Tamil Nadu where 33.1% of population opted for open air defecation.⁵ Results were observed by another study conducted in Bangladesh,

where open air defecation was practiced by 42% to 79% of the total population.⁶ A study done in Maharashtra by Bhardwaj et al showed that 67% practiced open air defecation. The prevalence of open air defecation was found to be very high in this study.⁷ A study conducted by Geetha in Tiruchirapalli in Tamil Nadu, the prevalence of open air defecation was found to be 90% which is very much high compared to our study.⁸

The proportion of the world population that practises open defecation declined by almost one third from 25% in 1990 to 17% in 2008. A decline in open defecation rates was recorded in all regions. In Sub-Saharan Africa, open defecation rates fell by 25 per cent.⁹

In this study significant association was found between socioeconomic status and open air defecation. ($p=0.0048$) Similar finding was obtained in the study conducted by Anuradha et al which also showed a significant association between between Socio-economic status and open air defecation. ($p<0.0016$).⁵ Similar study conducted by Banerjee AB et al showed that there was significant association found between lower socioeconomic status and open air defecation practice ($p<0.001$).¹⁰ Similarly significant association was found between open air defecation and sex, educational status, occupational status & socio-economic status.

The state of sanitation remains a powerful indicator of the state of human development in any community. Access to sanitation bestows benefits at many levels. Cross-country studies show that the method of disposing of excreta is one of the strongest determinants of child survival: the transition from unimproved to improved sanitation reduces overall child mortality by about a third.¹⁰

CONCLUSION

The prevalence of open air defecation is 23.2%. Factors contributing to non-construction of sanitary latrine are due to lack of money, interest, awareness, space. Awareness regarding spread of disease due to open air defecation is poor. A strong association was found between socioeconomic status and open air defecation. More than two-third subjects had sanitary latrine at their houses.

Recommendation

The study focuses on finding the open defecation rate and its attendant medical problems and with an intention to positively reform the awareness level and practices. Increasing awareness regarding spread of diseases and mode of spread will improve the good practices. Planning, Behavioral Change Communication and Attitude Correction are extremely necessary on this important issue. Effective political commitment and administrative support will be the key points of success of the Swachha Bharat Abhiyaan programme.

Funding: No funding sources

Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: Not required

REFERENCES

1. Eliminate Open Defecation. UNICEF. Available at: <http://unicef.in/Whatwedo/11/Eliminate-Open-Defecation#sthash.TsWcf17G.dpuf>. Accessed on 6 June 2017.
2. Heijnen M, Cumming O, Peletz R, Chan GK-S, Brown J, Baker K, et al. Shared sanitation versus individual household latrines: A systematic review of health outcomes. *PLoS One*. 2014;9:e93300.
3. Bartram J, Cairncross S. Hygiene, sanitation, and water: Forgotten foundations of health. *PLoS Med*. 2010;7:11.
4. The Effects of Open Defecation in India - The Borgen Project. Available at: <https://borgenproject.org/effects-open-defecation-india/> Accessed on 6 June, 2017.
5. Anuradha R, Dutta R, Raja JD, Lawrence D, Timsi J, Sivaprakasam P. Role of community in swachh bharat mission. Their knowledge, attitude and practices of sanitary latrine usage in rural areas, Tamil Nadu. *Indian journal of community medicine: official publication of Indian Association Prevent Soc Med*. 2017;42(2):107.
6. Shakur MS, Ehsan MA. Intestinal Parasites. A frequent association and contributing factor of loose motion in malnourished children. *Bangladesh J Child Health*. 1993;17(1):10-3.
7. Bhardwaj A, Surana A, Mithra P, Singh A, Panesar S, Chikkara PA. A community based cross sectional study on use of sanitary latrines in a rural setup in Maharashtra. *Healthline*. 2013;4(1):89-93.
8. Geeta J. Open Defecation: Awareness and practices of rural districts of Tamil Nadu, India. *Int J Sci Res*. 2014;3:3.
9. WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme for Water Supply and Sanitation. 2010. Available at: https://www.unicef.org/media/files/JMP-2010_Final.pdf. Accessed on 8 June 2017.
10. Banerjee AB, Pasha MA, Fatima A, Isaac E. A study of open air defecation practice in rural nandivargam village. *Int J Bioassays*. 2013;2(07):1051-4.

Cite this article as: Panda PS, Chandrakar A, Soni GP. Prevalence of open air defecation and awareness and practices of sanitary latrine usage in a rural village of Raipur district. *Int J Community Med Public Health* 2017;4:3279-82.