

Review Article

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph20260122>

Effectiveness of lifestyle interventions in preventing progression from prediabetes to diabetes

Marwah Yaqoub^{1*}, Ali Aljazeeri², Khalid Alqahtani³, Gharam Alsalmi⁴, Samah Badoghaish⁵, Rayed Arishi⁶, Ahmed Alluhaybi⁷, Ali Alwalan⁸, Ranya Ismail⁹, Muntathir Aldalwi¹⁰

¹Department of Family Medicine, East Jeddah Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

²College of Medicine, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland – Medical University of Bahrain, Busaiteen, Bahrain

³College of Medicine, Shaqra University, Shaqra, Saudi Arabia

⁴Department of Family Medicine, Ministry of Health, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

⁵Department of General Practitioner in Preventive Medicine, Ministry of Health, Makkah, Saudi Arabia

⁶College of Medicine, King Khalid University, Abha, Saudi Arabia

⁷College of Medicine, Ibn Sina National College, Macca, Saudi Arabia

⁸Department of Emergency, Khamis Mushait General Hospital, Khamis Mushait, Saudi Arabia

⁹Al-Baraka Fertility Hospital, Manama, Bahrain

¹⁰Adult Critical Care, Omran General Hospital, Al-Ahsa, Saudi Arabia

Received: 03 January 2026

Revised: 22 January 2026

Accepted: 23 January 2026

***Correspondence:**

Dr. Marwah Yaqoub,

E-mail: marwahyq@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Prediabetes, a state of intermediate hyperglycemia, significantly increases the risk of developing type 2 diabetes mellitus and associated complications. With global prevalence on the rise, early intervention is critical to reduce the long-term health and economic burden of diabetes. Lifestyle modification remains the most effective non-pharmacological approach for preventing the progression from prediabetes to diabetes. Interventions focusing on dietary changes, increased physical activity, and weight reduction have demonstrated substantial improvements in glycemic control, particularly in structured and intensive programs. Clinical trials such as the Diabetes Prevention Program and the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study have shown that lifestyle interventions can reduce diabetes incidence by more than 50 percent among high-risk individuals. Despite strong evidence, real-world implementation reveals challenges in long-term adherence and program scalability. Multiple factors influence outcomes, including individual motivation, cultural relevance, socioeconomic constraints, and healthcare access. Programs tailored to the needs and preferences of specific populations show better retention and engagement. Digital tools and community-based approaches have expanded accessibility, although technological barriers and variability in engagement persist. Sustained impact often relies on ongoing support and integration with primary care and public health systems. From a public health perspective, creating environments that support healthy behaviors is essential for sustaining lifestyle changes. Policy measures that improve food access, promote physical activity, and encourage preventive care can reinforce individual-level efforts. Cost-effectiveness analyses support investment in lifestyle programs, particularly when targeted at high-risk groups. Long-term sustainability depends on aligning intervention design with both personal and structural determinants of health. While lifestyle change is often viewed as an individual responsibility, its success is shaped by systems and environments that either support or hinder behavior over time. Addressing these broader influences remains key to reducing the global burden of diabetes through prevention.

Keywords: Prediabetes, Lifestyle intervention, Diabetes prevention, Glycemic control, Public health

INTRODUCTION

Prediabetes is a clinically recognized metabolic state in which blood glucose levels are elevated beyond the normal range but not high enough to be classified as type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). This intermediary condition significantly increases the risk of progressing to diabetes and is also associated with a heightened likelihood of cardiovascular complications and other metabolic disorders. Globally, the number of individuals affected by prediabetes is growing rapidly, with projections indicating a major rise in diabetes incidence in the coming decades if preventive measures are not widely adopted.¹ The silent nature of prediabetes makes early identification and intervention critically important from a public health perspective.

Lifestyle interventions, particularly those focused on dietary modifications, physical activity, and weight management, have consistently demonstrated effectiveness in delaying or preventing the onset of T2DM in individuals with prediabetes. One of the most influential studies in this area is the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP), which showed that an intensive lifestyle intervention reduced the risk of developing diabetes by 58% compared to placebo, and by 39% compared to metformin, over an average follow-up of 2.8 years.² The DPP findings were pivotal in establishing lifestyle change as the gold standard for diabetes prevention and have since informed global clinical guidelines. Subsequent international trials have confirmed the generalizability of these findings across various populations and healthcare settings. For instance, the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study (DPS) similarly demonstrated that structured lifestyle changes led to a 58% reduction in diabetes incidence among overweight individuals with impaired glucose tolerance.³ These interventions focused on achieving moderate weight loss, reducing total and saturated fat intake, increasing fiber consumption, and encouraging moderate physical activity. The consistency of outcomes across different demographic groups underscores the broad applicability of these strategies.

The long-term benefits of lifestyle interventions have also been supported by follow-up studies of early prevention trials. The Da Qing Diabetes Prevention Study in China, which originally tested the effects of diet, exercise, or both on diabetes risk, showed that benefits persisted even 20 years after the initial intervention period.⁴ This sustained effect demonstrates the potential of early, behavior-based strategies to modify disease trajectories over a lifetime. However, real-world implementation remains limited by factors such as access to care, participant adherence, and structural barriers in healthcare delivery.

REVIEW

Lifestyle interventions have consistently demonstrated their capacity to delay or prevent the onset of type 2 diabetes among individuals with prediabetes. However,

translating these outcomes from controlled trials to broader populations presents several challenges. While structured programs like those in the DPP have shown impressive efficacy, real-world adherence often falls short, limiting long-term success. Behavioral change requires sustained support, and without regular follow-up or reinforcement, individuals may regress to prior habits, reducing the overall impact of the intervention.⁵

In addition, socioeconomic and environmental factors heavily influence the accessibility and effectiveness of lifestyle changes. Individuals in low-resource settings may face barriers such as limited access to healthy foods, safe environments for physical activity, or culturally relevant education. Moreover, the cost and intensity of interventions can affect scalability, particularly in underfunded healthcare systems. Recent studies suggest that integrating community-based and technology-enhanced strategies may improve participation and outcomes, particularly among high-risk populations who may not engage with traditional healthcare models.⁶

COMPARATIVE IMPACT OF LIFESTYLE INTERVENTIONS ON GLYCEMIC CONTROL

The effect of lifestyle interventions on glycemic control varies depending on the structure, intensity, and duration of the program implemented. Some interventions prioritize individualized coaching and structured goal setting, while others rely on group sessions or self-directed tools. Across this spectrum, changes in dietary intake and physical activity have been shown to significantly reduce markers such as fasting plasma glucose (FPG), hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), and insulin resistance. However, not all interventions yield the same magnitude of improvement, and identifying the most effective components remains an active area of research.

Interventions with higher contact frequency and longer follow-up periods tend to show more durable glycemic benefits. For instance, the Look Action for Health in Diabetes (AHEAD) trial, which targeted individuals with type 2 diabetes but provides insight into glycemic modulation through behavioral change, achieved meaningful reductions in HbA1c through intensive lifestyle coaching over four years, supported by regular assessments and behavioral support sessions.⁷ Although the trial focused on those already diagnosed with diabetes, its structure has informed prevention efforts in prediabetes populations, particularly concerning how glycemic trajectories respond to sustained intervention.

Programs rooted in dietary restructuring alone can deliver notable improvements, especially when focused on reducing refined carbohydrates and increasing fiber intake. A randomized controlled trial evaluating the Mediterranean-style diet combined with caloric restriction and exercise reported significant reductions in both FPG and HbA1c over one year, with results correlating strongly with adherence levels.⁸

The dietary emphasis on whole grains, legumes, and unsaturated fats appeared to improve insulin sensitivity and postprandial glucose response. Notably, the observed glycemic improvement did not require pharmacologic support, which further supports the efficacy of lifestyle-only strategies in early stages of dysglycemia.

Physical activity, particularly moderate-to-vigorous aerobic exercise, has been repeatedly linked with glycemic regulation, even when not accompanied by significant weight loss. In a study involving overweight individuals with impaired glucose tolerance, structured exercise programs focusing on brisk walking for at least 150 minutes per week led to substantial improvements in glucose tolerance and insulin action.⁹ These effects were independent of dietary modifications and point to the metabolic benefits of increased muscle glucose uptake and improved mitochondrial function. Furthermore, resistance training, though less frequently emphasized, has also demonstrated positive effects on fasting glucose and insulin sensitivity, suggesting that mixed-modality interventions may offer enhanced outcomes.

Community-based interventions have expanded the reach of lifestyle modification efforts, especially in underserved populations. A study that adapted diabetes prevention strategies to a workplace setting showed that even limited-resource models, when implemented consistently, can lead to modest but meaningful reductions in HbA1c among at-risk employees.¹⁰ These programs often rely on peer support, environmental modifications, and embedded health education rather than formal clinical oversight. Although the changes were smaller in scale compared to intensive trials, the feasibility and scalability of such models offer promising directions for broad implementation, particularly in areas where access to healthcare services is limited.

BARRIERS AND FACILITATORS INFLUENCING INTERVENTION OUTCOMES

The effectiveness of lifestyle interventions in prediabetes is not solely determined by the content or delivery of the program but is deeply shaped by individual, social, and systemic factors. Programs built on strong clinical evidence often fail to achieve similar results outside trial environments, largely due to inconsistent engagement and uneven access. Socioeconomic disparities influence who participates, who completes interventions, and who benefits the most. Those with limited financial resources or unstable housing are less likely to adhere to structured regimens, not because of lack of interest, but due to competing priorities that relegate health behaviors to the background.¹¹

Cultural alignment between intervention strategies and participant values plays a key role in shaping outcomes. Standardized programs may overlook dietary preferences, language barriers, and family dynamics that influence food choices and physical activity patterns. Studies involving

ethnically diverse populations have highlighted the value of culturally tailored materials and community facilitators who share the lived experiences of participants. When people recognize their environment and identity reflected in the program structure, trust and engagement increase. A community-based intervention among Hispanic adults with prediabetes demonstrated that when lifestyle recommendations were adapted to reflect traditional foods and collective family support, adherence to dietary goals was significantly higher.¹²

The structure of healthcare delivery also influences the reach and durability of intervention outcomes. Programs that integrate with primary care practices, rather than operate as separate services, tend to have higher follow-through rates. Primary care providers often serve as trusted messengers for patients navigating complex health information. Their involvement, even in brief follow-up encounters, reinforces behavior change and keeps participants engaged with their goals. A pragmatic trial involving clinic-based lifestyle counseling showed greater attendance and modest improvements in weight and glucose regulation when physicians-initiated referrals directly during routine visits.¹³ These connections can build continuity that standalone programs may struggle to maintain.

Digital platforms present a growing avenue for expanding intervention access, though they introduce their own challenges. Mobile health applications and remote coaching have increased convenience and scalability, especially for those in rural or underserved areas. Yet digital literacy and internet access remain uneven, and some groups find virtual interactions less motivating than in-person support. In a study examining an online adaptation of a diabetes prevention program, engagement declined sharply after the initial few weeks, especially among older adults with limited experience using technology.¹⁴ Motivation and habit formation appear more fragile in isolated digital environments without consistent human contact or accountability. These tools hold promise but benefit most when paired with periodic personal interaction.

LONG-TERM SUSTAINABILITY AND PUBLIC HEALTH IMPLICATIONS

Lifestyle interventions for prediabetes have consistently demonstrated short-term effectiveness, but long-term sustainability remains a concern. Behavioral change is often achieved during the active phase of a program, especially when regular monitoring, group sessions, or coaching are in place. Once that structure fades, regression is common. Maintenance of weight loss, dietary changes, and physical activity typically declines over time, which affects glycemic control. A long-term follow-up from the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study found that while incidence of type 2 diabetes was significantly reduced over a decade, the intensity of support in the first years played a large role in the durability of the outcomes.¹⁵ The initial

momentum generated by structured programs does not always translate into lifelong change without ongoing reinforcement.

Public health frameworks increasingly recognize the importance of creating environments that make healthy choices easier by default. Programs that focus only on individual behavior, without addressing broader systems such as food policy, urban design, and healthcare access, place a heavy burden on personal willpower. Sustainable change depends on reinforcing lifestyle interventions through social infrastructure. For example, city planning that promotes walkable neighborhoods or incentives that make healthy foods more affordable can reinforce the behaviors taught in clinical programs. A policy analysis examining diabetes prevention strategies across multiple countries found that multi-sector collaborations produced stronger population-level effects than isolated clinical efforts.¹⁶ Shifting from treatment-oriented strategies to structural prevention opens space for sustainable impact.

Cost-effectiveness also plays a central role in scaling lifestyle interventions across populations. While intensive programs often involve significant upfront costs through trained staff, follow-up visits, and resource materials as well as long-term savings related to reduced diabetes incidence and complications can offset initial investments. Evaluations of diabetes prevention programs in different healthcare systems have shown that interventions targeting high-risk populations are generally cost-saving or cost-effective over a 10- to 15-year horizon.¹⁷ These findings strengthen the case for public financing of structured lifestyle programs, especially when integrated into primary care or community settings. However, achieving long-term funding requires political will and evidence that outcomes extend beyond individual benefit to healthcare system efficiency.

Adapting interventions to accommodate diverse needs also influences their sustainability. A program that succeeds in a highly motivated, health-literate population may not be equally effective in groups facing chronic stress, mental health challenges, or low trust in medical institutions. Flexibility in program design can extend reach and persistence. Evidence from real-world implementation studies shows that modular interventions, where participants can engage with select components based on readiness or risk, maintain higher retention over time.¹⁸

CONCLUSION

Lifestyle interventions hold strong potential in preventing the progression from prediabetes to type 2 diabetes, especially when tailored to individual and community needs. Long-term success depends not only on initial effectiveness but also on sustained support and integration into public health systems. Addressing socioeconomic, cultural, and structural factors enhances both reach and retention. For meaningful impact, prevention must extend

beyond clinical programs into policy and environment-level strategies.

Funding: No funding sources

Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: Not required

REFERENCES

1. Federation ID. International diabetes federation: IDF diabetes atlas. Brussels, Belgium. 2013. Available at: <https://diabetesatlas.org/>. Accessed on 05 November 2025.
2. Group DPPR. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *New Eng J Med*. 2002;346(6):393-403.
3. Tuomilehto J, Lindström J, Eriksson JG, Valle TT, Hämäläinen H, Ilanne-Parikka P, et al. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *Engl J Med*. 2001;344(18):1343-50.
4. Li G, Zhang P, Wang J, Gregg EW, Yang W, Gong Q, et al. The long-term effect of lifestyle interventions to prevent diabetes in the China Da Qing Diabetes Prevention Study: a 20-year follow-up study. *Lancet*. 2008;371(9626):1783-9.
5. Dunkley AJ, Bodicoat DH, Greaves CJ, Russell C, Yates T, Davies MJ, et al. Diabetes prevention in the real world: effectiveness of pragmatic lifestyle interventions for the prevention of type 2 diabetes and of the impact of adherence to guideline recommendations: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care*. 2014;37(4):922-33.
6. Sepah SC, Jiang L, Peters AL. Translating the diabetes prevention program into an online social network: validation against CDC standards. *Diabetes Educator*. 2014;40(4):435-43.
7. Group LAR. Long-term effects of a lifestyle intervention on weight and cardiovascular risk factors in individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus: four-year results of the Look AHEAD trial. *Arc Intern Med*. 2010;170(17):1566-75.
8. Esposito K, Maiorino MI, Ciotola M, Di Palo C, Scognamiglio P, Gicchino M, et al. Effects of a Mediterranean-style diet on the need for antihyperglycemic drug therapy in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2009;151(5):306-14.
9. Group DPPR. 10-year follow-up of diabetes incidence and weight loss in the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. *Lancet*. 2009;374(9702):1677-86.
10. Kramer MK, Kriska AM, Venditti EM, Miller RG, Brooks MM, Burke LE, et al. Translating the Diabetes Prevention Program: a comprehensive model for prevention training and program delivery. *Am J Prev Med*. 2009;37(6):505-11.
11. Walker RJ, Smalls BL, Campbell JA, Strom Williams JL, Egede LE. Impact of social determinants of health

on outcomes for type 2 diabetes: a systematic review. *Endocrine*. 2014;47(1):29-48.

- 12. Vincent D, Clark L, Zimmer LM, Sanchez J. Using focus groups to develop a culturally competent diabetes self-management program for Mexican Americans. *Diabetes Educator*. 2006;32(1):89-97.
- 13. Ackermann RT, Finch EA, Brizendine E, Zhou H, Marrero DG. Translating the Diabetes Prevention Program into the community: the DEPLOY pilot study. *Am J Prevent Med*. 2008;35(4):357-63.
- 14. Cotter AP, Durant N, Agne AA, Cherrington AL. Internet interventions to support lifestyle modification for diabetes management: a systematic review of the evidence. *J Diabetes Complic*. 2014;28(2):243-51.
- 15. Lindström J, Peltonen M, Eriksson J, et al. Improved lifestyle and decreased diabetes risk over 13 years: long-term follow-up of the randomised Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study (DPS). *Diabetologia*. 2013;56(2):284-93.
- 16. Hawkes C, Jewell J, Allen K. A food policy package for healthy diets and the prevention of obesity and diet-related non-communicable diseases: the NOURISHING framework. *Obesity Rev*. 2013;14:159-68.
- 17. Li R, Zhang P, Barker LE, Chowdhury FM, Zhang X. Cost-effectiveness of interventions to prevent and control diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33(8):1872-94.
- 18. Seidel MC, Powell RO, Zgibor JC, Siminerio LM, Piatt GA. Translating the Diabetes Prevention Program into an urban medically underserved community: a nonrandomized prospective intervention study. *Diabetes Care*. 2008;31(4):684-9.

Cite this article as: Yaqoub M, Aljazeeri A, Alqahtani K, Alsalmi G, Badoghaish S, Arishi R, et al. Effectiveness of lifestyle interventions in preventing progression from prediabetes to diabetes. *Int J Community Med Public Health* 2026;13:1010-4.