International Journal of Community Medicine and Public Health
Alnakhli YS et al. Int J Community Med Public Health. 2026 Feb;13(2):991-995
http://www.ijcmph.com
pISSN 2394-6032 | eISSN 2394-6040

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph20260118

Review Article

Role of proprioceptive training in the prevention of recurrent ankle sprains

Yasir S. Alnakhli[1]*, Atheer F. Alahmadi[2], Sarah A. Almalki[3], Abeer A. Alhumidani[4], Raneem H. Alharbi[5], Noor K. Khan[6], Raghad M. Aljohani[3], Miad K. Qahtani[7], Abdullah A. Alharbi[5], Sami A. Alshehri[8]

[1]Department of Rehabilitation, King Abdulaziz Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
[2]Department of Physiotherapy, Dr. Suliman Fakeeh Hospital – HHC, Madinah, Saudi Arabia
[3]Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Physiotherabia Clinic, Madinah, Saudi Arabia
[4]Department of Physiotherapy, Specialized Pain Management Center (SPMC), Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
[5]Department of Physiotherapy, Al-Zahra Hospital, Madinah, Saudi Arabia
[6]Department of Physiotherapy, Tamkeen Center Private, Madina, Saudi Arabia
[7]Department of Physiotherapy, Dr. Samir Abbas Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
[8]Department of Physiotherapy, A. F. Hospital, Abha, Saudi Arabia

Received: 08 January 2026
Accepted: 22 January 2026

***Correspondence:**
Dr. Yasir S. Alnakhli,
E-mail: Yasiralnakhli@hotmail.com

ABSTRACT

Recurrent ankle sprains are a common consequence of initial ligamentous injuries, often leading to chronic ankle instability and long-term functional limitations. A key factor contributing to recurrence is the disruption of proprioceptive feedback mechanisms, which impair neuromuscular control and compromises joint stability. Proprioceptive training has gained prominence as a targeted intervention aiming to restore sensorimotor function and reduce the likelihood of repeated injury. Unlike traditional rehabilitation, which emphasizes muscle strength and range of motion, proprioceptive protocols focus on reestablishing the body's awareness of joint position and improving reactive control during movement. These programs often include balance exercises, dynamic stabilization drills, and controlled perturbation challenges that stimulate the afferent pathways and retrain neuromuscular responses. Evidence supports the effectiveness of proprioceptive interventions in enhancing postural control, refining muscle activation patterns, and lowering reinjury rates across various populations, including athletes and recreational participants. Comparative studies reveal superior outcomes in tasks requiring dynamic balance and rapid directional change when proprioceptive components are included in rehabilitation. Long-term follow-up suggests that the benefits of these programs can be maintained, particularly when exercises are integrated into regular routines and supported by consistent adherence strategies. Factors influencing compliance include program design, supervision, motivation, and accessibility. Remote monitoring tools and personalized feedback have shown promise in improving engagement and retention. Collectively, the findings emphasize the critical role of neuromuscular retraining in comprehensive ankle rehabilitation. Addressing proprioceptive deficits not only enhances physical function but also provides a protective mechanism against future injury. As research continues to refine the understanding of proprioceptive mechanisms and optimize intervention strategies, the integration of sensorimotor training into standard care protocols represents a vital step toward reducing the long-term burden of recurrent ankle sprains.

Keywords: Proprioception, Ankle sprain, Neuromuscular control, Injury prevention, Rehabilitation

INTRODUCTION

Ankle sprains are among the most prevalent musculoskeletal injuries encountered in both athletic and general populations, accounting for a substantial proportion of injuries in sports and daily activities. Lateral ankle sprains, in particular, have a high recurrence rate, with estimates suggesting that up to 73% of individuals who suffer an initial ankle sprain experience repeated incident.[1] The persistent nature of these injuries often leads to chronic ankle instability, characterized by recurrent sprains, a feeling of giving way, and long-term sensorimotor deficits. These deficits reflect not only mechanical insufficiencies but also functional impairments, including reduced proprioceptive acuity, neuromuscular control, and balance.[2]

Proprioception refers to the body's ability to perceive joint position, movement, and force, playing a crucial role in maintaining postural control and coordinating dynamic joint stability. Following an ankle sprain, there is often damage to the mechanoreceptors located in the joint capsule and surrounding soft tissues. This damage impairs afferent signaling to the central nervous system, compromising the neuromuscular feedback loops responsible for dynamic stabilization of the joint.[3] Consequently, proprioceptive deficits are considered a central factor in the pathophysiology of recurrent ankle sprains, making proprioceptive training a focal point in preventive and rehabilitative strategies.

Proprioceptive training involves a range of interventions designed to stimulate the sensorimotor system and restore functional joint stability. These interventions include balance training, perturbation exercises, agility drills, and the use of unstable surfaces. Research has demonstrated that such training improves joint position sense, enhances postural control, and promotes more effective neuromuscular responses during functional tasks.[4] Moreover, proprioceptive training is not limited to post-injury rehabilitation; its preventive role is gaining increasing recognition as a proactive measure to reduce the likelihood of initial and recurrent sprains. The underlying premise is that enhancing proprioceptive acuity and neuromuscular coordination equips individuals with better control over ankle movements, particularly during high-risk scenarios involving sudden changes in direction or uneven terrain.

REVIEW

Proprioceptive training has emerged as a cornerstone in the management and prevention of recurrent ankle sprains, particularly due to its influence on neuromuscular control and joint stability. Following an ankle sprain, the disruption of mechanoreceptors impairs the sensorimotor feedback necessary for coordinated movement and joint protection. Proprioceptive exercises aim to retrain this system by enhancing afferent input and refining motor responses, thus restoring functional stability and reducing the risk of reinjury.[5] Studies have demonstrated that incorporating proprioceptive interventions, such as balance board training and dynamic stabilization exercises, significantly reduces recurrence rates and improves joint position sense. These improvements are especially evident when training is consistent and progressive in nature. For instance, athletes who undergo structured proprioceptive programs show superior performance in tasks requiring rapid directional changes, suggesting better neuromuscular preparedness.[6]

Neuromuscular mechanisms underpinning proprioceptive adaptations

The effectiveness of proprioceptive training in preventing recurrent ankle sprains is largely attributed to its impact on neuromuscular pathways that govern joint stability and coordination. Injury to the lateral ligaments of the ankle frequently results in diminished afferent feedback, which disrupts the communication between peripheral receptors and central processing centers responsible for regulating balance and movement. This interference not only alters reflexive muscle activation patterns but also leads to a reorganization of sensorimotor control strategies that persist long after structural healing has occurred. Through repeated proprioceptive challenges, such as balance tasks on unstable surfaces or movement under unpredictable conditions, the nervous system can reestablish more precise and timely control over muscular responses relevant to ankle joint stabilization.

Neuroplastic changes following proprioceptive training include heightened activation in central regions such as the somatosensory cortex and cerebellum, as shown in imaging studies examining post-injury rehabilitation outcomes.[7] These adaptations are accompanied by improved synchronization between the motor cortex and spinal reflex pathways, allowing more efficient recruitment of stabilizing musculature like the peroneus longus and tibialis anterior. Such refinements translate into better postural adjustments and more controlled joint positioning during dynamic tasks, reducing the likelihood of aberrant foot placements that predispose to inversion injuries.

At the spinal level, enhanced modulation of reflex excitability has also been observed. Hoffmann reflex (H-reflex) measurements, a common tool for assessing spinal reflex function, demonstrate increased responsiveness after balance-focused interventions. This suggests a restoration of reflexive neuromuscular loops essential for rapid corrective actions during sudden perturbations.[8] Unlike purely strength-based training, proprioceptive regimens emphasize timing and coordination, which are critical in real-world scenarios involving rapid transitions and unpredictable forces. By refining intermuscular coordination, especially in closed-chain functional movements, individuals gain an improved capacity to resist excessive inversion or plantarflexion moments that typically trigger ankle sprains.

Sensorimotor integration improvements are not restricted to isolated joint control. Training protocols that incorporate whole-body balance and dynamic stability exercises contribute to recalibrating proprioceptive inputs from the entire kinetic chain. This systemic approach enhances not just localized ankle stability but also the alignment and efficiency of lower limb biomechanics during gait and sport-specific tasks. A study examining sensorimotor behavior after neuromuscular retraining found improved feedforward control, allowing athletes to anticipate and preemptively adjust to joint-loading demands during cutting and jumping actions.[9] These anticipatory adjustments are particularly crucial in sports environments where external conditions and opponent movements continuously shift. Emerging findings have also highlighted the role of cortical inhibition and excitation balance in proprioceptive control. Short-interval intracortical inhibition, a measure of inhibitory function within the primary motor cortex, has been shown to decrease following sensorimotor training, facilitating a more responsive motor output system.[10]

Comparative efficacy of proprioceptive versus traditional rehabilitation

Traditional rehabilitation protocols for ankle sprains have historically emphasized restoring range of motion, reduced inflammation, and rebuilding muscular strength. These approaches rely heavily on isolated strengthening exercises, static stretches, and progressive loading to return the individual to pre-injury function. While effective at addressing mechanical impairments, they often overlook sensorimotor deficits that persist beyond the resolution of pain or swelling. Proprioceptive training, by contrast, targets the reintegration of afferent feedback and neuromuscular coordination, both of which are critical in mitigating the risk of re-injury. The distinction between the two approaches lies not only in the choice of exercises but in the underlying physiological systems each aims to influence.

Studies comparing outcomes across both methods reveal differences in functional stability and performance during dynamic tasks. For example, athletes who underwent balance-based retraining exhibited improved control in single-leg stance and directional hopping tests, outperforming those who followed conventional strengthening regimens.[11] These functional tasks are closely aligned with the demands of sport and everyday movement, suggesting that proprioceptive enhancements translate more effectively into real-world protective mechanisms. Static strength gains alone do not necessarily improve timing or reactivity in complex, unpredictable settings.

In addition to biomechanical performance, recurrence rates following rehabilitation have become a focal point in evaluating efficacy. Longitudinal trials have shown that participants who completed proprioceptive interventions report fewer episodes of ankle instability or sprains over time when compared with those receiving standard care.[12] These results are particularly relevant for individuals classified with functional ankle instability, where the ankle may appear structurally sound but lacks the neural responsiveness needed to prevent repeated injury. The reorganization of motor control strategies promoted by proprioceptive tasks appears to create more lasting change in movement habits than traditional approaches centered primarily on tissue repair and strength recovery.

Cognitive engagement during proprioceptive activities is also more pronounced. Tasks involving unstable surfaces, rapid perturbations, or closed-eye challenges demand real-time adjustments and sensory processing. This element of active control encourages the brain to refine anticipatory responses, which are essential in sports that involve sudden stops, pivots, or changes in direction. Evidence from randomized trials supports this claim, showing greater improvements in postural sway and reaction time in groups exposed to neuromuscular training elements.[13] Traditional rehabilitation methods, while effective in restoring gross motor ability, often lack these dynamic problem-solving components that challenge the sensorimotor loop. Cost-effectiveness and time to return to activity are practical considerations when comparing approaches. A meta-analysis reviewing post-injury protocols found that individuals who engaged in proprioceptive-focused programs returned to sport at comparable or faster rates without increased risk of reinjury.[14,15]

Long-term outcomes and compliance in proprioceptive intervention programs

The effectiveness of proprioceptive training in reducing recurrent ankle sprains has been supported across a range of short-term studies, yet its long-term sustainability and adherence patterns offer deeper insight into real-world efficacy. Programs designed to improve joint position sense and neuromuscular control tend to produce noticeable improvements in balance, reaction time, and injury prevention within a few weeks. However, sustaining these gains over extended periods requires consistent engagement and behavioral adherence beyond supervised rehabilitation environments.

Studies tracking participants post-intervention over multiple seasons show mixed results. Some report a gradual decline in balance and postural control once structured training ends, suggesting that neural adaptations can regress without continued stimulation.[16] Others highlight residual benefits up to one-year post-program, particularly in individuals who maintain physical activity levels involving balance and coordination. These discrepancies point to individual differences in retention and also to variability in program design and progression. Whether improvements persist appears to depend on how well exercises integrate into daily routines or sport-specific practices rather than on intensity alone.

Behavioral compliance remains a central challenge in translating research protocols into practical injury prevention strategies. Home-based programs have been developed to address this by minimizing equipment needs and time demands. While these formats increase accessibility, studies indicate that self-reported adherence tends to drop significantly after the initial few weeks unless participants receive periodic reinforcement or external accountability.

In a controlled trial involving adolescent athletes, compliance with a 10-week proprioceptive protocol fell by over 30% after week four, correlating with a plateau in observed performance improvements.[17] This pattern raises questions not only about the structure of these interventions but also about participant motivation, supervision, and perceived relevance.

External reinforcement strategies have emerged as tools to support ongoing engagement. These features can help bridge the gap between initial supervised training and independent long-term execution. A randomized study comparing in-person and remote feedback interventions found that individuals receiving weekly virtual check-ins demonstrated significantly higher retention of proprioceptive gains six months after the program concluded.[18] The personalized interaction, even when brief, appeared to reinforce both the technical execution and psychological commitment to the exercises.

Age and athletic level also influence long-term outcomes. Recreational participants often demonstrate lower adherence than competitive athletes, particularly when no return-to-play deadline or performance target exists. In community populations, compliance tends to improve when exercises are integrated into group routines or combined with general fitness activities. Older adults, in particular, benefit from multi-component balance programs that include proprioceptive elements, which have been associated with reduced fall risk and improved functional mobility up to 12 months post-intervention.[19]

Embedding proprioceptive tasks within broader movement routines, rather than isolating them, appears to enhance both compliance and retention. The long-term success of such programs may therefore depend less on the novelty or complexity of individual exercises and more on how they fit into a person's lifestyle, movement habits, and sense of accountability.

CONCLUSION

Proprioceptive training plays a pivotal role in addressing the neuromuscular deficits that contribute to recurrent ankle sprains. Compared to traditional rehabilitation, it offers superior outcomes in dynamic stability and injury prevention. Long-term success depends on consistent adherence and integration into daily or sport-specific routines. Sustained improvements require structured programs that are both accessible and engaging.

Funding: No funding sources
Conflict of interest: None declared
Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee

REFERENCES

1. Hertel J. Functional instability following lateral ankle sprain. Sports Med. 2000;29(5):361-71.
2. Hiller CE, Nightingale EJ, Raymond J, Kilbreath SL, Burns J, Black DA, et al. Prevalence and impact of chronic musculoskeletal ankle disorders in the community. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2012;93(10):1801-7.
3. Freeman M, Dean M, Hanham I. The etiology and prevention of functional instability of the foot. J Bone Joint Surg Br Volume. 1965;47(4):678-85.
4. Chinn L, Hertel J. Rehabilitation of ankle and foot injuries in athletes. Clin Sports Med. 2010;29(1):157.
5. Docherty CL, Arnold BL, Gansneder BM, Hurwitz S, Gieck J. Functional-performance deficits in volunteers with functional ankle instability. J Athletic Train. 2005;40(1):30.
6. Emery CA, Cassidy JD, Klassen TP, Rosychuk RJ, Rowe BH. Effectiveness of a home-based balance-training program in reducing sports-related injuries among healthy adolescents: a cluster randomized controlled trial. CMAJ. 2005;172(6):749-54.
7. Courtney CA, Rine RM. Central somatosensory changes associated with improved dynamic balance in subjects with anterior cruciate ligament deficiency. Gait Posture. 2006;24(2):190-5.
8. Yekdaneh A. Effects of balance and strength training for ankle proprioception in people with chronic ankle instability: a randomized controlled study. J Am Podiatr Med Assoc. 2024;114(3).
9. Gribble PA, Hertel J, Plisky P. Using the Star Excursion Balance Test to assess dynamic postural-control deficits and outcomes in lower extremity injury: a literature and systematic review. J Athletic Train. 2012;47(3):339-57.
10. Taube W, Gruber M, Gollhofer A. Spinal and supraspinal adaptations associated with balance training and their functional relevance. Acta Physiologica. 2008;193(2):101-16.
11. Verhagen EA, Van Mechelen W, De Vente W. The effect of preventive measures on the incidence of ankle sprains. Clin J Sport Med. 2000;10(4):291-6.
12. Wester JU, Jespersen SM, Nielsen KD, Neumann L. Wobble board training after partial sprains of the lateral ligaments of the ankle: a prospective randomized study. J Orthop Sports Physical Ther. 1996;23(5):332-6.
13. Eils E, Rosenbaum D. A multi-station proprioceptive exercise program in patients with ankle instability. Med Sci Sports Exercise. 2001;33(12):1991-8.
14. Riva D, Bianchi R, Rocca F, Mamo C. Proprioceptive training and injury prevention in a professional men's basketball team: a six-year prospective study. J Strength Condition Res. 2016;30(2):461-75.

15. Emery C, Cassidy J, Klassen T, Rosychuk R, Rowe B. Effectiveness of a proprioceptive balance training program in healthy adolescents: a cluster randomized controlled trial. Clin J Sport Med. 2004;14(6):375.
16. Lin C-WC, Hiller CE, de Bie RA. Evidence-based treatment for ankle injuries: a clinical perspective. J Manual Manipulat Ther. 2010;18(1):22-8.
17. de Vasconcelos GS, Cini A, Sbruzzi G, Lima CS. Effects of proprioceptive training on the incidence of ankle sprain in athletes: systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Rehab. 2018;32(12):1581-90.
18. Janssen KW, van Mechelen W, Verhagen EA. Bracing superior to neuromuscular training for the prevention of self-reported recurrent ankle sprains: a three-arm randomised controlled trial. Br J Sports Med. 2014;48(16):1235-9.
19. Sherrington C, Tiedemann A, Fairhall N, Close JC, Lord SR. Exercise to prevent falls in older adults: an updated meta-analysis and best practice recommendations. New South Wales Public Health Bulletin. 2011;22(4):78-83.

Cite this article as: Alnakhli YS, Alahmadi AF, Almalki SA, Alhumidani AA, Alharbi RH, Khan NK, et al. Role of proprioceptive training in the prevention of recurrent ankle sprains. Int J Community Med Public Health 2026;13:991-5.