

Review Article

DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph20250052>

Neurosensory feedback and its role in restorative dentistry enhancing patient comfort

Tarik Mohammed Ali Alakkad^{1*}, Zeyad Waleed Abdulmajeed², Omar Saeed Alqahtani³, Ghadah Mohammed Alshehri², Nuaif Hussain Alqessayer², Mohammed Bander Alotaibi², Hazzaa Hassan Alqahtani², Ghida Saeed Almaliky⁴, Shomous Zuhair Balbaid⁵, Ali Mohammed Almakrami⁶, Norah Ahmed Alshahrani³

¹Department of Restorative Dentistry, Al Thager Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

²College of Dentistry, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

³College of Dentistry, King Khalid University, Abha, Saudi Arabia

⁴Dental Department, Alsalamah Dispensary, Mecca, Saudi Arabia

⁵College of Dentistry, Umm Al-Qura University, Mecca, Saudi Arabia

⁶Dental Department, New Najran General Hospital, Najran, Saudi Arabia

Received: 05 January 2025

Accepted: 20 January 2025

***Correspondence:**

Dr. Tarik Mohammed Ali Alakkad,

E-mail: tm.akkad@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Neurosensory feedback plays a critical role in restorative dentistry, directly influencing the precision of procedures and the comfort experienced by patients. It involves the transmission of sensory signals from the oral tissues to the brain, enabling clinicians to adapt techniques based on patient-specific responses. This process is essential in minimizing procedural discomfort and ensuring functional outcomes, particularly in complex interventions such as dental implants, crowns and endodontic treatments. Mechanoreceptors within the periodontal ligament and pulp provide real-time feedback, guiding adjustments to occlusion, alignment and prosthetic fit. Challenges arise due to variability in neurosensory responses influenced by factors like age, prior trauma and systemic conditions. The absence of natural sensory interfaces, such as the periodontal ligament in dental implants, further complicates the restoration process. While Osseo perception compensates to an extent, its limitations often affect the fine-tuning of occlusion and tactile control. Innovations in biomaterials and surgical techniques have addressed some of these concerns by enhancing compatibility and preserving nerve pathways. However, inflammatory reactions and postoperative sensory disturbances remain common complications. Emerging technologies, such as cone-beam computed tomography and tactile sensors, offer improved diagnostic and therapeutic capabilities, aiding in the management of neurosensory impairments. Despite their promise, accessibility and cost continue to pose significant barriers, limiting widespread adoption. Research into biocompatible materials and neural regeneration strategies holds potential for further advancing restorative dentistry. Emphasizing patient-centered care through preoperative counseling and meticulous technique adaptation can enhance satisfaction and comfort. Overcoming the limitations of neurosensory integration requires a multidisciplinary approach involving clinicians, researchers and material scientists to ensure that restorative procedures achieve both functional and sensory excellence while prioritizing patient well-being.

Keywords: Dental implants, Neurosensory feedback, Patient comfort, Restorative dentistry, Sensory preservation

INTRODUCTION

Neurosensory feedback is an essential physiological process that governs sensory and motor responses in the human body. Within the context of restorative dentistry, neurosensory mechanisms play a pivotal role in ensuring precise execution of procedures and enhancing patient comfort during and after treatment.

This feedback loop, which integrates signals from sensory receptors in the oral and maxillofacial region, provides real-time information on pressure, pain and tactile stimuli. It allows clinicians to adapt their techniques to minimize discomfort and achieve optimal functional and aesthetic outcomes. As dental technologies and methodologies evolve, the integration of neurosensory feedback into restorative protocols has emerged as a key component for improving patient care.

Restorative dentistry encompasses a wide array of procedures, including dental implants, crowns, bridges and fillings, which require meticulous attention to detail. Neurosensory feedback is critical in these interventions, helping clinicians navigate anatomical complexities and maintain soft and hard tissue integrity. Studies have demonstrated that effective neurosensory integration during dental procedures reduces complications such as nerve injury, post-procedural pain and altered sensation, which are significant contributors to patient dissatisfaction.¹ For example, dental implant procedures benefit greatly from precise neurosensory mapping to avoid inadvertent nerve damage, particularly in regions such as the inferior alveolar nerve.

Patient comfort remains a central focus in restorative dentistry. Neurosensory disturbances, including numbness, tingling or chronic pain, are common postoperative complaints that can significantly impact the quality of life. The adoption of atraumatic surgical techniques, such as piezosurgery, has been shown to mitigate these challenges. Piezosurgery employs ultrasonic vibrations to perform bone modifications, thereby minimizing soft tissue trauma and preserving neurosensory pathways. A review of its application in bone augmentation and dental implant procedures highlighted its role in reducing patient-reported discomfort and promoting faster recovery.² Such innovations underscore the importance of neurosensory preservation in enhancing patient experiences.

Minimally invasive approaches have further revolutionized the field, emphasizing techniques that prioritize the conservation of natural structures and neurosensory functions. Procedures like guided implant surgery and flapless extractions have demonstrated significant reductions in pain and swelling, key factors in patient comfort. These advancements not only improve immediate postoperative outcomes but also foster long-term neurosensory recovery. A comprehensive analysis of these methods indicated that patients undergoing

minimally invasive restorative procedures reported higher satisfaction rates and fewer complications compared to conventional approaches.³ The role of advanced biomaterials in restorative dentistry cannot be overlooked. Materials designed to integrate seamlessly with natural tissues while minimizing inflammatory responses have contributed to maintaining neurosensory integrity. Biocompatible scaffolds and coatings used in implants have shown promise in reducing nerve irritation and promoting sensory recovery. Such innovations exemplify the interplay between material science and neurosensory feedback in improving restorative outcomes.⁴

Understanding the intricate relationship between neurosensory feedback and restorative dentistry is crucial for advancing patient care. By leveraging techniques that preserve sensory function and minimize discomfort, clinicians can enhance procedural efficacy and patient satisfaction. This review aims to explore the mechanisms and applications of neurosensory feedback in restorative dentistry, focusing on its role in improving patient comfort and optimizing clinical outcomes.

REVIEW

Neurosensory feedback is an integral component of restorative dentistry, influencing both procedural outcomes and patient comfort. The ability to detect and interpret sensory signals from the oral cavity allows clinicians to perform interventions with greater precision while minimizing patient discomfort. Advances in techniques that leverage neurosensory mechanisms have demonstrated their potential to improve procedural efficacy and reduce postoperative complications, such as neurosensory disturbances. For instance, atraumatic surgical approaches, including the use of flapless techniques, have been associated with reduced nerve damage and enhanced sensory recovery. These methods underscore the importance of neurosensory preservation in enhancing patient outcomes and satisfaction.⁵

Furthermore, emerging evidence highlights the role of innovative materials in promoting neurosensory recovery and improving patient comfort. Biomaterials designed for dental restorations now incorporate properties that mitigate nerve irritation and inflammation, thereby supporting neurosensory pathways. Studies on these materials have shown their effectiveness in minimizing postoperative pain and improving tactile perception in treated regions.

By combining material advancements with minimally invasive techniques, restorative dentistry continues to evolve toward patient-centered care.⁶ The interplay between neurosensory feedback and clinical strategies illustrates the critical need for approaches that prioritize sensory preservation, ensuring functional and aesthetic success while addressing the psychological and physiological comfort of patients.

Mechanisms of neurosensory feedback in dental restorative procedures

The mechanisms underlying neurosensory feedback in dental restorative procedures encompass a complex interplay of biological, neural and mechanical factors. These mechanisms ensure the coordination between tactile sensory inputs and motor outputs, allowing clinicians to perform precise restorations while minimizing damage to surrounding tissues.

This feedback originates primarily from mechanoreceptors and nociceptors located within the periodontal ligament, pulp and adjacent tissues, which transmit sensory information to the central nervous system. Such intricate communication guides the adaptation of dental techniques to individual patient needs, especially in procedures requiring high levels of precision, such as the placement of crowns, bridges and implants.⁷

Neurosensory feedback plays a pivotal role during the mastication process, where mechanoreceptors detect forces exerted on dental structures. Studies indicate that these mechanoreceptors are crucial for maintaining occlusal stability and preventing excessive loading on restorative materials. When the sensory pathways are disrupted, such as during endodontic procedures or due to nerve injury, the patient's ability to perceive occlusal discrepancies diminishes, potentially compromising the success of the restoration. The integration of advanced restorative techniques, like atraumatic tooth preparation and minimal pulp intervention, has been shown to better preserve the neurosensory integrity of the affected tissues.⁸

Another vital aspect of neurosensory feedback in restorative dentistry is its involvement in pain modulation and perception. Dental pulp, enriched with nociceptive fibers, acts as a sensory organ that detects external stimuli, including thermal and mechanical changes. In procedures involving the removal of dental pulp, such as root canal treatments, the loss of this sensory input has significant implications. For example, the absence of pulp sensitivity reduces the ability to detect microleakage or fractures, which can lead to complications over time. The implementation of biomaterials mimicking the mechanical and sensory properties of natural tissues offers promising advancements in addressing these challenges.⁹

Furthermore, neurosensory feedback is indispensable in achieving optimal prosthetic alignment in dental restorations. Prosthetic elements, such as crowns and dentures, rely heavily on sensory pathways to ensure proper fit and functional occlusion.

Disruption of these pathways, whether due to surgical interventions or prosthetic misalignment, can lead to sensory disturbances like paresthesia or dysesthesia.

Emerging evidence suggests that rehabilitative approaches focusing on sensory retraining and neuromodulation can restore partial functionality to the affected areas, improving patient outcomes.¹⁰ Recent advancements in the field have highlighted the importance of neurosensory integration in implantology. Dental implants, unlike natural teeth, lack a periodontal ligament, which serves as a primary source of neurosensory input. This absence necessitates alternative pathways for feedback, such as osseoperception, where sensory information is transmitted through the bone-implant interface. Research has demonstrated that osseoperception allows patients to regain some degree of tactile sensation and functional control over the prosthesis.

Innovative implant designs and surface modifications aim to enhance these sensory capabilities, paving the way for improved neurosensory restoration.¹¹ The relationship of neurosensory feedback mechanisms with restorative procedures emphasizes the need for preserving sensory integrity during all phases of treatment. By maintaining this feedback loop, clinicians can enhance patient comfort and ensure the longevity and functionality of dental restorations.

Impact of neurosensory integration on patient comfort and satisfaction

The integration of neurosensory mechanisms in restorative dentistry significantly influences patient comfort and satisfaction, forming a cornerstone for successful outcomes. Sensory pathways provide critical feedback during procedures, enabling precise control of interventions while minimizing trauma to oral tissues. This relationship between sensory input and motor actions facilitates the adaptation of techniques to patient-specific responses, ensuring enhanced comfort throughout the treatment process.

Patient comfort in dentistry is closely linked to the preservation of natural neurosensory functions, particularly during complex restorative procedures. The utilization of minimally invasive techniques, such as atraumatic extractions and flapless implant placements, exemplifies this approach. These methods preserve nerve pathways and reduce postoperative discomfort, as evidenced by clinical studies that reported a marked decrease in pain levels and improved healing in patients undergoing such procedures.¹²

By prioritizing neurosensory integrity, these techniques align with patient-centered care principles, fostering greater satisfaction with treatment outcomes. The role of advanced biomaterials in enhancing sensory recovery and reducing discomfort cannot be overstated.

Materials engineered to mimic the properties of natural tissues not only improve the structural and functional integration of restorations but also reduce irritation to

surrounding nerves. For instance, bioactive ceramics and polymers used in crowns and implants demonstrate favorable interactions with oral tissues, promoting faster recovery and preserving sensory feedback.¹³ This interaction underscores the necessity of selecting materials that not only meet mechanical demands but also support neurosensory restoration.

Another important aspect is the psychological impact of neurosensory feedback on patient experiences. Sensory disturbances, such as paresthesia or anesthesia, often lead to heightened anxiety and dissatisfaction. Research highlights the importance of addressing these concerns through preoperative counseling and postoperative follow-ups to manage expectations and provide reassurance. Patients with preserved neurosensory function post-treatment consistently report higher satisfaction levels, emphasizing the need for restorative strategies that prioritize sensory outcomes.¹⁴

Neurosensory integration also plays a pivotal role in functional recovery, particularly in procedures involving dental implants. Unlike natural teeth, implants lack a periodontal ligament, which serves as a primary source of tactile feedback. This absence is partially compensated by Osseo perception, wherein sensory signals are transmitted through the bone-implant interface. Innovations in implant design, such as textured surfaces and biomimetic coatings, have been shown to enhance Osseo perception, enabling patients to regain some degree of sensory functionality. This capability is essential for tasks like mastication and occlusal adjustment, contributing to overall comfort and functionality.^{15,16}

The integration of neurosensory feedback extends to prosthetic rehabilitation, where it ensures the proper fit and functionality of dentures and crowns. Misalignment or improper fit can result in sensory disturbances, leading to discomfort and dissatisfaction. Advanced diagnostic tools, including digital occlusal analyzers, are now employed to assess and optimize prosthetic alignment, minimizing the risk of sensory complications. Patients treated with such precision-guided approaches report improved comfort and a stronger sense of natural function.¹⁷ By leveraging neurosensory feedback mechanisms, restorative dentistry achieves not only functional excellence but also addresses the emotional and physical well-being of patients, underscoring its role as a critical determinant of patient satisfaction.

The integration of neurosensory feedback in restorative dentistry introduces a range of challenges that complicate its consistent application and effectiveness. One of the primary hurdles lies in the variability of patient-specific neurosensory responses.

Factors such as age, systemic health conditions and previous dental trauma can significantly alter neurosensory pathways, making it difficult to establish standardized approaches. This unpredictability often leads

to inconsistent outcomes, as reported in studies focusing on post-extraction inferior alveolar nerve disturbances.¹⁸ Technical limitations further constrain the effective application of neurosensory feedback mechanisms. Dental implants, for example, lack the periodontal ligament, which serves as a key sensory interface in natural teeth. This absence creates a gap in neurosensory input, making tasks like fine occlusal adjustments more challenging. Although osseoperception offers a partial substitute, it remains insufficient for replicating the full range of natural sensory functions. Researchers have highlighted this limitation as a critical barrier to achieving optimal patient outcomes.¹⁹

The complexity of neurosensory feedback mechanisms also complicates their integration with modern restorative technologies. Advanced techniques, such as guided implant surgery, while promising in precision, often fail to address the sensory deficit caused by invasive procedures. Furthermore, the lack of widespread accessibility to these advanced technologies in some regions creates disparities in the quality of care. Studies on guided surgeries emphasize the need for supplementary interventions to preserve or restore neurosensory functions during these procedures.²⁰

Biomaterials used in restorative dentistry, although evolving rapidly, present additional challenges. While many materials are designed to mimic the physical and mechanical properties of natural teeth, their compatibility with neural tissues often remains suboptimal. This issue is particularly pronounced in cases of full-mouth rehabilitations, where extensive nerve interactions are involved. Reports suggest that the inflammatory responses triggered by some restorative materials can impede neurosensory recovery and lead to prolonged discomfort or sensory deficits.²¹ Postoperative management of neurosensory complications is another significant limitation. Effective management requires precise diagnostic tools to identify and address issues such as nerve injuries or sensory impairments.

However, many clinicians face difficulties in accurately diagnosing the extent of neurosensory disturbances, especially when relying on subjective patient reports. While emerging technologies such as cone-beam computed tomography provide enhanced visualization of nerve structures, their cost and availability limit their use in routine clinical practice. This diagnostic gap often results in delayed interventions and suboptimal patient outcomes.²²

Efforts to address these challenges highlight the necessity of multidisciplinary approaches that combine clinical expertise with technological advancements. Enhanced education and training for practitioners, particularly in the identification and management of neurosensory issues, have been emphasized as critical steps toward overcoming these barriers. By fostering collaboration among clinicians, researchers and material scientists,

restorative dentistry can better navigate these challenges and ensure improved neurosensory outcomes for patients.

CONCLUSION

Neurosensory feedback is integral to achieving precision, comfort and functionality in restorative dentistry. Addressing its challenges requires a holistic approach that combines advanced technologies, biocompatible materials and clinician expertise. By prioritizing sensory preservation and recovery, the field can enhance patient outcomes and satisfaction. Continued research and innovation will be essential to overcoming existing limitations and ensuring equitable access to high-quality care.

Funding: No funding sources

Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: Not required

REFERENCES

1. Magrin GL, Sigua-Rodriguez EA, Goulart DR, Asprino L. Piezosurgery in bone augmentation procedures previous to dental implant surgery: a review of the literature. *The Open Dent J.* 2015;9:426.
2. Torabinejad M, Goodacre CJ. Endodontic or dental implant therapy: the factors affecting treatment planning. *The J Am Den Assoc.* 2006;137(7):973-7.
3. Pavlíková G, Foltán R, Horká M, Hanzelka T, Borunská H, Šedý J. Piezosurgery in oral and maxillofacial surgery. *International journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery.* 2011;40(5):451-57.
4. Briso ALF, Rahal V, Azevedo FAd, . Neurosensory analysis of tooth sensitivity during at-home dental bleaching: a randomized clinical trial. *J Appl Oral Sci.* 2018;26:20170284.
5. Deliverska EG, Petkova M. Complications after extraction of impacted third molars-literature review. *Journal of IMAB.* 2016;22(3):1202-11.
6. Hennequin M, Faulks D, Veyrune J, Bourdiol P. Significance of oral health in persons with Down syndrome: a literature review. *Developmental Med and Child Neurol.* 1999;41(4):275-83.
7. Samran A. The influence of ferrule height and substance loss on the fracture resistance of endodontically treated premolars: an in vitro study. 2014.
8. Papalexopoulos D, Samartzi T-K, Sarafianou A. A thorough analysis of the endocrown restoration: a literature review. *J Contemp Dent Pract.* 2021;22(4):422-6.
9. Ananthan S, Patil AG, Jaiswal D, Nasri-Heir C, Heir GM, Benoliel R. Sensory changes related to dental implant placement: a scoping review. *J Oral & Facial Pain and Head.* 2022;36(2):34-9.
10. AlDabeeb DS, Alakeel NS, Alkhalid TK. Endocrowns: indications, preparation techniques and material selection. *Cureus.* 2023;15(12):78.
11. Song D, Shujaat S, Politis C, Orhan K, Jacobs R. Osseoperception following dental implant treatment: A systematic review. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2022;49(5):573-85.
12. Kubilius M, Kubilius R, Gleiznys A. The preservation of alveolar bone ridge during tooth extraction. *Stomatologija.* 2012;14(1):3-11.
13. Hench LL, Jones JR. Bioactive glasses: frontiers and challenges. *Front Bioeng and Biotechnol.* 2015;3:194.
14. Armfield JM, Stewart JF, Spencer AJ. The vicious cycle of dental fear: exploring the interplay between oral health, service utilization and dental fear. *BMC.* 2007;7:1-15.
15. Slavkin HC. Biomimicry, dental implants and clinical trials. *The J American Dental Assoc.* 1998;129(2):226-30.
16. Grieznis L, Apse P, Blumfelds L. Passive tactile sensibility of teeth and osseointegrated dental implants in the maxilla. *Stomatologija.* 2010;12(3):80-6.
17. Koos B, Godt A, Schille C, Göz G. Precision of an instrumentation-based method of analyzing occlusion and its resulting distribution of forces in the dental arch. *J of Orofacial Orthopedics/Fortschritte der Kieferorthopadie.* 2010;71(6):33-8.
18. Mahon N. Post-extraction inferior alveolar nerve neurosensory disturbances: a guide to their evaluation and practical management. 2014.
19. Klineberg I, Trulsson M, Murray G. Occlusion on implants—is there a problem. *J Oral Rehabil.* 2012;39(7):522-37.
20. Navalesi P, Oddo CM, Chisci G. The use of tactile sensors in oral and maxillofacial Surgery: An overview. *Bioengineering.* 2023;10(7):765.
21. Sandstedt P, Sörensen S. Neurosensory disturbances of the trigeminal nerve: a long-term follow-up of traumatic injuries. *J Oral and Maxillofac Surg.* 1995;53(5):498-505.
22. Alkhafaji AMA, Valizadeh S. Endocrown restorations on endodontically treated premolars teeth: A review. *Ann Roman Soc for Cell Biol.* 2021;25(6):18606-14.

Cite this article as: Alakkad TMA, Abdulmajeed ZW, Alqahtani OS, Alshehri GM, Alqessayer NH, Alotaibi MB, et al. Neurosensory feedback and its role in restorative dentistry enhancing patient comfort. *Int J Community Med Public Health* 2025;12:988-92.