### **Original Research Article**

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph20243998

# Psychosocial risks for post-partum depression among pregnant women in selected health facilities in Kiambu County, Kenya

Keziah W. Kahiga<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Drusilla M. Makworo<sup>3</sup>, Stephen N. Ndegwa<sup>4</sup>

**Received:** 10 December 2024 **Accepted:** 23 December 2024

#### \*Correspondence: Dr. Keziah W. Kahiga,

E-mail: kwnjuguna@gmail.com

**Copyright:** © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Post-partum depression (PPD) is an impactful public health problem. Events prior to and in the peripartum period can predispose a pregnant woman to mental deviations in the post-partum period since pregnancy results in major physiological, social and psychological changes. This study sought to assess the psychosocial risks for post-natal depression among pregnant women.

**Methods:** A hospital-based comparative design was employed to collect data from 392 antenatal mothers in two hospitals and were in their first or second trimester, selected using simple random method from the antenatal register. They were assessed for psychosocial risks using the antenatal risk questionnaire. Data analysis was conducted using the SPSS version 29.

**Results:** The mothers were mainly below 18 years (53.1%, 41.5%, p=0.024); had no income or depended on spousal support (54.6%, 51.8%, p=0.618). Prolonged periods of worry which interfered with work or relationships accounted for 54.1% and 56.9% (p=0.027). Partner emotional support was reported by 46.9%, and 48.5% (p=0.000) and they would have support with the baby (47.9%, 43%, p=0.000). There are those who reported to be worriers (75%, 84.2%, p=0.000) and those who become upset when there is no order in their life (81.7%, 80.6%, p=0.000). Most of the participants had not experienced emotional support when growing up (74.5%, 87.2%, p=0.002) nor sexual/physical abuse (84.2%, 96.9%, p=0.000). Marital status and educational level were significantly associated with the total psychosocial risk score (F=3.123; p=0.031, 0.001).

**Conclusions:** psychosocial determinants of PPD include young age, poor source of income and prolonged periods of worry.

Keywords: PPD, Psychosocial risks, Psychosocial assessment, Peri-partum period

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Postpartum also known as postnatal depression is a major mood disorder affecting populous women in the first year after childbirth and has been recognized as the most common obstetric emergency. Pregnancy is a period of major physiological, social and psychological changes which can cause overwhelming effects, and this may predispose a woman to psychosocial instability. A

woman's life events during and after pregnancy and childbirth are likely to determine her psychosocial status and subsequently protect or predispose her to mental illnesses in the post-partum period.<sup>3,4</sup> While physical care is fundamental, a pregnant woman should be evaluated holistically including her psychological, social as well as spiritual needs. Any deviations should be handled with utmost care and a multidisplinary approach would be best placed to achieve such holistic care.<sup>5</sup> Similarly,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Midwifery, Jomo Kenyatta of Agriculture and Technology, Kenya

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Midwifery and Reproductive Health, Daystar University, Kenya

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Community Health, Jomo Kenyatta of Agriculture and Technology, Kenya

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Department of Psychology and Counselling, School of Applied Human Sciences, Daystar University, Kenya

identification and effective interventions for the risk during pregnancy need more deliberate efforts.<sup>6</sup>

Research has been conducted to establish factors that predispose as well as those that protect mothers against PPD. Evidently, Family and psychosocial support are important buffers.<sup>7,8</sup> Although perceived or real social support can be provided from the general society, family support is most significant. Additionally, stressful life events, negative childbirth experiences, poor socioeconomic status, childhood abuse and young maternal age are risks of concern. 3,4,8,10-13 Others include any preexisting social problems, social stigma discrimination, environmental hazards, unplanned or unwanted pregnancy, life events, cultural and economic issues.14,15

PPD varies in magnitude in different parts of the globe. Its prevalence globally is 17.2%.<sup>16</sup> It ranges from 10 to 15% and 18.6% in the developed world and developing world respectively.<sup>17</sup> The prevalence of PPD was found to be 17.9% in Canada and 11.6% in a Chinese population.<sup>18,19</sup> The occurrence of PPD is strikingly much higher in the developing nations, almost twice as much as cited in the developed nations. Ethiopia for example reports a significant 19.7 % prevalence of perinatal depression.<sup>20</sup> Other results from other African countries indicate that PPD is as high as 6.9% (Morocco) 43% (Uganda) and 44% (Burkina Faso).<sup>21</sup>

A study conducted among Kenyan women in 2018 found a prevalence rate of 18.7% and a very strong association between antenatal depression, and postnatal depression. <sup>10</sup> In Nakuru County, PPD was established to be at 11.6%. <sup>22,23</sup> In a more recent study, the prevalence was found to be 27.1% in low-income dwellings and the associated risks correlated with other studies. In western Kenya a study to develop and validate a perinatal depression screening tool with more local application was conducted and established a prevalence rate of 6.2%. <sup>24</sup> The development of this tool was driven by a need to have a tool that was culturally sensitive and had a local dimension instead of using tools that were developed in other different populations

Despite this high prevalence, the screening for psychosocial risks is not comprehensive yet American college of obstetricians and gynaecologists (ACOG) recommend that all pregnant women should have at least one psychosocial screening during the perinatal spectrum. Subsequently, some countries and regions have recommended antenatal psychosocial assessment and perinatal depression screening.

For example, in Australia, clinical guidelines were introduced in 2011 that required all women to be assessed during their booking visit.<sup>26</sup> This was to help in identifying the women at risk and instituting appropriate interventions.

#### **METHODS**

#### Study area

The study was conducted in 2023 in two level five hospitals which are county referral hospitals. The pregnant mothers were recruited at the two hospitals' maternal and child health clinics during their usual antenatal visits.

#### Study design

This was a hospital-based comparative study involving two different hospitals that had maternal and child health units that offered similar services.

#### Population

Pregnant mothers in their first or second trimester were recruited as they attended the usual antenatal visits.

#### Sample size determination

The sample size was obtained using the formula for sample sizes for two independent samples, dichotomous outcome and obtained a sample size of 392 pregnant mothers, 196 from each of the two hospitals. This was to allow comparisons in a future study.

 $n_i$  is the sample size required in each group (i=1,2), Z is the value from the standard normal distribution reflecting the confidence level that will be used, that is 1.96, E is the desired margin of error (0.05), p1 and p2 is the Prevalence of Maternal depression (0.062) based on a study done in Kenya in 2018.<sup>24</sup>Therefore,

 $\begin{array}{l} n_{i=}0.062(1\text{-}0.062) + 0.062(1\text{-}0.062) \; (1.96/0.05)^2 \\ n_{i=}0.062(0.938) + 0.062(0.938) \; (39.2)^2 \!\! = \!\! 0.116 \!\! \times \!\! 1536.64. \end{array}$ 

#### Sampling technique

Simple random sampling method was done using the antenatal register as the sampling frame. Women who were expected to visit that day were given random numbers. Pieces of paper containing those numbers were written, put in a container and picked by the research assistants. Those women who corresponded with the picked papers were approached and requested to have a session with the researchers after the routine visit. Those who agreed were then given the full details of the assessment and if they agreed they were requested to sign a consent form. This was repeated until the sample size of 196 in each facility was reached.

#### Data collection procedure and tools

The recruited women were taken through researcher administered questionnaires. There were two questionnaires; one to assess the biographic data of the participants and an antenatal risk questionnaire (ANRQ) that assesses psychosocial risks for PPD. The ANRQ is a

12-item self-report measure of key early and chronic psychosocial risk factors associated with perinatal depression.<sup>27</sup> It assesses childhood social support from the respondent's mother, history of mental illness, perceived level of support available during postpartum, significant life events in the past 12 months, personality traits, and history of abuse. Scores range from 5 to 60, with a cut off score of 23 used to identify women with risk for development of depression. Permission to use the tool was sought from the author. There was voluntary participation and freedom to withdraw at any time, anonymity and confidentiality were assured, there was debriefing and referral for needy cases.

#### Data management

Completeness of the questionnaires was confirmed, then cleaned and entered into SPSS version 29. Descriptive statistics were computed in form of frequencies and percentages, with Chi square test being used to assess for significant differences in the psychosocial scores. Independent T-test was conducted to compare the means of the psychosocial risk scores as well as linear regression to establish the relationships between the psychosocial risks.

#### **RESULTS**

#### Biodata

Notably from both populations, 53.1% and 41.5% of the women were aged below 18 years, 24.5% and 32.8% were 18-30 years, those aged 31-40 years were 16.3% and 15.4% respectively and those below 40 years were 6.1 and 10.3%. Marital status showed that 73.5% and 84.6% were married, 26% and 14.9% were single with 0.5% in both populations being separated or divorced. Additional findings indicate 45.9% and 37.4% had attended school up to university/college level, up to secondary school level were 39.8% and 48.7%, up to the primary school level were 14.3% and 13.3% and 0.5% from one of the facilities had no formal education. Majority of the women (54.6% and 38.5%) had no children, 23.5% and 32.3% had one child. 13.8% and 21.5% had two children, 6.6% and 7.2 had three children, and approximately 1.5% and 0.5% had four children. Furthermore, 29.6% and 28.7% of the participants had no source of income, while 26% and 31.8% were businesswomen. Furthermore, 25% and 23.1% relied on spousal support, whereas 19.4% and 16.4% were employed, earning salaries and wages as their primary source of income. There were significant differences in age and number of children between the two populations (p=0.024;.014) (Table 1).

#### Antenatal psychosocial risks

According to Table 2, 74.5% and 80.5% of the study participants acknowledged experiencing a period of 2 or more weeks when they felt particularly worried, miserable, or depressed, with the rest stating that they had

not experienced such emotions. A substantial 48.5% and 44.6% experienced very much interference with their work or relationships, with a majority 83.7% and 90.3% failing to seek professional help. Of those who sought professional help, 84.3% and 94.7% visited a general practitioner as opposed to a specialist.

A majority 98% and 99.5% reported no history of mental illness. Further, 46.9% and 38.5% admitted to having an emotionally supportive relationship with their partner.

Those who had experienced stresses, changes or losses in the previous 12 months were 26% and 23.6% with the rest having not experienced such. More than half of the two samples were distressed by any changes they reported to have experienced.

It was also established that 75% and 84.2% of the respondents identified themselves as very much worriers. Consequently, 71.7% and 80.6% of them confessed they become very much upset if they don't have order in their life. 47.9% and 43% felt that they would have support with the baby. Emotional and sexual abuse were reported by few respondents and most of them felt their mothers supported them emotionally when growing up (61.7% and 83.6%).

Additionally, 64.8% and 49.7% reported feeling safe with current partner while a majority 79.6 and 83.6% did not think they or their partners had problems with drugs or alcohol.

Significant differences between the two populations were found in prolonged periods interfering with their work (p=0.027); having an emotionally supportive partner (p=0.000); being a worrier (p=0.000); becoming upset when there is no order in life (p=0.000); having support with the baby (p=0.000); childhood emotional abuse (p=0.002); childhood physical/sexual abuse (p=0.000); childhood maternal emotional support (p=0.000); feeling safe with current partner (p=0.000) and having problems with drugs or alcohol (p=0.009).

#### Mean psychosocial risk score

The mean score of the psychosocial risks was 42.2 (SD=7.67) and 40.6 (SD=5.37) respectively and showed a significant difference (p=0.015) shown in the Table 3 below.

## Relationship between participants characteristics and the total psychosocial risk score

The total psychosocial risks score was associated with participants characteristics: age ( $\beta$ =0.012; p=0.859); marital status ( $\beta$ =-0.111; p=0.031); level of education ( $\beta$ =0.175; p=0.001); number of children ( $\beta$ =0.007; p=0.919); source of income ( $\beta$ =-0.017; p=0.735) (Table 4).

Table 1: Biodata.

Mantalian	Facility 1		Facility 2	Dwalna	
Variables	N	%	N	%	P value
Age (in years)					
<18	104	53.1	81	41.5	
18-30	48	24.5	64	32.8	0.024
31-40	32	16.3	30	15.4	0.024
>40	12	6.1	20	10.3	
Marital status					
Single	51	26	29	14.9	
Married	144	73.5	165	84.6	0.066
Separated/divorced	1	0.5	1	0.5	
Level of education					
University/college	90	45.9	73	37.4	
Secondary	78	39.8	95	48.7	0.211
Primary	28	14.3	26	13.3	0.211
No formal education	0	0	1	0.5	
Number of children					
None	107	54.6	75	38.5	
One	46	23.5	63	32.3	
Two	27	13.8	42	21.5	
Three	13	6.6	14	7.2	0.014
Four	3	1.5	1	0.5	
Five	0	0	0	0	
>Five	0	0	0	0	
Source of income					
Employment	38 19.4 32 16.4				
Business	51	26.0	62	31.8	0.618
Spousal support	49	25.0	45	23.1	0.018
None	58	29.6	56	28.7	

Table 2: Psychosocial risks.

Davahagaaial wigh	Response	Facility A	Facility A		Facility B	
Psychosocial risk		N	%	N	%	P value
A period of 2 or more weeks when	Yes	146	74.5	157	80.5	
one was particularly worried/ miserable/ depressed	No	50	25.5	38	19.5	0.227
	Not at all	56	28.6	40	20.5	
The period seriously interfered	A little	11	5.6	21	10.8	
with one's work or relationships	Somewhat	23	11.7	23	11.8	0.027
with friends and family	Quite a lot	11	5.6	24	12.3	
	Very much	95	48.5	87	44.6	
I ad to socking professional halp	Yes	32	16.3	19	9.7	0.116
Led to seeking professional help	No	164	83.7	176	90.3	
Did one see a psychiatric	Psychiatrist	1	3.1	0	0	
Did one see a psychiatric, psychologist/ counsellor or a GP?	Counselor	4	12.5	1	5.3	0.172
psychologist/ counsellor of a G1:	GP	27	84.3	18	94.7	
Did one take tablets/herbal	Yes	1	0.5	1	0.5	1.00
medicine?	No	195	99.5	194	99.5	1.00
History of mental health problems	Yes	4	2	1	0.5	0.372
mistory of mental health problems	No	192	98	194	99.5	0.372
	Very much	60	30.6	24	12.3	_
Having an amationally supportive	Quite a lot	32	16.3	51	26.2	
Having an emotionally supportive relationship with partner	Somewhat	34	17.3	64	32.8	0.000
relationship with partier	A little	26	13.3	25	12.8	
	Not at all	44	22.4	31	15.9	

Continued.

Psychosocial risk	Response	Facility A	Facility A		Facility B	
rsychosociai risk	Response	N	%	N	%	P value
Stresses, changes or losses in the	Yes	51	26	46	23.6	- 0.544
last 12 months	No	145	74	149	76.4	0.544
	Not at all	53	27	43	22.1	
Depressed by stresses, changes or	A little	9	4.6	14	7.2	
losses	Somewhat	24	12.2.	27	13.8	0.125
losses	Quite a lot	23	11.7	38	19.5	
	Very much	87	44.4	73	37.4	
	Not at all	19	9.7	4	2.1	
Company lles compilers colf a manufact	A little	10	5.1	11	5.6	
Generally, consider self a worrier	Somewhat	20	10.2	16	8.2	0.000
	Quite a lot	16	8.2	43	22.1	
	Very much	131	66.8	121	62.1	
	Not at all	18	9.2	4	2.1	
Become upset if you don't have an	A little	6	3.1	18	9.2	
order in your life (e.g., regular	Somewhat	12	6.1	16	8.2	0.000
timetable, tidy house)	Quite a lot	15	7.7	51	26.2	
	Very much	145	74	106	54.4	
	Very much	61	31.1	26	13.3	
Feel one has/ will have people to	Quite a lot	33	16.8	58	29.7	
depend on for support with the	Somewhat	28	14.3	60	30.8	0.000
baby	A little	45	23	34	17.4	
•	Not at all	29	14.8	17	8.7	
Emotionally abused when growing	Yes	50	25.5	25	12.8	0.002
up	No	146	74.5	170	87.2	0.002
	Yes	31	15.8	6	3.1	0.000
Been sexually or physically abused	No	165	84.2	189	96.9	0.000
	Very much	98	50	109	55.9	
When growing up, felt their	Quite a lot	23	11.7	54	27.7	
mother was emotionally	Somewhat	16	8.2	15	7.7	0.000
supportive one	A little	15	7.7	9	4.6	
	Not at all	44	22.4	8	4.1	
	Not at all	28	14.3	15	7.7	
Feel safe with current partner	Somewhat	41	20.9	83	42.6	0.000
	Very much	127	64.8	97	49.7	
Think that they or their partner	Not at all	156	79.6	163	83.6	
may have a problem with drugs or	Somewhat	24	12.2	29	14.9	0.009
The second secon		16	8.2	3	1.5	

**Table 3: The Independent t test.** 

Site	N	Mean	Standard deviation	Standard error mean	P value
Facility 1	196	42.2	7.67	0.548	0.015
Facility 2	195	40.6	5.37	0.385	0.013

Table 4: Regression analysis between the participants characteristics and the total risk score.

Characteristic	N	Beta coefficient	$\mathbb{R}^2$	F value	T value	P value
Age (in years)	391	0.012		3.123	0.177	0.859
Marital status	391	-0.111			-0.2164	0.031
Level of education	391	0.175	0.039		3.251	0.001
Number of the children	391	0.007	0.039		0.102	0.919
Source of income	391	-0.017			-0.339	0.735

#### **DISCUSSION**

Most women in this study were in a marital relationship, had received some formal education and were pregnant for the first time. It is opiniated that marital relationship does not influence PPD but being in such a relationship is a precursor for reduced prevalence of PPD.<sup>28-31</sup> Being married may provide stability and support that is essential when a woman is transitioning to motherhood. Seemingly the empowering effect of education enables the woman to identify the limits of wellness and seek help when needed; they could be more confident with the changing societal role and might have a wider circle of friends who provide them with support.<sup>32</sup> Pregnancy and motherhood require tremendous adaptations, and first-time mothers may not have the needed skills to adapt and hence become candidates for PPD.

A high proportion of the women had no reliable income and/or depended on spousal support. This vulnerability results in powerlessness and lack of control of one's obligations.<sup>33</sup> The high percentage of respondents reporting a prolonged period of worry or misery may reveal a notable prevalence of psychological distress among the women. These should not be ignored since such are positive predictors of PPD. 11,34 The emotional and psychological distress experienced during the identified period significantly impacted their daily functioning and social interactions. The ability to effectively perform work-related tasks and maintain healthy relationships is crucial, particularly during the perinatal period when the demands and stressors are heightened. By addressing the emotional and psychological needs of mothers experiencing distress, healthcare providers can contribute to enhancing their overall functioning and promoting positive work and social interactions.

While some women sought professional help, majority of them did not raising important considerations. Foremost, it highlights the potential barriers or challenges pregnant mothers may face in accessing mental health services.<sup>35</sup> Notably most of the participants sought help from a general practitioner. The access and availability of specialists needs to be explored as specialized care may be of essence. Most of the women reported encountering significant life events within the past year. There is a strong correlation between depressive symptoms and stressful life events among pregnant women.<sup>36</sup> Similarly, traumatic life events are predictors of depression in the postpartum period and stress such as resulting from death of a close family member, loss of a job, a complicated pregnancy or war has been revealed to cause depression.<sup>9,18</sup> The current study however did not establish the specific changes or losses experienced by these mothers.

Many women acknowledged a significant inclination towards being worriers and expressed experiencing distress and upset when they lack order in their lives. This

denotes their emotional response to having a sense of order and structure in their lives. Upon transitioning to motherhood mothers are likely to have their routine altered and therefore such a predisposition needs attention since those with anxiety are more prone to peripartum depression.<sup>37</sup> Women who perceive an emotionally supportive relationship, are in a marital relation and have attained some levels of education are likely to have confidence that they will have support with their baby. Partner involvement during pregnancy is associated with less birth complications and better experience.<sup>38</sup> Additionally, a reliable income and high levels of education are inversely associated with depressive symptoms.<sup>39</sup> Since most risks co-exist, a woman who presents with one provides an opportunity for the provider to investigate for the other known or suspected risks.

#### Implication for practice

This study highlights significant implications to the psychosocial wellbeing of mothers. The need to refocus antenatal care to not only consider physical health but also psychosocial wellbeing during pregnancy calls for greater engagements, partnerships and funding from all stakeholders.

#### Limitations

This study was carried out in two County referral hospitals that are situated in urban centres serving urban and peri-urban populations. The findings may therefore not be generalised to mothers living in cities and rural areas. The tool used for assessing psychosocial risks was developed and used in the western countries and therefore may not fully be applicated to the developing countries.

#### **CONCLUSION**

This study established the presence of psychosocial risks that predispose mothers to PPD. Notably these include poor social-economic status, being a first-time mother, having a prolonged period of being distressed, being worriers and upset resulting from lacking order in their lives, having a significant change or loss in the last one year and failure to seek professional help when they needed to.

#### Recommendations

It is imperative that mothers are assessed for psychosocial risks for PPD during the antenatal period and subsequently those who are found to have risks should be managed appropriately in order to reduce peripartum depression.

An assessment tool for psychosocial risks that is contextual and relevant to the developing nations ought to be developed to capture the issues that are affecting the mothers in such nations.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors would like to thank research assistants Stacy, Whitney and Mellisa.

Funding: No funding sources Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee Daystar university ethical review board (DU-ERB/15/06/2022/00062) and the national commission of science and technology and innovation (NACOSTI/P/22/18810). Clearance from the County government (KIAMBU/HRDU/23/02/14/RA-NJUGUNA), (ED.12/ICAS/VLO.VI/6).

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Carlson K, Mughal S, Azhar Y, Siddiqui W. Postpartum Depression. In: StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024.
- Hiremath P. Need for Psychological Assessment during Pregnancy- A Nursing Perspective. Global J Nurs Forens Studies. 2016;1(3):1-4.
- Ostacoli L, Cosma S, Bevilasqua F, Berchialla P, Bovetti M, Carosso R, et al. Psychosocial factors associated with postpartum psychological distress during the COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study. BMC Pregn Childbirth. 2020;20:703.
- 4. Milgrom J, Hirshler Y, Reece J, Holt C, Gemmill AW. Social Support-A Protective Factor for Depressed Perinatal Women? Int J Environm Res Publ Heal. 2019;16(8):1426.
- Mathibe-Neke JM, Masitenyane S. Psychosocial Antenatal Care: A midwifery Context. Intechopen; 2018
- 6. Omidvar S, Faramarzi M, Hajian-Tilak K, Nasiri AF. Associations of psychosocial factors with pregnancy healthy lifestyles. PLoS One. 2018;13(1):e0191723.
- 7. Austin M-P, Reilly N, Mule V, Kingston D, Black E, Hadzi-Pavlovic D. Disclosure of sensitive material at routine antenatal psychosocial assessment: The role of psychosocial risk and mode of assessment. Women Birth. 2022;35(2):125-32.
- 8. Tambelli R, Trentini C, Trovato A, Volpi B. Role of Psychosocial Risk Factors in Predicting Maternal and Paternal Depressive Symptomatology during Pregnancy. Infant Mental Health J. 2019;40(4):541-56
- Reuger SY, Malecki CK, Pyun Y, Aycock C, Coyle S. Meta-analytic review of the association between perceived social support and depression in childhood and adolescence. Psychological Bull. 2016;142(10):1017-67.
- Ongeri L, Wanga V, Otieno P, Mbui J, Juma E, Stoep AV, et al. Demographic, psychosocial and clinical factors associated with postpartum depression in Kenyan women. BMC Psych. 2018;18(1):318.
- Osok J, Kigamwa P, Stoep AV, Huang KY, Kumar M. Depression and its psychosocial risk factors in pregnant Kenyan adolescents: a cross-sectional study

- in a community health Centre of Nairobi. BMC Psychiatry. 2018;18(1):136.
- 12. Paddy A, Asamoah-Gyimah K, Nkyi A. Psychosocial Determinants of Postpartum Depression and Maternal Well-Being among Postnatal Women. Open J Psychiatr. 2021;11:138-59.
- 13. Phukuta N, Omole O. Prevalence and risk factors associated with postnatal depression in a South African primary care facility. Afr J Primary Health Care Family Med. 2020;12(1):a2538.
- 14. Seyhan C. The effect of psychosocial risk factors on postpartum depression in antenatal period: A prospective study. Arch Psych Nurs. 2020;34(3):176-83.
- 15. Qi W, Zhao F, Liu Y. Li Q, Hu J. Psychosocial risk factors for postpartum depression in Chinese women: a meta-analysis. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2021;21:174.
- 16. Wang Z, Liu J, Shuai H, Cai Z, Fu X, Liu Y, et al. Mapping global prevalence of depression among postpartum women. Transl Psychiatry. 2021;11:543.
- 17. Nakamura Y, Okada T, Morikawa M, Yamauchi A, Sato M, Ando, M, et al. Perinatal depression and anxiety of primipara is higher than that of multipara in Japanese women. Sci Rep. 2020;10:17060.
- 18. Gheorghe M, Varin M, Wong S, Baker M, Grywacheski V, et al. Symptoms of postpartum anxiety and depression among women in Canada: findings from a national cross-sectional survey. Can J Public Health. 2021;112:244-52.
- 19. Peng S, Lai X, Qiu J, Du Y, Yang J, Bai Y, et al. Living with Parents-In-Law Increased the Risk of Postpartum Depression in Chinese Women. Front Psychiatry. 2021;12:736306.
- 20. Wake GE, Fitie GW, Ashenafi B, Tadese M, Tessema SD. Magnitude and Determinant Factors of Postpartum Depression Among Mothers Attending Their Postnatal and Vaccination Services at Public Health Institutions of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Front. Public Health. 2022;10:882205.
- 21. Atuhaire C, Brennaman L, Cumber SN, Rukundo GZ, Nambozi G. The magnitude of postpartum depression among mothers in Africa: a literature review. Pan African Med J. 2020;37:89.
- 22. Tuitoek D, Okwara F, Koima K. Prevalence of Post-Partum Depression among Mothers Attending Nakuru Level 5 Hospital in Nakuru County, Kenya. 2019.
- 23. Kariuki EW, Kuria MW, Were FN, Ndetei DM. Predictors of postnatal depression in the slums Nairobi, Kenya: a cross-sectional study. BMC Psychiatr. 2022;22(1):242.
- 24. Green EP, Tuli H, Kwobah E, Menya D, Chesire I, Schmidt C. Developing and validating a perinatal depression screening tool in Kenya blending Western criteria with local idioms: A mixed methods study. J Affect Disorder. 2018;228:49-59.
- 25. Zejnullahu V, Ukella-Lleshi D, Zejnullahu V, Miftari E, Govori V. Prevalence of postpartum depression at the clinic for obstetrics and gynecology in Kosovo

- teaching hospital: Demographic, obstetric and psychosocial risk factors. Eur J Obstetr Gynaecol Reproduct Biol. 2021;256:215-20.
- Kalra H, Reilly N, Austin M. An Evaluation of Routine Antenatal Depression Screening and Psychosocial Assessment in a Regional Private Maternity Setting in Australia. Aust N Zeal J Obstetr Gynaecol. 2018;58(6):629-35.
- 27. Austin P, Colton J, Priest S, Reilly N, Hadzi-Pavlovic D. The antenatal risk questionnaire (ANRQ): acceptability and use for psychosocial risk assessment in the maternity setting. Women Birth. 2013;26(1):17-25.
- 28. Wszołek K, Żak E, Żurawska J, Olszewska J, Pieta B, Bojar I. Influence of socio-economic factors on emotional changes during the postnatal period. Ann Agri Environment Med. 2018;25(1):41-5.
- 29. Kim Y, Dee V. Sociodemographic and Obstetric Factors Related to Symptoms of Postpartum Depression in Hispanic Women in Rural California. J Obstetr Gynaecolog Neonatal Nurs. 2018;47:23-31.
- 30. Ndeto J, Barasa S, Murigi M, Keraka M, Osero J. Utilization of individual birth plan during pregnancy and its determinants in Makueni County, Kenya. Int J Community Med Publ Health. 2017;5(1),30-7.
- 31. Bedaso A, Adams J, Peng W, Xu F, Sibbritt D. An examination of the association between marital status and prenatal mental disorders using linked health administrative data. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2022;22(1):735.
- 32. Brock RL, Ramsdell EL, Sáez G, Gervais, SJ. Perceived Humanization by Intimate Partners during Pregnancy Is Associated with fewer Depressive Symptoms, Less Body Dissatisfaction, and Greater Sexual Satisfaction through Reduced Self-Objectification. Sex Roles. 2021;84(5-6):285-98.

- Fiala A, Svancara J, Klanova J, Kasparek T. Sociodemographic and delivery risk factors for developing postpartum depression in a sample of 3233 mothers from the Czech ELSPAC study. BMC Psychiatry. 2017;17(1):104.
- 34. Ghaedhalmat M, Kazemi A, Kheirabadi G, Bahrazami M, Ebrahim A. Examining the relationship between mothers' prenatal mental health and demographic factors with postpartum depression. J Educat Heal Promot. 2018;7:146.
- 35. Adjorlolo S. Seeking and receiving help for mental health services among pregnant women in Ghana. PLoS One. 2023;18(3):e0280496.
- 36. Eick SM, Goin DE, Izano MA, Cushing L, DeMicco E, Padula AM, et al. Relationships between psychosocial stressors among pregnant women in San Francisco: A path analysis. PLoS One. 2020;15(6):e0234579.
- 37. Hoffmann L, Hilger N, Riolino E, Lenz A, Banse R. Partner support and relationship quality as potential resources for childbirth and the transition to parenthood. BMC Pregn Childbirth. 2023;23(1):435.
- 38. Yu S. The Influence of Mothers' Educational Level on Children's Comprehensive Quality. J Educat Humanities Social Sci. 2023;(8):1264-72.
- 39. Miyake Y, Tanaka K, Arakawa M. Associations of job type, income, and education with postpartum depressive symptoms: The Kyushu Okinawa Maternal and Child Health Study. Psychiatr Res. 2020;9(291):113224.

Cite this article as: Kahiga KW, Makworo DM, Ndegwa SN. Psychosocial risks for post-partum depression among pregnant women in selected health facilities in Kiambu County, Kenya. Int J Community Med Public Health 2025;12:37-44.