pISSN 2394-6032 | eISSN 2394-6040

Review Article

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph20242577

Implementing safety standards in radiographic practices: challenges and solutions

Mohammed Ghabel Al Rowili^{1*}, Bander Fayhan Al Otaibi¹, Teflah Ali Al Mufarrih¹, Khalid Mousa Al Zhrani², Rehanna K. Al Sadiq¹, Mariam Ahmed Al Gharrash¹, Eman Ahmad Al Asiri¹

Received: 01 August 2024 Accepted: 16 August 2024

*Correspondence:

Dr. Mohammed Ghabel Al Rowili, E-mail: Mhd779@hotmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Ensuring the safety of patients and healthcare workers in radiographic practices is a critical concern in modern healthcare. The use of ionizing radiation, while invaluable for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes, carries inherent risks that necessitate stringent safety standards. Despite advances in technology and regulatory frameworks, the consistent implementation of these safety standards remains a challenge across various healthcare settings. The discussion addresses the complexities of navigating diverse regulatory environments, where enforcement and adherence to safety guidelines can vary significantly. These inconsistencies are particularly pronounced in lowresource regions, where limited access to modern radiographic equipment and inadequate training further exacerbate the risks of radiation exposure. Technological advancements in radiography, such as digital radiography, dosereduction software, and advanced imaging modalities, offer significant benefits in terms of diagnostic accuracy and efficiency. However, they also introduce new challenges in maintaining up-to-date safety protocols. The rapid pace of innovation often outstrips the ability of regulatory bodies to update guidelines, leading to gaps in safety practices. Additionally, the effectiveness of these technologies depends heavily on proper usage and understanding by radiographic professionals, highlighting the need for continuous education and training. A strong safety culture within radiographic practices is essential for minimizing radiation risks. Leadership commitment, ongoing education, effective communication, and the empowerment of radiographic professionals are critical components of this culture. When these elements are in place, they foster an environment where safety is prioritized, and all staff members are actively engaged in maintaining and improving safety standards. The multifaceted approach required to enhance radiographic safety underscores the importance of harmonizing regulatory efforts, investing in modern technologies, and cultivating a safety-first mindset among healthcare professionals. These efforts collectively contribute to the safe and effective use of radiographic technology, ultimately protecting both patients and healthcare workers from unnecessary radiation exposure.

Keywords: Radiographic safety, Ionizing radiation, Regulatory compliance, Technological advancements, Safety culture

INTRODUCTION

Radiographic practices are integral to modern healthcare, providing essential diagnostic and therapeutic information that significantly influences patient outcomes. However,

the use of ionizing radiation in these practices presents inherent risks to both patients and healthcare workers, necessitating the implementation of rigorous safety standards. These standards are designed to minimize radiation exposure while ensuring the diagnostic quality of radiographic images. Over the years, advancements in

¹Department of Radiology, Prince Sultan Military Medical City, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

²Department of Radiology, Northern Armed Area Forces Hospital-Ministry of Defense, Hafer Albatin, Saudi Arabia

technology, alongside evolving regulatory frameworks, have contributed to the enhancement of safety protocols in radiographic practices. Despite these advancements, challenges persist in the consistent application and enforcement of safety standards, making it a critical area of focus for healthcare institutions globally.

The history of radiographic safety standards dates back to the early 20th century, shortly after the discovery of Xrays. Initial practices were conducted with minimal understanding of the long-term effects of radiation exposure, leading to numerous cases of radiation-induced injuries and illnesses. As scientific knowledge about radiation hazards expanded, so too did the development of safety guidelines and protocols. The introduction of the ALARA (As low as reasonably achievable) principle marked a significant milestone in radiographic safety, emphasizing the importance of minimizing exposure without compromising diagnostic efficacy. Today, the implementation of safety standards in radiographic practices is governed by a combination of international, national, and local regulations. These include guidelines from organizations such as the international commission on radiological protection (ICRP) and the world health organization (WHO), as well as country-specific regulatory bodies. Despite the existence of these comprehensive frameworks, challenges remain in ensuring uniform adherence across different healthcare settings. Factors such as varying levels of technological access, differences in staff training, and the complexity of regulatory requirements contribute to inconsistencies in the application of safety standards.²

Moreover, the rapid pace of technological advancements in radiography presents both opportunities and challenges for safety standard implementation. While new technologies often come with enhanced safety features, they also require continuous updates to existing protocols and training programs to ensure that healthcare professionals can utilize them effectively and safely.³ Additionally, fostering a safety culture radiographic practices, where all staff members are committed to adhering to safety protocols, is essential for the successful implementation of these standards. Without such a culture, even the most well-designed safety measures can fail to protect patients and staff from unnecessary radiation exposure.4 This review will explore challenges and solutions associated implementing safety standards in radiographic practices, focusing on regulatory and compliance issues, technological innovations, and strategies for fostering a safety culture in healthcare settings.

REVIEW

The implementation of safety standards in radiographic practices is fraught with challenges that require continuous evaluation and adaptation. One of the primary issues is the variability in compliance with established safety protocols, which can be attributed to differences in

training, resource availability, and institutional priorities. In many healthcare settings, especially in low-resource environments, the lack of access to updated radiographic equipment and adequate training programs hampers the consistent application of safety measures. This inconsistency not only increases the risk of radiation exposure to patients and staff but also undermines the overall effectiveness of radiographic procedures.⁵ Technological advancements, while beneficial in enhancing diagnostic capabilities, also introduce complexities in maintaining up-to-date safety standards. The integration of new technologies often requires significant investments in both equipment and training, which some institutions may find challenging to meet. Moreover, the rapid pace of technological innovation can outstrip the ability of regulatory bodies to update guidelines and ensure that they are uniformly applied across different regions and healthcare systems. This gap between technology and regulation can lead to safety practices, discrepancies in potentially patient safety.⁶ Addressing these compromising challenges necessitates a multifaceted approach that includes regular training, investment in modern technologies, and the development of a robust safety culture within radiographic practices.

REGULATORY AND COMPLIANCE CHALLENGES IN RADIOGRAPHIC SAFETY

Regulatory and compliance challenges represent significant hurdles in the implementation of effective safety standards in radiographic practices. These challenges are multifaceted, involving the complexities of navigating diverse regulatory environments, the variability in adherence to guidelines across different healthcare settings, and the continuous evolution of safety standards in response to new technologies and research findings. The regulatory landscape for radiographic safety is governed by both international and national bodies, such as the ICRP and country-specific regulatory agencies. These organizations establish guidelines that are intended to standardize safety practices, yet the implementation of these guidelines can vary widely, leading to inconsistencies in radiographic safety.⁷

One of the key issues in regulatory compliance is the difference in the level of enforcement and oversight across regions and institutions. In some countries, robust regulatory frameworks are in place with regular inspections and penalties for non-compliance. However, in other regions, especially in low- and middle-income countries, the enforcement of radiographic safety standards may be weak due to limited resources and infrastructure. This disparity creates a global inconsistency in radiographic practices, where some patients and healthcare workers are more vulnerable to the risks associated with radiation exposure than others. Moreover, the rapid advancement of radiographic technology poses additional regulatory challenges. As new imaging modalities and techniques are developed,

regulatory bodies must continuously update safety standards to reflect these innovations. However, there is often a lag between the introduction of new technologies and the establishment of corresponding regulatory guidelines. This gap can result in the use of advanced radiographic equipment without fully understanding the associated risks, leading to potential safety violations. For example, the advent of higher-dose imaging modalities, such as certain types of computed tomography (CT) scans, has raised concerns about increased radiation exposure, which may not be adequately addressed by existing regulations. 9

Another challenge is ensuring that radiographic professionals are adequately trained and informed about the latest safety standards. Continuous professional development is essential to maintain compliance with evolving guidelines, yet the availability and quality of training can vary significantly. Without ongoing education and reinforcement of safety protocols, healthcare workers may inadvertently deviate from best practices, increasing the risk of radiation-related incidents. Addressing these challenges requires a concerted effort from regulatory bodies, healthcare institutions, and professional organizations to harmonize safety standards, enforce compliance, and provide comprehensive training to all radiographic practitioners.

TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATIONS AND THEIR IMPACT ON SAFETY STANDARDS

The field of radiography has witnessed significant technological advancements over the past few decades, leading to enhanced diagnostic capabilities and improved patient outcomes. Innovations such as digital radiography, dose-reduction software, and advanced imaging modalities have revolutionized the way radiographic procedures are performed. However, these advancements also necessitate continuous updates to safety standards to ensure that both patients and healthcare workers are protected from potential radiation hazards. 10 Digital radiography, for instance, has largely replaced traditional film-based systems, offering numerous advantages including improved image quality, faster processing times, and the ability to adjust image parameters postacquisition. One of the notable benefits of digital systems is the potential for dose reduction. With the ability to process and enhance images digitally, lower radiation doses can be employed without compromising diagnostic quality. Nevertheless, the ease of image acquisition and the potential for repeated exposures in digital systems raise concerns about cumulative radiation doses, underscoring the need for stringent adherence to safety protocols.¹¹ Another significant innovation is the development of dose-reduction technologies and software. Tools such as automatic exposure control (AEC) systems, iterative reconstruction algorithms, and real-time dose monitoring have been integrated into modern radiographic equipment to minimize radiation exposure. These technologies allow for tailored radiation doses based on patient size, the specific diagnostic requirement, and other relevant factors. Despite these advancements, the effectiveness of dose-reduction technologies is heavily reliant on proper usage and understanding by radiographic professionals. Inadequate training or misuse can negate the benefits, leading to unnecessary radiation exposure.¹²

Advanced imaging modalities, including CT, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and positron emission tomography (PET), have expanded the diagnostic repertoire available to clinicians. While MRI and ultrasound do not involve ionizing radiation, modalities like CT and PET do, and their increased utilization has contributed to a rise in the overall radiation dose patients receive. The high diagnostic value of these modalities often justifies their use; however, it accentuates the importance of robust safety standards and justification protocols to prevent unwarranted exposures. Furthermore, the integration of hybrid imaging systems, such as PET/CT, combines the benefits and challenges of both modalities, necessitating comprehensive safety guidelines that address the complexities involved. While technological innovations have undeniably enhanced radiographic practices, they also present challenges in maintaining and updating safety standards. Continuous education, rigorous training, and proactive regulatory measures are essential to ensure that the benefits of these technologies are harnessed without compromising safety.

STRATEGIES FOR ENHANCING SAFETY CULTURE AMONG RADIOGRAPHIC PROFESSIONALS

Establishing and maintaining a robust safety culture within radiographic practices is crucial for minimizing the risks associated with radiation exposure. A strong safety culture is characterized by a shared commitment among all healthcare professionals to adhere to safety protocols, engage in continuous learning, and prioritize patient and staff well-being. Achieving this requires a multifaceted approach that includes leadership involvement, ongoing education, clear communication, and the empowerment of radiographic professionals to take an active role in safety practices. ¹³

Leadership plays a pivotal role in shaping the safety culture within any healthcare organization. When leaders demonstrate a clear commitment to safety, it sets the tone for the entire team, reinforcing the importance of adhering to safety standards. This commitment can be demonstrated through the allocation of resources towards safety initiatives, regular safety audits, and the inclusion of safety metrics in performance evaluations. Furthermore, leaders should foster an environment where staff feel comfortable reporting safety concerns without fear of retribution. This open communication is essential for identifying and addressing potential safety issues before they lead to adverse events. ¹⁴ Ongoing education and training are also fundamental to enhancing safety

culture. Radiographic professionals must be equipped with up-to-date knowledge of safety protocols, technological advancements, and best practices for minimizing radiation exposure. Regular training sessions, workshops, and certifications can help ensure that staff are proficient in the latest safety techniques. Additionally, incorporating safety education into the onboarding process for new employees helps to instill a culture of safety from the outset. Continuous professional development should be encouraged, with opportunities for staff to learn about emerging safety challenges and solutions in the field of radiography. 14,15

Clear and effective communication is another critical component of a strong safety culture. This includes not only communication between radiographic professionals and their colleagues but also with patients. Patients should be informed about the safety measures in place and the steps taken to minimize their radiation exposure. Transparency in communication helps to build trust and ensures that patients are active participants in their care. Within the radiographic team, clear communication channels should be established to facilitate the sharing of safety information, the reporting of incidents, and the discussion of safety improvements. Finally, empowering radiographic professionals to take ownership of safety practices is key to fostering a culture where safety is a collective responsibility. This can be achieved by involving staff in the development of safety protocols, encouraging them to contribute ideas for safety improvements, and recognizing their efforts in promoting safety. When radiographic professionals are engaged and invested in the safety culture, they are more likely to consistently adhere to safety standards and advocate for their continuous improvement.

CONCLUSION

Implementing and maintaining safety standards in radiographic practices is essential to protect both patients and healthcare workers. Continuous education, strong leadership, and a commitment to fostering a safety culture are key to overcoming the challenges associated with radiographic safety. By prioritizing these strategies, healthcare institutions can ensure the safe and effective use of radiographic technologies.

Funding: No funding sources Conflict of interest: None declared Ethical approval: Not required

REFERENCES

- Hall EJ, Giaccia AJ. Radiobiology for the Radiologist. Vol 6. Philadelphia. 2006.
- Valentin J. The 2007 recommendations of the international commission on radiological protection. Vol 37, Elsevier Oxford. 2007.

- Bushberg JT, Seibert J, Leidholdt E, Boone J. The essential physics of medical imaging 3rd ed. In: Wolters Kluwer, Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, Philadelphia, USA. 2012.
- 4. WHO. Communicating radiation risks in paediatric imaging: information to support health care discussions about benefit and risk. 2016.
- 5. Hricak H, Brenner DJ, Adelstein SJ, Donald PF, Eric JH, Roger WH, et al. Managing radiation use in medical imaging: a multifaceted challenge. Radiology. 2011;258(3):889-905.
- 6. Applegate K. Image Gently: A campaign to promote radiation protection for children worldwide. SA J Radiol. 2015;19(2):1-5.
- 7. Smith-Bindman R, Miglioretti DL, Johnson E, Choonsik L, Heather SF, Michael F, et al. Use of diagnostic imaging studies and associated radiation exposure for patients enrolled in large integrated health care systems, 1996-2010. JAMA. 2012;307(22):2400-9.
- 8. Miller DL, Vañó E, Bartal G, Stephen B, Robert D, Renato P, et al. Occupational radiation protection in interventional radiology: a joint guideline of the Cardiovascular and Interventional Radiology Society of Europe and the Society of Interventional Radiology. Cardiovasc Interventional Radiol. 2010;33:230-9.
- Brenner DJ, Hall EJ. Computed tomography-an increasing source of radiation exposure. N Eng J Med. 2007;357(22):2277-84.
- 10. Krohmer JS. Radiography and fluoroscopy, 1920 to the present. Radiographics. 1989;9(6):1129-53.
- 11. Kalender WA. Dose in x-ray computed tomography. Phy Med Biol. 2014;59(3):R129.
- 12. Kanal KM, Butler PF, Sengupta D, Bhargavan-Chatfield M, Coombs LP, Morin RL. US diagnostic reference levels and achievable doses for 10 adult CT examinations. Radiology. 2017;284(1):120-33.
- 13. Zacharias C, Alessio AM, Otto RK, Ramesh SI, Grace SP, Jonathan OS, et al. Pediatric CT: strategies to lower radiation dose. Am J Roentgenol. 2013;200(5):950-6.
- 14. Murray JS, Clifford J, Larson S, Lee JK, Sculli GL. Implementing just culture to improve patient safety. Military Med. 2023;188(7-8):1596-9.
- 15. Rehani M, Frush D. Tracking radiation exposure of patients. The Lancet. 2010;376(9743):754-5.

Cite this article as: Al Rowili MG, Al Otaibi BF, Al Mufarrih TA, Al Zhrani KM, Al Sadiq RK, Al Gharrash MA, et al. Implementing safety standards in radiographic practices: challenges and solutions. Int J Community Med Public Health 2024;11:3708-11.