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Outbreaks of measles in Rajasthan in 2014: a cross sectional epidemiological investigation

Kamaljit Singh1*, Rajesh Garg2

1Assistant Professor, Department of Community Medicine, DYSP Government Medical College, Nahan, Himachal Pradesh, India
2Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine, M.M. Medical College, Kumarhatti, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India

Received: 24 March 2017
Accepted: 08 April 2017

*Correspondence:
Dr. Kamaljit Singh,
E-mail: kjspabla65@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: In India, although the measles vaccine is available across the country up to village level, but still India is struggling with mortality and morbidity associated with Measles and its outbreaks. Investigations in measles outbreak help to know the determinants behind it and thus prevent further outbreaks. The outbreaks of measles in Rajasthan were investigated in 2014 by analyzing various socio-demographic parameters. Objective: To assess the epidemiological profile of measles outbreak in Rajasthan in 2014.

Methods: The data of the measles surveillance project of Rajasthan was used for analysis. This is an analytical, cross sectional study where 10 districts of Rajasthan having confirmed measles outbreak in 2014 were included. A total of 353 laboratory plus epidemiologically linked measles cases were considered. Statistical analysis: Percentages, proportions and Chi square test were applied.

Results: Out of the 23 suspected measles outbreaks subjected for confirmatory laboratory investigation, majority i.e. 14 (60.8%) were found to be of measles. Out of these 14 outbreaks, 353 cases were of measles (Laboratory confirmed + epidemiologically confirmed). Maximum numbers (around 50%) of cases were from the age group 1-4 years. Only 108 (30.6%) cases were vaccinated for measles vaccine. Girl children contributed to about 60% of the total measles cases. Almost 2/3rd (66.9%) cases were in Muslim families.

Conclusions: There was clustering of measles cases in few of the districts adjacent to each other. Measles vaccination coverage in few pockets was poor in outbreak districts. There is a need to boost up measles vaccination coverage with special attention to high risks areas like urban slums etc.
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INTRODUCTION

Measles is a highly contagious, serious viral disease caused by paramyxovirus of genus Morbillivirus.1 There are references to measles as far back as the 7th century A.D. 2 The 10th Century Persian physician, Rhazes; considered measles "to be dreaded more than smallpox."3 Measles spread mainly via coughing and sneezing and characterized by fever and maculo-papular rash.2 The disease spreads so fast that on average, about 90% of those unvaccinated persons exposed to someone with measles will get the disease.4 The Case Definition of Measles includes any person in whom a clinician suspects measles infection, or any person having fever with maculopapular rash and one of the three “Cs” - cough, coryza and conjunctivitis. A case of measles is designated
as epidemiologically confirmed measles case that meets the clinical case definition and is linked to a laboratory-confirmed case.5

In 1980, before widespread vaccination programs, measles was a cause of an estimated 2.6 million deaths each year globally. Wide spread immunization activities promoting measles vaccination had a major impact on reducing measles deaths. Global measles deaths have decreased by 79% from an estimated 6.5 Lakhs in year 2000 to 1.3 lakhs in the year 2015. During 2000-2015, measles vaccination prevented an estimated 20.3 million deaths.6 With a high measles case-fatality rate (CFR) in the malnourished children, India accounts for a significant proportion of measles deaths in the world.7 There were 1112 and 526 serologically confirmed measles outbreak in India in 2015 and 2016 respectively. There were 61255 cases of measles reported from India in 2015 and the respective figure from Rajasthan from the same period was 1769.8

Measles vaccination was introduced into India’s Universal Immunization Program in 1985. Low routine coverage of measles vaccination and less effectiveness of measles vaccine (about 15% of vaccinated children fail to develop immunity from the first dose) created a large cohort of susceptible children during subsequent years.6,9 So In November 2010, on the recommendations of national technical advisory group on immunization (NTAGI), the Government of India (GOI) introduced a second dose of measles in a vaccination drive in 14 high-risk states, targeting 134 million children, to prevent an estimated 60,000 to 100,000 child deaths annually.10,11 At present, every Indian state have been covered and two dose measles vaccine is being provided to children under national immunization Program.12 The first dose is recommended at 9–12 months of age and second at 16–24 months along with pentavalent vaccine.13 However, if any child missed any of these doses, it could be given up to five years of age with an interval of at least 4 weeks between the two doses.14

Globally, an estimated 450 people, mostly children, die every day from measles. This is despite the availability of an effective and safe vaccine against measles at a very low cost. It costs less than $1 to vaccinate a child against measles. In 2015, about 85% of the world’s children received at least one dose of measles vaccine by one year of age through routine health services – up from 73% in 2000.8 In India, although the measles vaccine is available across the country up to village level and that too absolutely free of cost to the end user, India is still struggling with mortality and morbidity associated with Measles. The measles vaccination coverage in India was 78.8% as per Rapid Survey on Children (RSON 2013-14) records of Government of India.12 If we take into account the large population of India and convert this percentage into the absolute numbers, the country will be left with a very large cohort of children unvaccinated for measles.

Out of 638 districts in India, only 242 (38%) districts had 90% coverage for Measles first dose.15 The poor coverage of measles immunization could be attributed to various barriers. These include socio demographic parameters (e.g. higher birth order, poverty, lower parental education, religious resistance to immunization, poor knowledge of vaccination etc.); remote and difficult-to-reach areas; inadequate infrastructure, human resource, and communication; issues of vaccine storage, transport, and cold chains; almost non-existing surveillance activities for reporting of adverse events following immunization and inadequate and late outbreak response.16 Vaccination coverage varies considerably from state to state, with the lowest rates in India’s large central states. Differences in uptake are geographical, regional, rural-urban, poor-rich and gender-related. On average, girls receive fewer immunizations than boys and higher birth order infants have lower vaccination coverage. Rural children are least likely to have complete vaccination, and this inequity is most pronounced in states like Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, Chhattisgarh, Jharkhand, and Uttar Pradesh.17

To push the measles vaccination drive, The Measles & Rubella Initiative (M&R Initiative) was launched in 2001 which is a global partnership led by the American Red Cross, United Nations Foundation, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), UNICEF and WHO. The M&R Initiative is committed to ensure that no child dies from measles or is born with congenital rubella syndrome; reducing measles deaths by 95% by 2015; and achieving measles and rubella elimination in at least 5 WHO regions by 2020.18 Elimination of measles means absence of continuous measles transmission for greater than 12 months.19 The World Health Organization (WHO) has declared Brazil free of measles in 2016, after no case of the disease was registered in the previous last year.19

But at present, when India is still struggling with measles outbreaks, investigations of the measles outbreak are important tools to further know about the disease dynamics, socio-cultural and geographical factors affecting disease spread and efficiency of health system responsible for vaccination coverage and prevention and control of disease. The present study was undertaken to understand the complex web of disease spread and outbreak investigations.

**METHODS**

**Study area**

This study was undertaken in the state of Rajasthan (India). All 33 districts of Rajasthan were under the
measles surveillance and only 10 districts having confirmed measles outbreak were included in the study.

**Study design**

Community based, analytical, cross sectional study. The data of the measles surveillance project of Rajasthan was used for analysis.

**Study size and sample**

A total of 353 laboratory plus epidemiologically linked measles cases were considered for the current study.

**Study period**

The study period was from 1st January 2014 to 30th June 2014.

**Study tools and technique**

An epidemiologically-linked measles case is a patient who meets the clinical case definition and has direct contact with another laboratory-confirmed measles case whose rash onset was within the preceding 21 days. Under the measles surveillance, the trigger for preliminary outbreak investigation is either five or more clinical cases of measles in a block or different adjacent blocks in a week or any measles associated death in a block in a week.

Based on reports of district health authorities (Collated data of acute flaccid paralysis cum measles weekly report and integrated disease surveillance report), outbreak was suspected as per definition mentioned above. District Immunization Officers flag the outbreak and instruct the local health functionary (Health Worker or Medical Officer) to do preliminary investigation to find out if there are more cases in the same area/village or adjoining areas/village. If sufficient number of additional cases are found, detailed house to house search was conducted by the team of health workers and cases having onset of rash or death in the last 3 months are line listed. Out of these listed cases, 5 cases having onset of rash between 4 – 28 days prior to date of line listing were selected for blood sampling to confirm outbreak. These samples are sent to World Health Organization (WHO) accredited laboratory at SMS Medical College, Jaipur where samples were tested for Measles IgM and Rubella IgM. The laboratory reports were used to classify outbreak.

Measles Outbreak (if 2 or more samples were positive for measles IgM), Rubella Outbreak (if 2 or more samples were positive for Rubella IgM and Mixed outbreak (If 2 or more samples were positive for both Measles and Rubella IgM).

Preventive and clinical outbreak management measures were taken at the time of detailed House to House Search/Investigation in the form of appropriate management of clinical cases, two doses of Vitamin A and vaccination of unvaccinated eligible child.

There was no of conflict of interest and no financial help from any source were involved in conduction of this study.

**Statistical analysis**

Collected data were entered in Microsoft Excel and were analyzed using software Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16.0. Descriptive statistical measures such as percentage, mean were applied. Inferential statistical tests such as Z-test and Chi-square test were applied to identify important relationships between variables and determine the level of significance. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Ethical clearance**

The first author worked in the capacity of sub-regional team leader (WHO) of Rajasthan region during the study period and was involved in investigation of the measles outbreaks in 2014. The confidentiality has been maintained and the information thus obtained has not been used for any other purpose except for academic purposes. Hence no conflict on ethical issues and thus not required.

**RESULTS**

Out of the 24 outbreaks flagged during the study period in Rajasthan, preliminary inquiry and detailed House to House investigation was conducted and 23 outbreaks were subjected for further confirmatory laboratory investigation.

**Table 1: Status of suspected measles outbreaks in Rajasthan.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. of outbreaks</th>
<th>No. of Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flagged</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detailed House-to-House Investigation</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measles outbreaks</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubella outbreaks</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed outbreaks</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative for Measles and Rubella</td>
<td>06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Majority of these outbreaks i.e. 14 (60.8%) were found to be measles and only 1 (4.3%) outbreak was mixed (Measles and Rubella). District health authorities’ listed 353 cases in these 14 outbreaks as measles cases (Lab confirmed + epidemiologically confirmed) and all of these 353 cases were used for analysis in the present study (Table 1).
Only 09 districts of total 33 districts of state had confirmed measles outbreak while mixed outbreak was detected only in one district i.e. Jaipur. Rest of the 23 districts did not have any confirmed measles outbreaks. The spot map of the outbreaks has been depicted in Figure 1.

**Figure 1: Location of measles outbreak Rajasthan 2014.**

District Bharatpur has 3 measles outbreak followed by Bhilwara and Nagaur having 2 outbreaks each (Table 2).

**Socio-demographic profile of cases**

More than 90 % of measles cases were less than 10 years of age with maximum number (around 50 %) of cases were from the age group 1-4 years. There is significant association between age and disease. Girl child contributed to about 60 % of the total measles cases. Almost 2/3rd (66.9%) cases were in Muslim families. (Table 3).

**Vaccination status**

In the current study, out of all the 353 measles cases, 108 (30.6%), were vaccinated for measles vaccine. The number of measles cases in unvaccinated group was 138 (39.1%) and in rest 107 (30.3%) of cases the status of vaccination was not known (Table 4, Figure 2). The mean age for vaccinated cases was 50.95 and unvaccinated cases was 53.06. The vaccination status of children below 4 years of age was about 44 % only.

**Table 2: District wise distribution of outbreaks and cases.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>No. of Measles Outbreaks</th>
<th>No. of Measles cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bhilwara</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bharatpur</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Churu</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chittorhgarh</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jodhpur</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaipur</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kota</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagaur</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sawai madhopur</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sikar</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: Demographic characteristics of measles cases (n= 353).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Number of Cases</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 4</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>51.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 9</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 to 14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;=15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sex**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Cases</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Religion**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hindu</th>
<th>Muslim</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Cases</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P=0.000

**Table 4: Age wise vaccination status of the measles cases (n= 353).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>Vaccinated</th>
<th>Unvaccinated</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>2 (10.5)</td>
<td>13 (68.4)</td>
<td>4 (21.1)</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 to 4</td>
<td>61 (33.3)</td>
<td>75 (41)</td>
<td>47 (25.7)</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 9</td>
<td>41 (31.8)</td>
<td>41 (31.8)</td>
<td>47 (36.4)</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 to 14</td>
<td>4 (33.3)</td>
<td>5 (41.7)</td>
<td>3 (25)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;=15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (40)</td>
<td>6 (60)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>108 (30.6)</td>
<td>138 (39.1)</td>
<td>107 (30.3)</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P=0.017
In the present study, the mean age of the cases was 57.44 months with the median 48 months. The age range was from 04 – 540 months. In a study from Kerala the mean age of the cases in the year 2007 was 72.3 months and in 2008 was 78.5 months. In a study from North east India, median age of the cases was 72 months, which was comparable to the previous outbreaks reported in India.

According to a report by Government of India in 2015, State with high percentage of immunization sessions where all vaccines were not available were Uttarakhnad (45.5%), Rajasthan (42.9%) and Maharashtra (42.2%). This fact is indirectly reflected in the present study where the vaccination status among the cases was about 31%. In a study from North East India, about 23.30% were vaccinated while 76.70% were either not vaccinated or were not documented. In a similar study from a south Indian state, the cases that were immunized but developed the disease were 28.6%. In Uttrakhand, among the measles cases, 89.8% cases had received single dose of measles vaccine.

In a study on Measles and Rubella outbreaks in Maharashtra during 2013, Measles RT-PCR showed presence of measles RNA in 18 samples, further sequencing of PCR products revealed circulation of D4 (n=9) and D8 (n=9) strains. In the present study, the D4 strain was revealed in one district and D8 in three districts of Rajasthan.

The district level household and facility survey (DLHS-3) survey was conducted in 2007–2008 and did show an improvement in measles immunization coverage overall (68%) and in each of the high mortality states (Bihar 54%, Uttar Pradesh 47%, Madhya Pradesh 57%, Rajasthan 67%, Haryana 69%, Gujarat 73%). However, all remained at sub-optimal levels for interrupting measles transmission. Even within states, measles containing vaccines (MCV) coverage was not homogenous. In the same survey, it was observed that in Bharatpur district of Rajasthan, only 20.1 percent children between ages 12-23 months received at least one dose of Vitamin A.

In both DLHS-2 and DLHS-3, the proportion of girls immunized with MCV was lower than for boys in all of the highest burden states. The mortality rate from measles was higher in girls than in boys for each region studied, ranging from 27% higher in the northeast to more than twice as high in the west. A higher proportion of males lines, were affected in the various other studies as
compared with females. The reason for the findings may be the difference in the gender ratio in study areas or due to the differential attitude of the parents toward a female child.

CONCLUSION

There was clustering of outbreaks in few of the districts adjacent to each other. Measles vaccination coverage in few pockets was poor in outbreak districts which are reflected in the observations of more measles cases in unvaccinated group. The girls were more affected which could be due to gender discrimination prevalent in this part of the country. More cases in Muslim population could be due to social and religious resistance to vaccination. More detailed studies including the knowledge, attitude and practices of the local communities are required for further understanding of measles outbreaks.

Recommendations

There is a need to strengthen routine immunization activities in the outbreak districts. Special attention is required for high risks areas like urban slums, migratory population, minority settlements etc. The self-help groups should be involved for motivating the community for wide spread vaccination coverage. Special attention is required for those communities where there is a discrimination against girl child. Utilizing the experience of polio eradication program, help of local influential social and religious leaders should be obtained to overcome social and religious resistance against vaccination. The second dose of measles (MCV2) coverage needs to be expanded to boost up immunity against measles. The surveillance needs to be strengthened more.
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