Original Research Article

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph20171774

Prevalence and factors associated with hypertension: a community based cross-sectional study among adults in an urban area of Puducherry, South India

Pramila J. Paul¹*, Rebecca Samson², Annie William¹, Bridgitte Akila³, Anil J. Purty⁴, Joy Bazroy⁴

¹Department of Community Health Nursing, College of Nursing, ²Departments of Community Health Nursing & Nursing Research, College of Nursing, ³Biostatistician cum Epidemiologist, ⁴Department of Community Medicine, Pondicherry Institute of Medical Sciences (PIMS), Puducherry, Tamil Nadu, India

Received: 02 March 2017 **Accepted:** 30 March 2017

*Correspondence: Pramila J. Paul.

Praililla J. Paul,

E-mail: pramilapaulj@gmail.com

Copyright: © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

Background: The prevalence of hypertension and other non-communicable diseases in India are on the increasing trend. Hypertension is a major public health problem in India. This study aimed to estimate the prevalence and associated factors of hypertension in an urban area of Puducherry, South India.

Methods: A community-based cross-sectional study was carried out among adults in an urban area of Puducherry, South India, between Oct 2013 to Nov 2013. A total of 236 adults aged \geq 35years were selected and data was collected using piloted questionnaire. Blood pressure was measured following standard technique.

Results: Out of 236 participants 123(52.1%) were found to be hypertensives. Among the hypertensives, nearly one fourth 32(24%) of them were undiagnosed hypertensives. Advanced age, male gender, housewives compared to their counterparts, family history of hypertension, alcohol intake, sedentary lifestyle, and abdominal obesity (among females) were significantly associated with hypertension.

Conclusions: The prevalence of hypertension is found to be high. Regular health education programme to raise awareness and community-based screening programme should be given priority for early detection of hypertension and prevention of complications.

Keywords: Prevalence, Risk factors, Hypertension, Urban

INTRODUCTION

One in three adults has raised blood pressure worldwide, a condition that causes around half of all deaths from stroke and heart disease and it's a growing public health problem. Studies show that for every known person with hypertension there are two persons with either undiagnosed hypertension or pre hypertension.

Globally, the prevalence of hypertension among adults is 40%, with the highest prevalence (46%) in Africa, and the lowest prevalence (35%) in America.³ Hypertension is estimated to cause 7.5 million deaths, around 12.8% of the total annual deaths.⁴ It is a major risk factor for coronary heart disease, ischaemic and hemorrhagic stroke.⁵

Global health statistics report 2013 says that in India, 23.1% men and 22.6% women over 25 years suffer from

hypertension.⁶ Regional studies in India, documents that the prevalence of hypertension ranges from 20-40% among urban adults and 12-17% among rural adults and the number of hypertensives is projected to increase from 118 million in 2000 to 214 million in 2025.⁷ In South India, the prevalence of hypertension was reported to be 27.6% among adults in Pondicherry.⁸

Because of changes in lifestyle, changes in the environment, the problem of hypertension is increasing. For health care system to plan effective control measures, accurate estimates of hypertension in the community are therefore necessary. Hence, field-based studies become imperative to detect hypertension and its associated factors in order to highlight this problem so that it can be applied for primary and secondary prevention.

This study was taken in this direction to estimate the prevalence and associated factors of hypertension among adults aged ≥35 years, so that preventive measure can be initiated to control hypertension and care of high risk general population can be given at community level in the urban service area of multispecialty hospital, Pondicherry Institute of Medical Sciences (PIMS), Puducherry, India.

METHODS

A community-based, cross-sectional study was carried out between Oct 2013 to Nov 2013 in Muthialpet, a designated urban field service area of Department of Community Medicine, PIMS, Puducherry, South India.

A sample size of 233 was arrived using the formula n= $4pq/d^2$, assuming the anticipated prevalence of hypertension to be 30% (based on a study done by Bharati et al.) among \geq 35 years aged men and women and a relative precision of 20%. The study area was randomly selected ensuring the availability of adequate number of participants required to meet the sample size and achieved a sample size of 236 adults aged \geq 35 years.

A house to house survey was done to identify the study participants and were interviewed to collect the information on demographics, personal history and family history was collected using a using a predesigned, pretested structured questionnaire.

Demographic information included age, sex, marital status, educational status, occupation, nature of occupation, family income, religion, type of family and dietary pattern. Personal history included personal medical history (considered if diagnosis made by Physician) including treatment, perceived stress due to financial problems, family problems, work pressure and among males smoking, tobacco use, and alcohol intake. Family history of hypertension was considered in family history. Socio-economic status was assessed using Kuppuswamy's Socioeconomic Status Scale.

Physical examination included measurement of blood pressure, anthropometric measurements like height, weight and waist circumference using standard procedures. Blood pressure was measured using electronic blood pressure monitor. The participants were allowed to rest (relax) for 10-15 minutes with legs uncrossed. The blood pressure was measured on the left upper arm and was positioned in such a way that the left upper arm was at the same level with the heart. Two readings were taken in sitting position with 5 minutes rest between each reading and the average of the two was considered.

BMI was categorized according to WHO classification, $<\!18.5 \, kg/m^2$ as underweight, 18.5 - $24.9 \, kg/m^2$ as normal weight, $25\text{-}29.9 \, kg/m^2$ as overweight, $30\text{-}34.9 \, kg/m^2$ as obesity class I, $35\text{-}39.9 \, kg/m^2$ as obesity class II, and $\geq\!40 \, kg/m^2$ as obesity class III. 10 The abdominal obesity was assessed using "The National, Heart, Lung and Blood Institute" criteria, if waist circumference was $>\!102 \, cms$ for males and $>\!88 \, cms$ for females. 11

Hypertension was classified according to JNC VII criteria (Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee), systolic/diastolic blood pressure as hypotension for <90/60 mmHg, normal for 90-119/60-79 mmHg, prehypertension for 120-139/80-89 mmHg, Stage I hypertension for 140-159/90-99 mmHg and Stage II hypertension for ≥160/100 mmHg. Among individuals on antihypertensive treatment, hypertension status was considered to be controlled if blood pressure was <140/90 mmHg and uncontrolled when ≥140/90 mmHg. 12

On an average, 8-10 participants were interviewed per day and the time taken for data collection was approximately 40-50 minutes per study participant. All men and women aged ≥35 years willing to participate in the study were included. Mentally challenged and pregnant women were excluded from the study. All the measurements were taken by the principal investigator itself to reduce inter-observer variability.

The data was analyzed using SPSS Statistical Software (version 2.0). The continuous variables were expressed as Mean \pm SD and dichotomous/categorical variables were presented as percentages. The estimates were presented with precision estimates. The test of significance used was Chi-square test, a non-parametric test to test for association between hypertension and sociodemographic, anthropometric and clinical variables.

The permission to conduct the study was obtained from Institutional Review Board, College of Nursing, PIMS. A written informed consent was obtained from all the study participants before data collection.

RESULTS

The total number of study participants was 236 and their mean age was 53.7 ± 12.4 years. Around two-third

(66.5%) were females. Majority (81.8%) of them were married. Only 18.6% were graduates & above. More than half of them (57.2%) were housewives and only 18.2% were having regular income. Around 47.9% were in high socio economic status (SES) and only 16.9% were in low SES. Majority (90.3%) of them were non-vegetarians.

Nearly one-third of the participants (29.2%) were found to have diabetes mellitus and only 3% had history of

other non-communicable diseases like ischemic heart disease, renal diseases, etc. Two-third (63.1%) of the study population reported of perceived stress due to financial problems, family problems, work pressure, etc. The proportion of current smokers and alcoholics among males were 19%, 29.1%, respectively. The family history of hypertension was reported among 46.6% of the participants.

Table 1: Association between hypertension and socio-demographic variables (n=236).

Variables	Total	Hypertensives n (%)	Normotensives n (%)	χ² value	p value	
Age(in years)					<0.001**	
a. 35-45	73	15 (20.5)	58(79.5)			
b. 46-55	61	32 (52.5)	29(47.5)	53.48		
c. 56-65	63	43 (68.3)	20(31.7)	33.40		
d. 66-75	24	22 (91.7)	2(8.3)			
e. >75	15	11 (73.3)	4(26.7)			
Gender						
a. Male	79	50 (63.3)	29(36.7)	5.94	0.015^{*}	
b. Female	157	73 (46.5)	84(53.5)			
Marital status						
a. Single	2	1 (50)	1(50)		0.466	
b. Married	193	96 (49.7)	97(50.3)	2.551		
c. Separated	3	2 (66.7)	1(33.3)			
d. Widow/Widower	38	24 (63.2)	14(36.8)			
Educational Qualification						
a. Primary or less	41	25 (61)	16(39)		0.314	
b. High school	128	69 (53.9)	59(46.1)	3.549		
c. Higher Secondary	23	10 (43.5)	13(56.5)			
d. Graduates &above	44	19 (43.2)	25(56.8)			
Occupation						
a. Regular Income	43	16 (37.2)	27(62.8)		0.003**	
b. Business	28	15 (53.6)	13(46.4)	16.27		
c. Not Working	3	1 (33.3)	2(66.7)	16.27		
d. House wife	135	68 (50.4)	67(49.6)			
e. Others	27	23 (85.2)	4(14.8)			
Socio-economic status						
a. Low SES	40	20 (50)	20(50)	2.720	0.256	
b. Middle SES	83	38 (45.8)	45(54.2)	2.729		
c. High SES	113	65 (57.5)	48(42.5)			
Religion						
a. Hindu	192	99 (51.6)	93(48.4)	0.122	0.936	
b. Christian	35	19 (54.3)	16(45.7)	0.132		
c. Muslim	9	5 (55.6)	4(44.4)			
Type of family						
a. Nuclear family	131	63 (48.1)	68(51.9)	1.913	0.167	
b. Joint family	105	60 (57.1)	45(42.9)			
Dietary habit						
a. Vegetarian	23	11 (47.8)	12(52.2)	0.188	0.664	
b. Non-vegetarian	213	112 (52.6)	101(47.4)			

The distribution of study population according to Body mass index (BMI) was 2.1%, 27.6%, 47%, 23.3%, for

underweight, normal, overweight, obesity (Class I, II, & III), respectively. The abdominal obesity based on waist

circumference was observed in 61.9% (males: 15.2%, females: 49.7%) of the study participants.

Prevalence of hypertension

The overall prevalence of hypertension was found to be 52.1% (95% CI: 45.7% - 58.5%) in urban Puducherry, out of which 24% (n=32) were new cases. The mean SBP and DBP were 129.2 mmHg (SD: 16.4) and 78.9 mmHg (SD: 10.4), respectively. Hypertension was reported by 38.6% (old hypertensives) of the study population. The age specific prevalence of hypertension is shown graphically (Figure 1).

Among the known hypertensives, 87.7% reported of taking antihypertensive medications regularly. However, only two-third (58.2%) of those on treatment had blood pressure of <140/90 mmHg (controlled hypertension).

The prevalence of hypertension was 63.3% among males and 46.5% among females. With regard to SES, the

prevalence of hypertension was 50% in low SES, 45.8% in middle SES, and 57.5% in high SES.




Figure 1: Age gender specific prevalence of hypertension (n=123).

Hypertension was significantly associated with age, gender, physical activity level with regard to type of

Table 2: Association between hypertension and risk factors (n=236).

Risk factors	Total	Hypertensive	Non hypertensive	_π χ² value	p value
		n (%)	n (%)	"	
Type of occupation with regard to pl					
a. Sedentary Worker	117	72 (61.5)	45(38.5)	8.267	0.016*
b. Moderate Worker	114	49 (43)	65(57)		
c. Heavy Worker	5	2 (40)	3(60)		
Family History of Hypertension					
a. Present	110	67(60.9)	43(39.1)	6.380	0.012*
b. Absent	126	56(44.4)	70(55.6)		
Body Mass Index (kg/m²)					
a. Underweight (< 18.5 kg/m ²)	5	1(20)	4(80)	4.481	0.214
b. Normal (18.5-24.9 kg/m ²)	65	32(49.2)	33(50.8)		
c. Overweight (25-29.9 kg/m ²)	111	56(50.5)	55(49.5)		
d. Obesity-Class I-III (>29.9 kg/m ²)	55	34(61.8)	21(38.2)		
Abdominal obesity	0.835	0.361			
Among males					
a. Present	12	9(75)	3(25)	0.833	0.301
b. Absent	67	41(61.2)	26(38.8)		
Among females					
a. Present	78	43(55.1)	35(44.9)	4.642	0.031*
b. Absent	79	30(37.9)	49(62.1)		
History of smoking					
a. Never	51	28(54.9)	23(45.1)	4.74	0.093
b. Past user	13	11(84.6)	2(15.4)		
c. Current user	15	11(73.3)	4(26.7)		
History of alcohol [#]					
a. Never	48	26(54.2)	22(45.8)	4.383	0.036*
b. Past user	8	8(100)	-		
c. Current user	23	16(69.6)	7(30.4)		

^{*}Statistically Significant (p < 0.05); # among males; Chi square test was done for current/ past users vs. never.

occupation, alcohol intake among males, positive family history of hypertension, and abdominal obesity among females as shown (Table 1).

Statistically significant association was observed between hypertension and sedentary workers, family history of hypertension, abdominal obesity among females, past/current users of alcohol (77.4%) than never users (22.6%) shown in (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

This community based cross sectional study had added strong evidence to the magnitude of hypertension in the union territory of Puducherry, South India. The overall prevalence of hypertension was found to be high (52.1%) in urban population aged ≥35 years in Puducherry. Our study findings was consistent with other Indian studies done by Manimunda et al, Vimala et al, and Zachariah et al who had observed the prevalence of hypertension to be 50.1%, 47%, and 54.5% respectively. ¹³⁻¹⁵ There has been a gradual increase in prevalence of hypertension with time in India and it has been significantly higher among urban adults than their rural counterparts (Figure 2).




Figure 2: Prevalence of hypertension in India (2002 -2013).

The probable reasons for increasing prevalence are lifestyle changes, environmental factors, rapid urbanization, demographic transition and addictions like tobacco use/alcohol.

Among the known hypertensives (38.6%), our survey observed that 87.7% were on regular antihypertensive medications but only 58.2% of the old hypertensives had blood pressure under control and 41.8% had uncontrolled blood pressure (SBP \geq 140 mmHg and/or DBP \geq 90 mmHg). The significantly higher proportion (29.7%) of high blood pressure (undiagnosed hypertension and uncontrolled hypertension) among the general population in the urban community suggests the need for regular community based screening programmes for early detection of hypertension and health education programmes for lifestyle modification to decrease the risk of hypertension in the community.

The prevalence of hypertension was observed to be 20.5%, 52.5%, 68.3%, 91.7%, and 73.3% among those aged 35-45 years, 46-55 years, 56-65 years, 66-75 years, and >75 years, respectively, thus showing a significant increasing trend in prevalence with age (χ^2 for trend, p value <0.01). This increasing trend with age is consistent with the other study findings and adds validity to the study. ^{16,17}

With regard to gender, the prevalence of hypertension was significantly higher among males (63.3%) than females (46.5%). And it had been observed in previous studies carried out in India. However, there are few studies where the authors had reported either equal prevalence or a higher prevalence among females than males. Brookes et al. had reported the prevalence of hypertension to be higher in men than women among the younger age group, and higher in women than men among the older people (>60 years).

The prevalence of hypertension varies with alcoholic status among males. In the present study, hypertension was significantly higher among those who consumed alcohol (69.6%) than non-consumers (54.2%) and this has also been observed in other studies. 13,19 On the other hand, Kokiwar et al & Divan et al studies had not significant association observed any between hypertension and alcohol intake. 22,23 We had also observed highly statistically significant association between hypertension and physical activity level with regard to type of occupation and similar findings had been reported by authors of others studies. 22,24-26 Hypertension was found to be significantly associated with abdominal obesity among females only and this finding is consistent with Madhumitha et al study.²⁷ A significant association observed between hypertension and family history of hypertension in this study is also supported by other studies done in India. 23,28 But Yadav et al. study reported no significant differences in prevalence with respect to family history of hypertension.²⁶ No significant association was observed between hypertension and educational qualification, marital status, SES, religion, type of family, dietary habits, body mass index, history of smoking and abdominal obesity among males. The limitations of the study were small urban area, and small sample size.

CONCLUSION

In this study, the results had showed that the prevalence of hypertension had significantly increased with time and is very high (52.1%) in the urban adult population of Puducherry in South India. Advanced age, male gender, sedentary physical activity, abdominal obesity among females, positive family history of hypertension, alcohol use among males had showed significant association with hypertension. It is essential for our health care system to be proactive in screening people for hypertension and educate them about lifestyle modification and risk reduction.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors would like to thank the Institutional Review Board of College of Nursing, Pondicherry Institute of Medical Sciences, Puducherry, India for the suggestions and approval of the study. The authors would like to thank all the study participants wholeheartedly for their kind cooperation.

Funding: No funding sources Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of Pondicherry Institute of Medical Sciences

REFERENCES

- 1. World health statistics report. New data highlight increases in hypertension, diabetes incidence. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2012.
- Joshi SR, Saboo B, Vadivale M, Dani SI, Mithal A, Kaul U, et al. Prevalence of diagnosed and undiagnosed diabetes and hypertension in India-Results from the Screening India's Twin Epidemic (SITE) study. Diabetes Technol Ther. 2012;14:8-15.
- 3. World Health Organization. Global status report on non communicable diseases. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2010.
- 4. World Health Organization. Global health risks: mortality and burden of disease attributable to selected major risks. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2009.
- 5. World Health Organization. Raised blood pressure. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2012. /
- 6. World Health Organization. World health statistics report. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013.
- 7. Reddy KS. Regional case studies--India. Nestle Nutr Workshop Ser Pediatr Program. 2009;63:15-24.
- 8. Bharati DR, Nandi P, Yamuna TV, Lokeshmaran A, Agarwal L, Singh JB, et al. Prevalence and Covariates of Undiagnosed Hypertension in the Adult Population of Puducherry, South India. Nepal J Epidemiol. 2012;2(2):191-9.
- 9. Kumar BPR, Dudala SR, Rao AR. Kuppuswamy's socio-economic status scale—A revision of economic parameter for 2012. Int J Res Dev Health. 2013;1(1):2-4.
- World Health Organization. Global Database on Body Mass Index. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2004.
- 11. The Evidence Report. Clinical guidelines on the identification, evaluation, and treatment of overweight and obesity in adults. United States: National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; 1998.
- 12. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL Jr, et al. The seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure: the JNC 7 Report. JAMA. 2003;289(19):2560-72.

- 13. Manimunda SP, Sugunan AP, Benegal V, Balakrishna N, Rao MV, Pesala KS. Association of hypertension with risk factors & hypertension related behaviour among the aboriginal Nicobarese tribe living in Car Nicobar Island, India. Indian J Med Res. 2011;133(3):287–93.
- 14. Vimala A, Ranji SA, Jyosna MT, Chandran V, Mathews SR, Pappachan JM. The prevalence, risk factors and awareness of hypertension in an urban population of Kerala (South India). Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl. 2009;20(4):685-9.
- 15. Zachariah MG, Thankappan KR, Alex SC, Sarma PS, Vasan RS. Prevalence, correlates, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in a middle-aged urban population in Kerala. Indian Heart J. 2003;55(3):245-51.
- Midha T, Idris MZ, Saran RK, Srivastava AK, Singh SK. Prevalence and determinants of hypertension in the urban and rural population of a north Indian district. East Afr J Public Health. 2009;6(3):268-73.
- 17. Deepa R, Shanthirani CS, Pradeepa R, Mohan V. Is the 'rule of halves' in hypertension still valid?--Evidence from the Chennai urban population study. J Assoc Physicians India. 2003;51:153-7.
- 18. Rao CR, Kamath VG, Shetty A, Kamath A. High blood pressure prevalence and significant correlates: A quantitative analysis from coastal Karnataka, India. ISRN Prev Med. 2013;1:1-6.
- 19. Kannan L, Satyamoorthy TS. An epidemiological study of hypertension in a rural household community. Sri Ramachandra J Med. 2009;2(2):9-13.
- 20. Raina DJ, Jamwal DS. Prevalence study of overweight/obesity and hypertension among rural adults. JK Sci. 2009;11(1):20-3.
- 21. Brookes L. The bad news about prevalence, the good news about treatments -- But pay attention to the details. California: Medscape; 2005.
- Kokiwar PR, Gupta SS, Durge PM. Prevalence of hypertension in a rural community of central India. J Assoc Physicians India. 2012;60:26-9.
- 23. Divan V, Chauhan V, Panchal S, Bansal RK. Prevalence of hypertension amongst workers of a fertilizer company in Surat District. Natl J Community Med. 2010;1(2):153-5.
- 24. Prashanth HL. Prevalence of hypertension in an urban and rural area of Gulbarga district-A comparative study. [Doctoral Dissertation]. Karnataka: Rajiv Gandhi University; 2006.
- 25. Qureshi AI, Qureshi AM, Kumar NK, Ravikanth C, Anuhya A, Bhaskar V, et al. Determinants of prevalence of hypertension and obesity among the adult population of 50 years and above. Int J Res in Health Sciences. 2013;1(3):171-2.
- 26. Yadav S, Boddula R, Genitta G, Bhatia V, Bansal B, Kongara S, et al. Prevalence & risk factors of prehypertension & hypertension in an affluent north Indian population. Indian J Med Res. 2008;128(6):712-20.

- 27. Madhumitha M, Naraintran S, Manohar C, Revathi S, Mallikarjun K, Biradar, et al. Hypertension Prevalence and risk factors among urban population in north Karnataka. Int J Curr Res Rev. 2014;6(7):39-45.
- 28. Reddy SS, Prabhu GR. Prevalence and risk factors of hypertension in adults in an urban slum, Tirupati, A.P. Indian J Community Med. 2005;30(3):84-6.

Cite this article as: Paul PJ, Samson R, William A, Akila B, Purty AJ, Bazroy J. Prevalence and factors associated with hypertension: a community based cross-sectional study among adults in an urban area of Puducherry, South India. Int J Community Med Public Health 2017;4:1620-6.